

Date: December 1, 2025 **To:** Board of Directors

From: Caitlin Smith, Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Chair **Subject:** Non-Unanimous and Other Selected Revision Requests

Recommended by TAC for Approval

Issue for the ERCOT Board of Directors

ERCOT Board of Directors Meeting Date: December 8, 2025

Item No.: 7.1

Issue:

Consideration of Nodal Protocol Revision Request (NPRR) 1298, *Timing Requirements for Comments to Subcommittee Reports*, recommended for approval by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) (with opposing votes recorded).

Background/History:

Unless otherwise noted: (i) all Market Segments participated in each vote; (ii) For NPRRs, ERCOT Credit Staff and the Credit Finance Sub Group (CFSG) have reviewed the NPRR and do not believe it requires changes to credit monitoring activity or the calculation of liability.

Board Review is required of all TAC Reports and Impact Analyses (<u>Protocol Section 21.3.10</u>)

<u>NPRR1298, Timing Requirements for Comments to Subcommittee Reports</u>

Sponsor: Vistra

Revision Description: This NPRR extends discretion to review comments to the PRS Report that are posted less than six days in advance of the "next regularly scheduled" PRS meeting. Paragraph (2) of Section 21.4.4, Protocol Revision Subcommittee Review and Action, allows PRS the discretion to consider comments on a new NPRR if they are posted after the 14-day comment period.

Impact Analysis: No impact

Proposed Effective Date: The first of the month following Public Utility

Commission of Texas (PUCT) approval

TAC Report/Decision: On 11/19/25, TAC voted to recommend approval of NPRR1298 as recommended by PRS in the 11/12/25 PRS Report. There was one opposing vote from the Investor Owned Utility (IOU) (AEPSC) Market Segment,



and six abstentions from the Consumer (2) (CMC Steel, Air Liquide), Independent Generator (2) (Jupiter Power, Calpine), and IOU (2) (TNMP, CNP) Market Segments.

Explanation of Opposing TAC Votes: AEPSC - With or without NPRR1298, late comments will still be considered at the discretion of PRS. I did not want to support—or even abstain from—a measure that, in effect, does nothing. If the Board adopts TAC's recommendation to approve NPRR1298, there will be no material harm to the stakeholder process since, as noted, NPRR1298 has no practical effect.

ERCOT Opinion: ERCOT supports approval of NPRR1298.

ERCOT Market Impact Statement: ERCOT Staff has reviewed NPRR1298 and believes that it reiterates the existing discretion of PRS to consider comments filed to a PRS Report less than 6 days prior to a PRS meeting, similar to the existing discretion of PRS to consider comments posted after the 14-day comment period. **Independent Market Monitor (IMM) Opinion:** IMM has no opinion on NPRR1298. **Key Documents** (including comments, ballots, reports and impact analyses)

The TAC Reports and Impact Analyses for these Revision Requests are included in the ERCOT Board meeting materials.

In addition, these Revision Requests and supporting materials are posted on the ERCOT website.

Key Factors Influencing Issue:

The PRS met, discussed the issues, and submitted a report to TAC regarding NPRR1298.

Conclusion/Recommendation:

As more specifically described above, TAC recommends that the Board recommend approval of NPRR1298.



ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COUNCIL OF TEXAS, INC. BOARD OF DIRECTORS RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, after due consideration of the alternatives, the Board of Directors (Board) of Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. (ERCOT) deems it desirable and in the best interest of ERCOT to recommend approval of Nodal Protocol Revision Request (NPRR) 1298, *Timing Requirements for Comments to Subcommittee Reports*.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the ERCOT Board hereby recommends approval of NPRR1298.

CORPORATE SECRETARY'S CERTIFICATE

•	•		do hereby certify that, at its g the above Resolution by
IN WITNESS WHERE 2025.	OF, I have hereunto so	et my hand this _	day of
Brandon Gleason Assistant Corporate Se			