LRITF Meeting

Wednesday, April 2, 2024

1:00 PM

ERCOT

8000 Metropolis Dr Building E

Austin, TX 78744

Meeting offered Web-Ex and in person

**Meeting Notes**

Chris Rowley, LRITF co-Chair opened the meeting and welcomed everyone.

Chris introduced the other LRITF co-Chairs; Sheri Wiegand with Vistra and Michael Winegeart with LP&L.

* **Antitrust**

Chris proceeded with reading the Anti-trust statement.

* **Introductions and Agenda Review**

Those in the room introduced themselves with company.

**Attending in Person**

* Chris Rowley Oncor
* Sheri Wiegand TXU
* Michael Winegeart LP&L
* Tomas Fernandez NRG
* Bill Snyder AEP
* Debbie McKeever Oncor
* Kyle Patrick NRG
* Heather Fails Oncor
* John Schatz Vistra
* Angela Ghormley Calpine
* Kathy Scott Centerpoint
* Ignacio Vazquez Oncor
* Monica Jones Vistra
* Frank Wilson NEC Co-op Energy
* Rob Bevill TNMP
* Pam Shaw Principal Services, LP&L
* Pamela Hansen ERCOT
* Justin Troublefield ERCOT
* Steven Pliler Vistra

**Attendees listed on the Web-ex**

* ERCOT – Pamela Hanson
* Debbie McKeever Oncor
* Jordan Troublefield ERCOT
* Aly B LPL
* Amy Sue LP&L
* Andrea Armistead LP&L
* Ashley Chesser VBB
* Behnaz Just Energy
* Bill Snyder AEP Texas
* Dale Gibbs Just Energy
* Darrell Miller ESG
* Deavonte Anderson OEUS
* Deb Belin Earth Etch
* Dee Lowerre Reliant
* Dheena C LP&L
* Donna Tan
* Elizabeth Baiza LP&L
* ERCOT Catherine Meiners
* ERCOT - Dave Michelsen
* ERCOT – Janice Ayson
* ERCOT – Kelly Brink
* ERCOT - Kennedy Meier
* ERCOT – Randy Roberts
* Eric Lotter GridMonitor
* ESG – Mark Pepdjonovic
* Fails, Heather Oncor
* Frank Nunes VertexOne
* Jaime Jose Jaramillo LP&L
* Josh Pillow LP&L
* Kathy Scott Centerpoint Energy
* Laura Gomez LP&L
* LRITF
* LRITF2
* Mansoor Khanmohamed BP Energy Retail Company LLC
* Melissa Maldonado LP&L
* Pam Shaw LP&L
* Ray Camarena VBB
* Sam Pak Oncor
* Susana Fraire LP&L
* Tyler Krueger BDE
* Ty Teaff Teaff Power Consultants
* Viviana Valdez LP&L
* William Butler LP&L
* Yvette Perez OEUS

**Agenda Review**

Agenda was reviewed. Chris spoke to each item. No changes requested. No changes made.

* **Lubbock Customer Choice Move in Transaction Status Update**

Michael provided the update.

* **Move-in Counts**

About 240 have a pending enrollment for a future date. As of this morning LP&L found 10 ESIs that did not transition to a DREP. Michael said that he thought they would divide those up amongst the DREPs. Once they have the 10 done, the transition will be complete.

Their total population is about 108,000 ESI ids.

LP&L has been working through some exceptions via MarkeTraks.

* **CSA (814\_18/814\_22) Counts**

Dave Michelsen provided a count of 19,650 active CSAs.

ERCOT wasn’t sure that they have seen the CSA trans flow. There are no 867\_03F (Finals) flowing. Dave has seen the exceptions and are working those through MarkeTrak.

* **Clean-up & Inadvertent Gains**
* **Cancelled DREP Transactions (No REP of Record)**

Michael provided the following update.

For no REP of record scenarios, Lubbock originally planned to initiate the “left in hot/no ROR” process: door contact, letter, etc. However, about 200 of those ended up going to a DREP. Plan is to execute a 3rd DREP to clean up the few enrollments since the original orders were cancelled. That should have concluded yesterday.

