**MWG Meeting Summary Notes**

**07/23/25, 9-11am**
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1. Anti-Trust Admonition was reviewed by MWG chair Kyle Stuckly of Oncor
2. Reviewed previous MWG notes. If any comments or changes, please get with Kyle S.
3. NPRR 1263 Discussion:

* Oncor- Transmission Engineering owns the CCVTs and has reviewed the voltage monitoring method. There is no desire to pursue the voltage monitoring testing. The protocol change request should have the option added to continue the 5-year testing or use the voltage monitoring method.
* WETT- The NPRR was general in design to allow the MWG to improve the language and or voltage monitoring method. The NPRR allows the TDSPs to use standard databases. WETT will use the voltage monitoring method in real time. Suggestion to add an option out paragraph regarding the 5 year testing. Added language to increase to 2% in item (c). Added language to denoted a period of one month. Added (i) schedule for removal testing.
* ERCOT- Requested clarification that the voltage monitoring would continue for the life of the CCVT unit. Added language regarding how to option out of accuracy testing. “TDSP should submit a letter for each meter site with serial numbers…”
* Oncor- Questioned how to verify serial numbers for individual units.
* WETT- The TDSP will self-document and retain the records regarding voltage monitoring.
* WETT’s current process is manual but looking to automate. Requested a standardized letter to be used to option out of accuracy testing.
* Oncor- Is it not that we are looking for a permanent change in the CCVT. What is the definition of a permanent change? Hence, once the permanent change in the CCVT is detected via voltage monitoring then the unit is changed out.
* WETT- Will log the interval failures (voltage monitoring observations where a unit fell out of tolerance then came back in, false positives) and continue to track to determine when a permanent change began. WETT will retain the voltage monitoring data for 7 years.
* ERCOT polled the listening audience regarding the use of CCVTs in general.
  + LCRA is not opposed to the concept.
  + Centerpoint does not used CCVTs for EPS metering.
* WETT- Voltage monitoring was not intended to be a substitute for Accuracy testing. Additionally, the voltage monitoring method avoids removing and shipping units for testing.
  + 1.6% was created from 0.3% accuracy in each direction, plus 1% for voltage imbalance.
  + If voltage monitoring was restricted to 1% total, there were some false positives.
  + 2% total there were no false positives observed.
* \_\_\_\_\_ Josh Kovar has just one site with CCVTs used for EPS metering.
* LCRA- Has just one site with CCVTs used for EPS metering. All future sites not to use CCVTs.
* Brazos- No CCVTs installed or planned for EPS metering.
* Centerpoint- Suggested a max life before testing of 10-15 years for a total installation time of 15-20 years.
* Recommendation to set-up a task force to discuss NPRR 1263 language.

1. End of Meeting