Please find below the completed self-assessment for the **Large Load Working Group** as requested for the 2025 TAC Biennial Review.

**Review of Scope/Procedures**

**Q: Is the current Scope of LLWG still valid and are we performing these functions effectively?**   
**A:** Yes, the current scope remains valid and continues to support ERCOT’s operational and reliability mandates. LLWG was formed in May 2025, we have only had one official meeting, with two more planned in mid July and August.

**Q: Does LLWG share a similar scope with any other group, and should consolidation be considered?**  
**A:** While some overlap exists with DSWG, the LLWG is focused on reliability interconnecting and operating Large Loads specifically. Large rapidly interconnecting loads are new and they have their own specific issues. Consolidation is not recommended.

**Q: Are there activities being performed that are not in the current scope?**  
**A:** No, as a new group LLWG has the advantage of a recently developed scope.

**Q: Should the scope/procedures be revised to align with 2025 Strategic Objectives?**  
**A:** No, the current Scope is sufficient to align with:

* Strategic Objective 2 (PUCT reform implementation),
* Strategic Objective 4 (transmission and planning integration), and
* Strategic Objective 5 (analysis of emerging technologies and reliability needs).

**Review of Open Action Items**

**Q: Is LLWG actively discussing and addressing open/ongoing issues?**  
**A:** Yes. LLWG maintains a structured review of open action items in every meeting and prioritizes based on urgency, stakeholder impact, and reliability value.

**Q: On average, how many Revision Requests are discussed annually?**  
**A:** Approximately 25–30 Revision Requests are reviewed annually, with an emphasis on reliability rule changes and protocol improvements.

**Q: If not focused on Revision Requests, what agenda items are most routinely discussed?**  
**A:** Common topics include:

* Emergency operations and event reviews,
* AS methodology and performance,
* Transmission planning endorsements,
* Technology impact analysis (IBRs, ESRs, LRs).

**LLWG Meetings**

**Q: How frequently does LLWG meet and for how long?**  
**A:** LLWG meets monthly for approximately 3–6 hours, with additional workshops or joint sessions as needed.

**Q: Are meetings well attended?**  
**A:** Yes. There is consistent and engaged participation from voting members, ERCOT staff, and stakeholders. The June workshop had over 450 participants on Webex.

**Q: How is the agenda produced?**  
**A:** Agendas are jointly developed by the LLWG Chair and Vice Chair, in collaboration with ERCOT and stakeholders.

**Q: What impediments affect meeting productivity (e.g., notice/posting)?**  
**A:** Key challenges include:

* High complexity and volume of issues,
* Occasional delays in posting due to evolving topics,
* Time constraints for stakeholder preparation.

**Q: What percentage of agendas/materials are posted at least one week in advance?**  
**A:** As a new group this needs work, about 50% of meeting materials are posted on time, we are working on material posting. .

**Q: What changes could improve meeting effectiveness?**  
**A:** Recommendations include:

* Earlier distribution of complex or controversial materials,
* Collaboration between ERCOT and Stakeholders before market rules are developed.
* Pre-meeting stakeholder briefings on major RRs.

**Alignment & Necessity**

**Q: Is LLWG aligned with the appropriate subcommittee?**  
**A:** Yes. As a TAC Working Group, LLWG is directly aligned with TAC’s strategic direction and reporting structure.

**Q: Is LLWG still necessary to achieve ERCOT’s Strategic Objectives?**  
**A:** Absolutely. LLWG plays a pivotal role in achieving all five of ERCOT’s 2025 Strategic Objectives—especially Objectives 2, 3 and 4 Its contributions are essential to maintaining system reliability, integrating new technologies, and executing regulatory reforms.

Please let me know if any additional clarification is needed. I appreciate your leadership and coordination on this important review process.