**WMS Self-Assessment**

**Review of Scope/Procedures**

* + Is the current Scope of the subcommittee/working group/task force still valid and are they performing these functions effectively; Yes
  + Does the subcommittee/working group/task force have a similar scope with another subcommittee/working group/task force – if so, can the groups be consolidated to improve efficiency? WMS has a unique scope
  + Are there activities the subcommittee/working group/task force is performing that are not reflected in the current scope? No
  + Does the scope/procedures need to be revised to better align with the current Strategic Objectives of ROS/TAC and ERCOT?  No

**Review Open Action Items list**

* + Is the subcommittee/working group/task force actively discussing and addressing open issues, ongoing issues, etc. Yes
  + On average (annually), how many Revision Requests are being discussed? 25
  + If the group does not routinely consider Revision Requests, what agenda items are most routinely discussed (manuals, cases, event performance, etc.)? When not discussing NPRRs or governing document approvals, we review market events.

**Subcommittee/Working Group/Task Force Meetings**

* + How frequently does the subcommittee/working group/task force meet and what is the typical duration of these meetings?  12X a year.  3-4 hours.
  + Are meetings well attended?  Yes
  + How does the subcommittee/working group/task force produce their agenda? Referrals from TAC, issues raised by seated reps/meeting participants/ERCOT.
  + What specific impediments exist to facilitating an effective and productive subcommittee/working group/task force meeting, including notice or posting requirements? No impediments and issues have been timely raised
  + Percentage wise, how often are agendas/materials posted in a timely manner (at least one week prior to the meeting)? 90 – 100% of the time
  + What changes should be made to improve meeting effectiveness? None

**Is the working group/task force aligned with the appropriate subcommittee?** Yes

**Is the subcommittee/working group/task force still necessary to achieve TAC and ERCOT Strategic Objectives?**

* WMS is still necessary to achieve TAC and ERCOT strategic objectives
* Working Groups under WMS should be re-aligned to handle strategic objectives more efficiently
  + MWG should move under ROS purview.
  + RCWG has not met since November 2024. RCWG should be disbanded, and topics should shift to WMWG for efficiency.
  + MSWG has not met since December 2019. MSWG should be disbanded, and topics should shift to WMWG for efficiency.