* **867s and 810s** –

REPs asked when they could potentially see some 867s/810s. Today would be cycle 2, when would REPs be able to see the periodics (monthly usage). Michael mentioned that LP&L was set to send them out however, they found out, they can’t have decimals. This is not in the RMG nor TX SET guides, however, a TDU common practice. Michael said that they think they have a solution. They are currently holding the 867s and the 810s.

Someone on Michael’s team will be asked to send out a market notice communicating the delay. It may be a few extra days before they are sent out because LP&L needs to finish the fix, test it and get it into production. They are currently receiving meter reads with decimals. The solution is to be round down every time. It’s cleaner, the customer looks like they are not paying more than they are using. They might have to eat the cost with the REPs. There will be an interim fix and a permanent fix but it should be seamless to the REPs. The market will be seeing whole numbers. This will be in a couple of weeks. Corrections will be cancelled and rebilled.

If two originals were sent, the first is cancelled and then the 2nd one you, do a cancel and a rebill. The first had incorrect data. The 2nd one, you would do a cancel and a rebill. That would clear out the incorrect data. Tomas suggested we have a call or take it off line to make sure that everything is understood because there will be a lot of problems if we don’t, there can’t be a 3rd original. With the new 810 rebill, there will be an updated due date. Some 810s were sent w/o the 35 days to pay. There is a solution for this.

* **The choice move-in (MVI) trumping a DREP transactions (No REP of Record)**

This is where the 3 DREPs were receiving a list from ERCOT asking them to cancel their scheduled move-in date because there was a scheduled move in with a competitive choice REP. Some of the MVIs could not be cancelled because it was going to be completed that same day. Regardless of those DREP move-ins where then those need to be corrected and the choice transaction should be Corrected.

Dave Michelsen said from his perspective they are not making a decision on what order completes, they are looking at every ESI id with all that are open and they are looking at asking Lubbock which one they intend to complete. As long as they have a meter read with the correct date, they will complete the one that Lubbock intends to complete which will be used for settlement. They will manually go in and if they have an order that’s completed, and the 867 is not in alignment then they will cancel and use the meter read which is when the MMRD + 1 trumps MMRD, they were cancelling their DREP move in so the choice transaction would prevail. Dave is modifying the system so the choice prevails and the DREP not be impacted by the 1-day bill. The DREPs will be receiving a cancel transaction from ERCOT. ERCOT receives these every day but not very many. This is not new.

* **Multiple ESI ids for Same Customer – Different REPs**

Updated list was sent last Thursday. On that list REPs were asked to contact their customer and ask if they wanted to enroll the house and the barn or whatever else was there. Many items were included on the list. One of the Lubbock people in Michael’s group created a list and thought that would be helpful. Michael said that the list was probably not as helpful as they wanted it to be. LP&L used the CBCI file and modified. If you received it 3 weeks ago and want an updated list, send an email to LP&L’s Market Ops team and they will provide. If one of their ESIs went to the competitive REP and the other ESI id went to the DREP. Sometimes this was intentional. Some of this will be accepted on a going-forward basis (and not a BDMVI). We don’t want to keep switching the customer back and forth. Usually, the original was the DREP. This may take care of itself.

* **Retired ESI ids**

Regarding retired ESIs, those have had some “bad data”, the bulk of 814\_20s (to retire) have been sent so now they are down to just everyday practice.

There were issues where the customer’s choice REP enrolled the retired ESI and the “good” ESI was defaulted to a DREP. This could result in the choice transaction not being worked. There has been a clean-up effort. Typically, if the ESI ID is inactive in their system for 12 months, it will be retired in the 13th month.

* **MMRD +1 trumping the MMRD**

If there was a transaction and the customer made choice, we want to make sure choice prevails. We have been working those through MarkeTrak. The DREPs sometimes received a 1-day bill. DEV MarkeTraks should be submitted to ensure the MMRD+1 trumps the MMRD.

