LRITF Meeting

Tuesday, October 15, 2024

1:00 PM

WebEx only

Chris Rowley opened the meeting and welcomed everyone. Chris introduced the other two co-Chairs; Sheri Wiegand with TXU and Michael Winegeart with LP&L. Chris thanked Jordan Troublefield, who will be running the WebEx and Debbie McKeever who will be taking notes.

**Antitrust Admonition**

Chris read the Antitrust statement and proceeded with Introductions.

**Agenda Review**

Chris read the agenda. No questions were asked. No changes were made.

**Introductions**

Those listed as attending via WebEx

* Mckeever, Deborah Oncor Electric Delivery
* Jordan Troublefield E ERCOT
* Amy Sue Stirland LP&L
* Angela Ghormley Calpine
* Bevill, Robert TNMP
* Bill Snyder AEP
* Call-in User\_1
* Catherine Meiners ERCOT
* Clint Gardner LP&L
* Deb Belin Earth Etch
* Dee Lowerre Reliant
* Elizabeth Baiza LP&L
* Dave Michelsen ERCOT
* Eric Lotter GridMonitor
* Frank Nunes VertexOne
* Jim Wade Customized Energy Solutions
* Kathy Scott Centerpoint Energy
* Krista Ortiz LP&L
* Kyle Patrick Reliant
* Michael Winegeart LP&L
* Myranda Mardis LP&L
* Deavonte Anders OEUS
* Sam Pak Oncor Electric Delivery
* Chris Rowley Oncor Electric Delivery
* Shanette Champion Energy
* Sheri Wiegand Vistra
* Viviana Valdez LP&L
* William Butler LP&L
* Yvette Perez OEUS

Chris mentioned that we will be starting with Decimals.

**Decimals:**

Sheri provided some background.

She mentioned that we have talked about this but we want to make sure and explain.

We might want to update the TX SET Guidelines and include clarification, to make sure everyone understands the applicability of decimals. Chris asked if this is an action item for TX SET. Kyle took the action item. We will get past TX SET 5.0 and then take it up.

Dee said that she thinks some clarity would be beneficial. She was having a hard time understanding what was acceptable because of the inconsistency, such as 2 decimal places for the 810\_02 and what can be in the 867s.

**AMS Data Practices**

* Reversioned LSE Files: Michael said they have the gap retrieval process but they are not updating ERCOT unless they do it manually. Michael said he will get an update for our next call. He doesn’t have an update of when that will be implemented. Chris asked, how far back for reversioning data? Michael said that at the last meeting they were working on April and had finished March. LP&L is staying ahead of 180 days. Sheri asked if it is a 2025 item. Michael said they have to prioritize and this has not been in front. He doesn’t necessarily perceive a timeline but he doesn’t know. Sheri said that we will keep this on the radar. Have they integrated the Settlement Data Extract?

**Stabilization**

**650\_01 RCN Processing**

Sheri provided background. There has been success with the DNP. The issue was that if the ROL was in the transaction then it was being pushed out two days. There is progress on the reconnects. Katie said that the reconnects have been fixed and if the REPS are still seeing issues to please let them know.

**Discretionary Service Charges for 810s**

Originally, LP&L was assessing DNP charges on separate 810s upon transition. This was supposed to be corrected where the DNP charge was to be placed on the applicable 810 periodic.

It was also found any DNP order with a ROL flag was being charged the premium fee of $61.25 instead of the standard DNP fee of $30. Cancel rebills should be warranted to update the fee applied.

It was also discovered customers may have been doubly billed for the DNP with the fee posted on the applicable 810 periodic and a standalone 810 that was coded with A5 signifying tampering (yet the SAC04 represented a DNP fee). LP&L noted their system was only showing one charge thus sending a cancel would not align their system,

The first issue is to cease sending separate 810s with A5 codes of tampering as these are being applied to current customers invoice and not necessarily the customer who received the DNP.

LP&L is working toward a solution to:

1. Cease sending A5 coded DNPs
2. Ensure DNP charges are applied to the accurate 810 billing period
3. Send cancel/rebills for overcharges

LP&L suggested the cleanest approach is to align REPs CIS and LP&L’s CIS via transactions. If a REP paid the 810, then a cancel/rebill would be provided. If a REP had not paid the 810, then a cancel with a negative adjustment would credit the amount.

