|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NPRR Number | [1267](https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/issues/NPRR1267) | NPRR Title | Large Load Interconnection Status Report |
| **Date of Decision** | May 28, 2025 |
| **Action** | Recommended Approval |
| **Timeline**  | Normal |
| **Estimated Impacts** | Cost/Budgetary: Between $100K and $150KProject Duration: 5 to 8 months |
| **Proposed Effective Date** | Upon system implementation |
| **Priority and Rank Assigned** | Priority – 2026; Rank – 4760 |
| **Nodal Protocol Sections Requiring Revision**  | 3.2.7, Large Load Interconnection Status Report (new) |
| **Related Documents Requiring Revision/Related Revision Requests** | None |
| Revision Description | This Nodal Protocol Revision Request (NPRR) requires the publication of a large load interconnection status report. It is intentionally not defining “Large Load” to leave that to NPRR1234, Interconnection Requirements for Large Loads and Modeling Standards for Loads 25 MW or Greater. However, Customer information, including large load information, is owned by the Customer and is therefore confidential so the information on large loads must be aggregated. |
| Reason for Revision |  [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 1 – Be an industry leader for grid reliability and resilience [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 2 - Enhance the ERCOT region’s economic competitiveness with respect to trends in wholesale power rates and retail electricity prices to consumers [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 3 - Advance ERCOT, Inc. as an independent leading industry expert and an employer of choice by fostering innovation, investing in our people, and emphasizing the importance of our mission General system and/or process improvement(s) Regulatory requirements ERCOT Board/PUCT Directive*(please select ONLY ONE – if more than one apply, please select the ONE that is most relevant)* |
| **Justification of Reason for Revision and Market Impacts** | There is significant public interest in the creation of a status report for large loads. |
| **PRS Decision** | On 2/12/25, PRS voted unanimously to table NPRR1267. All Market Segments participated in the vote.On 4/9/25, PRS voted unanimously to recommend approval of NPRR1267 as amended by the 2/28/25 Reliant comments. All Market Segments participated in the vote.On 5/14/25, PRS voted unanimously to endorse and forward to TAC the 4/9/25 PRS Report and 5/13/25 Impact Analysis for NPRR1267 with a recommended priority of 2026 and rank of 4760. All Market Segments participated in the vote. |
| **Summary of PRS Discussion** | On 2/12/25, the sponsor provided an overview of NPRR1267 and participants reviewed the 1/22/25 Google comments and 2/11/25 Lancium comments. Participants discussed the proposed reporting dimensions and requested tabling to continue discussions on the appropriate reporting dimensions.On 4/9/25, participants reviewed the 2/24/25 Oncor comments and 2/28/25 Reliant comments.On 5/14/25, participants reviewed the 5/13/25 Impact Analysis and discussed an appropriate priority and rank for NPRR1267. |
| **TAC Decision** | On 5/28/25, TAC voted unanimously to recommend approval of NPRR1267 as recommended by PRS in the 5/14/25 PRS Report. All Market Segments participated in the vote. |
| **Summary of TAC Discussion** | On 5/28/25, there was no additional discussion beyond TAC review of the items below. |
| **TAC Review/Justification of Recommendation** |  Revision Request ties to Reason for Revision as explained in Justification  Impact Analysis reviewed and impacts are justified as explained in Justification Opinions were reviewed and discussed Comments were reviewed and discussed (if applicable) Other: (explain) |

|  |
| --- |
| **Opinions** |
| **Credit Review** | ERCOT Credit Staff and the Credit Finance Sub Group (CFSG) have reviewed NPRR1267 and do not believe that it requires changes to credit monitoring activity or the calculation of liability. |
| **Independent Market Monitor Opinion** | IMM has no opinion on NPRR1267. |
| **ERCOT Opinion** | ERCOT supports approval of NPRR1267. |
| **ERCOT Market Impact Statement** | ERCOT Staff has reviewed NPRR1267 and believes the market impact for NPRR1267, coupled with the implementation of NPRR1234 provides increased publicly available visibility into the characteristics of the growing large load interconnection queue. |

|  |
| --- |
| Sponsor |
| Name | Eric Goff / Nabaraj Pokharel |
| E-mail Address | eric@goffpolicy.com / nabaraj.pokharel@opuc.texas.gov |
| Company | Residential Consumer / Office of Public Utility Counsel (OPUC) (Joint Sponsors) |
| Phone Number | 512-632-7013 |
| Cell Number |  |
| Market Segment | Residential Consumer |

|  |
| --- |
| **Market Rules Staff Contact** |
| **Name** | Cory Phillips |
| **E-Mail Address** | cory.phillips@ercot.com |
| **Phone Number** | 512-248-6464 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments Received** |
| **Comment Author** | **Comment Summary** |
| Google 012225 | Modified proposed reporting dimensions and modified language regarding protection of Customer data |
| Lancium 021125 | Proposed revisions to the 1/22/25 Google comments with additional reporting dimensions and a date-specific starting point for large loads to include in the new report  |
| Oncor 022425 | Proposed revisions to the 2/11/25 Lancium comments clarifying report elements |
| Reliant 022825 | Proposed revisions to the 2/24/25 Oncor comments clarifying report elements |

|  |
| --- |
| **Market Rules Notes** |

None

|  |
| --- |
| Proposed Protocol Language Revision |

***3.2.7 Large Load Interconnection Status Report***

(1) For purposes of this section, a Large Load is inclusive of one or more Facilities at a single site with an aggregate peak Demand greater than or equal to 75 MW behind one or more common Points of Interconnection (POIs) or Service Delivery Points that is seeking interconnection on or after March 25, 2022. ERCOT may expand the criteria for including a Load as a Large Load, provided the defining criteria are clearly stated in the applicable report.

(2) ERCOT must publish a Large Load Interconnection status report each month to the ERCOT website that aggregates Large Load Interconnection requests across multiple dimensions with as much specificity as possible while maintaining the confidentiality of Customer data, including:

(a) Load Zone;

(b) TSP;

(c) Load type (as provided to the TSP, such as refinery, steel mill, data center, etc...);

(d) Calendar quarter and year in which the interconnecting TSP submitted the project to ERCOT;

(e) Requested energization quarter and year;

(f) Size range;

(g) Interconnection status (as defined by ERCOT to differentiate between operational, approved, under study, etc.); and

(h) Co-location status.

(3) ERCOT shall take actions such as providing ranges of interconnection MW sizes, aggregate loads, and other similar actions to protect, anonymize, and otherwise safeguard confidential and competitively-sensitive Customer data from public disclosure. When aggregating Customer data, ERCOT should ensure that at least five Customers exist in a particular Load type subcategory prior to aggregation, to protect against accidental disclosure. ERCOT may leave a certain category blank or aggregated with other Load types to avoid disclosure.

(4) ERCOT shall report to TAC or its designated subcommittee its methodology for developing the report defined in paragraph (2) above whenever that methodology changes, but at least every two years.