|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LPGRR Number | [074](https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/issues/LPGRR074) | LPGRR Title | Align Definitions of IDRRQ, LRG, and LRGDG |
| Date of Decision | April 11, 2024 |
| Action | Approved |
| Timeline | Normal |
| Estimated Impacts | Cost/Budgetary: NoneProject Duration: No project required  |
| Effective Date | May 1, 2024 |
| Priority and Rank Assigned | Not applicable |
| Load Profiling Guide Sections Requiring Revision  | Appendix D, Profile Decision Tree – Definitions |
| Related Documents Requiring Revision/Related Revision Requests | None |
| Revision Description | This Load Profiling Guide Revision Request (LPGRR) aligns IDRRQ, LRG, and LRGDG term language in the Profile Decision Tree “Definitions” worksheet with Profile Segment language that was added to the “Segment Assignment” worksheet upon the PUCT’s approval of LPGRR069, Add Lubbock Zip Codes and Clarify BUSIDRRQ/BUSLRG (DG) Assignments, at their December 15, 2022 meeting. |
| Reason for Revision |  [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 1 – Be an industry leader for grid reliability and resilience [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 2 - Enhance the ERCOT region’s economic competitiveness with respect to trends in wholesale power rates and retail electricity prices to consumers [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 3 - Advance ERCOT, Inc. as an independent leading industry expert and an employer of choice by fostering innovation, investing in our people, and emphasizing the importance of our mission General system and/or process improvement(s) Regulatory requirements ERCOT Board and/or PUCT Directive*(please select ONLY ONE – if more than one apply, please select the ONE that is most relevant)* |
| Justification of Reason for Revision and Market Impacts | This LPGRR applies consistency across the Profile Decision Tree, in alignment with approved LPGRR069 language. |
| RMS Decision | On 1/9/24, RMS voted unanimously to recommend approval of LPGRR074 as submitted. All Market Segments participated in the vote.On 2/6/24, RMS voted unanimously to endorse and forward to TAC the 1/9/24 RMS Report and the 1/23/24 Impact Analysis for LPGRR074. All Market Segments participated in the vote. |
| Summary of RMS Discussion | On 1/9/24, RMS reviewed LPGRR074. On 2/6/24, RMS reviewed the 1/23/24 Impact Analysis. |
| TAC Decision | On 2/14/24, TAC voted unanimously to recommend approval of LPGRR074 as recommended by RMS in the 2/6/24 RMS Report. All Market Segments participated in the vote. |
| Summary of TAC Discussion | On 2/14/24, there was no additional discussion beyond TAC review of the items below. |
| TAC Review/Justification of Recommendation |  Revision Request ties to Reason for Revision as explained in Justification  Impact Analysis reviewed and impacts are justified as explained in Justification Opinions were reviewed and discussed Comments were reviewed and discussed (if applicable) Other: (explain) |
| ERCOT Board Decision | On 2/27/24, the ERCOT Board voted unanimously to recommend approval of LPGRR074 as recommended by TAC in the 2/14/24 TAC Report. |
| PUCT Decision | On 4/11/24, the PUCT approved LPGRR074 and accompanying ERCOT Market Impact Statement as presented in Project No. 54445, Review of Protocols Adopted by the Independent Organization. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Opinions** |
| **Credit Review** | Not Applicable |
| **Independent Market Monitor Opinion** | IMM has no opinion on LPGRR074. |
| **ERCOT Opinion** | ERCOT supports approval of LPGRR074. |
| **ERCOT Market Impact Statement** | ERCOT Staff has reviewed LPGRR074 and believes that it provides a positive market impact by offering general system and/or process improvement(s) by aligning IDRRQ, LRG, and LRGDG term language in the Profile Decision Tree with Profile Segment language that was added upon the PUCT’s approval of LPGRR069. |

|  |
| --- |
| Sponsor |
| Name | Sam Pak on behalf of Profile Working Group (PWG) |
| E-mail Address | sam.pak@oncor.com |
| Company | Oncor |
| Phone Number | 214-486-4120 |
| Cell Number |  |
| Market Segment | Not applicable |

|  |
| --- |
| **Market Rules Staff Contact** |
| **Name** | Jordan Troublefield |
| **E-Mail Address** | Jordan.Troublefield@ercot.com |
| **Phone Number** | 512-248-6521 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments Received** |
| **Comment Author** | **Comment Summary** |
| None |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Market Rules Notes** |

None

|  |
| --- |
| Proposed Guide Language Revision |

**Appendix D, Profile Decision Tree - “Definitions” worksheet**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **IDRRQ** | Denotes Premises billed on a 4-CP tariff where the TDSP cannot support a 4-CP billing rate with an AMS profile (aka IDR Metered Premise), or if 4-CP is not applicable to utility tariffs, a peak Demand greater than 700 kW or kVA. |   | