

- **Review of Scope/Procedures**
 - Is the current Scope of the subcommittee/working group/task force still valid and are they performing these functions effectively; **Yes**
 - Does the subcommittee/working group/task force have a similar scope with another subcommittee/working group/task force – if so, can the groups be consolidated to improve efficiency? **PRS serves a unique function**
 - Are there activities the subcommittee/working group/task force is performing that are not reflected in the current scope? **No**
 - Does the scope/procedures need to be revised to better align with the current Strategic Objectives of ROS/TAC and ERCOT? **No**
- **Review Open Action Items list**
 - Is the subcommittee/working group/task force actively discussing and addressing open issues, ongoing issues, etc. **PRS actively discusses project prioritization and implementation details for revision requests.**
 - On average (annually), how many Revision Requests are being discussed? **In 2025, PRS has reviewed an average of 6 Revision Requests for Impact Analysis and 5 revisions for new language per meeting for a total of 11 revision requests on average per meeting. By comparison, in 2024, PRS averaged 4 Revision Requests for Impact Analysis and 4 revisions for new language per meeting for a total of 8 revision requests on average per meeting. This data does not include tabled items at each meeting.**
 - If the group does not routinely consider Revision Requests, what agenda items are most routinely discussed (manuals, cases, event performance, etc.)? **Project Prioritization**
- **Subcommittee/Working Group/Task Force Meetings**
 - How frequently does the subcommittee/working group/task force meet and what is the typical duration of these meetings? **PRS meets on a monthly basis, with a typical duration estimate between 3-4 hours.**
 - Are meetings well attended? **Yes, both online and in person.**
 - How does the subcommittee/working group/task force produce their agenda? **Monthly prep calls**
 - What specific impediments exist to facilitating an effective and productive subcommittee/working group/task force meeting, including notice or posting requirements? **There has been an increase in posting of comments the day/night prior to PRS meetings. This practice can delay progress on proposals, as market participants may not have sufficient time to review and**

consider material. In some cases, it necessitates a vote for urgent status by PRS simply to allow discussion of the item, which can undermine the efficiency and transparency of the stakeholder review process.

- Percentage wise, how often are agendas/materials posted in a timely manner (at least one week prior to the meeting)? **Many high priority items with date certain approval requirements have resulted in late filings/comments.**
 - What changes should be made to improve meeting effectiveness? **Comments filed in a timely manner will allow stakeholders time to review content. Filings made the night before a PRS meeting is not effective.**
- Is the working group/task force aligned with the appropriate subcommittee? **Yes**
 - Is the subcommittee/working group/task force still necessary to achieve ROS/TAC and ERCOT Strategic Objectives? **Yes**