|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NPRR Number** | [**1267**](https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/issues/NPRR1267) | **NPRR Title** | **Large Load Interconnection Status Report** |
|  | |  | |
| **Date** | | January 22, 2025 | |
|  | |  | |
| **Submitter’s Information** | | | |
| **Name** | | Chris Matos | |
| **E-mail Address** | | [Chris Matos](mailto:chrismatos@google.com) | |
| **Company** | | Google, LLC | |
| **Phone Number** | |  | |
| **Cell Number** | | +1.650.930.6764 | |
| **Market Segment** | | Consumer, Industrial Segment | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments** |

Google generally takes no issue with the purpose of this Nodal Protocol Revision Request (NPRR) as it offers greater transparency regarding load growth to policy makers and ERCOT stakeholders.

Google believes the NPRR could inadvertently reveal confidential data about individual loads. For instance, the term "Location" in paragraph (2)(a) is ambiguous and might expose commercially sensitive plans. Additionally, the NPRR doesn't explain why stakeholders require specific details about interconnection study requests and energization dates.

Google also recommends adding the average interconnection study time as an enhancement to this NPRR. Large loads are increasingly experiencing longer times between study start to completion and the signing of an agreement. We think publicly posting the average duration of a study would act as a flag for a broader understanding of interconnection dynamics in a Load Zone.

|  |
| --- |
| **Revised Proposed Protocol Language** |

***3.2.7 Large Load Interconnection Status Report***

(1) For purposes of this section, a Large Load is one or more Facilities at a single site with an aggregate peak Demand greater than or equal to 75 MW behind one or more common Points of Interconnection (POIs) or Service Delivery Points.

(2) ERCOT must publish a Large Load Interconnection status report each month to the ERCOT website that aggregates Large Load Interconnection requests across multiple dimensions with as much specificity as possible while maintaining the confidentiality of Customer data, including:

(a) Load Zone;

(b) TSP;

(c) Load type (as provided to the TSP, such as refinery, steel mill, data center, etc...);

(d) Interconnection study request date year;

(e) Desired energization date year;

(f) Average interconnection study duration by TSP; and

(g) Size range.

(3) ERCOT shall take actions such as providing ranges of interconnection MW sizes, aggregate loads, and other similar actions to protect, anonymize, and otherwise safeguard confidential and competitively-sensitive Customer data from public disclosure. When aggregating Customer data, ERCOT should ensure that at least five Customers exist in a particular Load type subcategory prior to aggregation, to protect against accidental disclosure. ERCOT may leave a certain category blank or aggregated with other Load types to avoid disclosure.

(4) ERCOT shall report to TAC or its designated subcommittee its methodology for developing the report defined in paragraph (2) above whenever that methodology changes, but at least every two years.