* **Enrolling Incorrect Address (Current Occupant Process)**

If a customer enrolled the wrong address, typically, an IAG would be submitted to return the ESI ID to the previous REP. With the transition, a previous REP does not exist, thus REPs should utilize the “Current Occupant Process”. The CO process is executed by the REP.

* **LSE files**
* **Interval Data Delivery to ERCOT**

Michael said that they are working with ERCOT on the LSE files received. LP&L experienced a problem the 2nd week where line 23 was missing. For a few days, all was well and then an issue. Michael stated they are working on the front end before they are sent out to ERCOT.

As of 3-11, the corrected LSE files should be accurate. LP&L has resubmitted all days prior to 3-11 and should be complete by the end of this week. All of those files are being sent and ERCOT is receiving those and less than 1% of those need to be fixed.

When they send the files, they are sending all 108k of the ESI ids. A question was asked if there was a way a REP can find out what should be sent and what is being sent?

Files don’t necessarily represent the number of ESI ids, as corrections may also be sent. For example, 258,000 ESIs were sent yesterday representing multiple days of interval data. They were wanting to know how many ESI ids are in a file. Kelly (ERCOT) said she can’t tell how many ESIs are in a file, but the number of transactions from Lubbock yesterday – over 253,000 records in it. Probably about 3 days in it.

LP&L explained their data collection process: from midnight to 6 AM the collection grid is creating the files to their OWC system where VEE is finalized in their system. At this point, LP&L is sending yesterday’s data tomorrow, thus a 2 day lag to ensure VEE is accurate.

Kelly explained ERCOT’s process for data aggregations and the generation of the 727, AMS and IDR supplemental extracts. There are three stages in the processing: 1. High level errors, 2. Validations, 3. Loading. Processing occurs up through 4PM. The data is batched @ 5:30 PM for the trade date in question. At 5AM on day 2 the data begins loading again and steps 1 to 3 occur. The data received 7PM day 1 to 7 PM day 2 will be posted to the aforementioned extracts. What is posted in today’s extract typically represents data from 4 days ago regardless of the trade date. The extract will include any data received in that time window and could be updated files for previous trading dates.

* **AMS Data Practices**

Chris said that this was put on the agenda as a placeholder. This will be discussed at the May meeting.

* **Distribution Loss Factors (DLFs)**

Michael said the ESIs that were incorrect have been corrected with the accurate DLF codes. If someone notices that they have a question or issue they need to notify market ops.

Randy (ERCOT) indicated the settlement system still had some periods listed as B-E where the values are all equal. Randy had asked if we should leave as is and next year just use an A and a B. Right now, everything is an A, B or T that’s active. If ERCOT keeps calculating the other codes, they aren’t being issued. Leave it how you have it and next year when you post them just get rid of C, D, and E. There is no impact so we can leave as is.

They should be using A and B because they don’t have any T customers at this time.

Because these are past records, there will be some that are C, but in the future, there will not be any that are C.

* **MVI Priority Codes**

MVI priority codes have been posted on the main LRITF meeting page [here](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2024/03/26/LP&L-PMVI-Codes-20240325.docx) and are found below. These codes should be posted to LP&L’s website for future reference.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Priority Move-Ins | |
| An 814\_16 transaction includes a priority code it will be handled in the following manner: | |
| Priority Code 1 | Standard Move In |
| Priority Code 2 | Priority Move In |
| Priority Code 3 or 4 | Handled as Code 1 |
| No Priority Code | Handled as Code 1 |

* **Historical Usage (HI vs HU)**

Functionality is in testing for the receipt of the HI flag in enrollment transactions and will return either historical interval data or summary usage if interval data is not available. Should be in production by the end of the week.

* **Open Discussion**

Chris noted that the next LRITF meeting is scheduled for May 7th after RMS

Daily calls – moving from every day to Tuesday and Thursdays.

Michael was sending invites. Everyone should have received an invite. If you didn’t then please ask Michael.

**Adjourn** Chris adjourned the meeting at 2:42 PM.