**867\_03 IDRs**

Michael said that they were cancelling in their system but they were not getting out with the EDI. With the overlapping dates, Michael said they are working with ESG and both of those are coming to resolution but he doesn’t have an ETA on that. Not sure if it’s in one of their test environments. Michael said; to verify…the two issues are the 867s not leaving their system and the other is the data overlapping. In their system if it was midnight, it was showing in their system as the previous day and in other systems they were identified as the next day. They need to be formatted with the 867 IDR. Michael is trying to align them with the other TDSPs. It is possible they will get a solution implemented by end of next week

**Siebel changes with ERCOT**

Sheri provided some background and asked how the progress is coming along. Michael said that they will completely have that list worked by tomorrow. Should folks be getting some Siebel Change MarkeTraks? Michael said yes. Sheri said that folks should work and close those out to get them cleaned up.

**BDMVIs and 810s (IAG)**

Michael didn’t have another status. Sheri asked for a status to be provided at the next call.

**810s not referencing the correct 867s**

They should be implementing correction next week.

**810s Received, but Missing 867\_03s**

Missing Start/End dates

Michael said that they really don’t have an update at this time. There won’t be a call this Thursday but maybe get an update at the next call

**Tariff Rate and Discretionary Charge Changes**

Michael has a presentation posted to today’s meeting page. See presentation for full info.

SER019 is a new code that will be on the 810. SER023 – clearance request with less than 3 business days. They will do what they have always done which is zero charge if the request is made for > 3 business days. For the Franchise fee, it’s better for them to just leave that separate because it flows to the city. Franchise fee is going down a little. The clearance fee is $150 which covers the disconnect and reconnect. This data is available on the LP&L website. It’s a redlined version. Just scroll down. Not too many changes. Some wording changes.

**814\_20 ESI id Maintenance**

Michael stated that they talked about this last month. It should be in the notes from the last meeting. If they change the meter base with a new meter type, change the address, or the premise classification, they are not able to send an 814\_20. They must create a new ESI id. Commercial and Residential are different in their system. Part of the shared services with the city, when they develop a new area, their data updates to their system. They are still going to need to create a new ESI for that address. See the ppt. if they updated an address from the existing address…the GIS updates their system at night. They are going to not change if it’s not new. Sheri asked if this is still on the radar to change down the road? Michael said that he was willing to look at it but something like this is fundamental to how their system is built. Probably won’t happen before Smart Meter Texas. Sheri asked if this is something that changes, it will probably be in 2025. MVIs and MVOs create downstream impacts for the customers.

See presentation posted on the LRITF meeting page for today, for additional information.

**Open MarkeTraks**

Sheri had noted this at the last meeting. She has seen that the volume is going down. If they have an updated then it could be sent out with the notes.

**LP&L Weekly Calls**

These can continue and Michael said that they will support those.

**DLF Updates**

Michael said that he thinks these are getting better and he hasn’t heard anything about it not getting better. Chris asked if there was going to be a market notice. Michael said that John suggested a market notice for tariff changes. Michael said that they might pattern it after NRG. Another market notice is for Meeting notifications. Once those are decided he will send those out. Kyle mentioned that LP&L was doing good and the format for market notices was good.

**Texas SET 5.0 Readiness**

Chris mentioned that testing was just about finished and asked if that went well for Michael. Sheri mentioned some testing info. Michael said that his team did the primary part of testing.

**Lessons Learned**

Lessons learned were discussed. Some additions need to be made. Chris mentioned that there were some due dates on holidays. May need to correct that. Michael said that Veterans Day was included and it caused them some issues.

Chris asked about training. Is there’s some sort of training that should have been attended if another transition to competition should occur. Michael said that they had a lot of volume of issues but not sure about training. He reached out to several including Sheri and Chris. Kyle mentioned that there maybe should be some sort of place to capture administrative things.

**Open Discussion**

Meter Read and Holiday Calendars

Chris noted that the meter reading and Holiday Calendars have already been sent by some TDSPs. Michael said they are finalizing that today and making the final checks. Will be done by the end of this week. Michael asked if we choose a cycle date or if they just push it to the next day.

LRITF November Meeting

Chris mentioned that RMS has been cancelled for November and asked if we should change the date of the LRITF meeting. Sheri said that as long as we have the weekly calls, we can cancel the November LRITF meeting.

The next LP&L weekly call will be held on Friday, October 25th.

**Adjourn**

Chris thanked everyone for coming and adjourned the meeting at 2:19 PM.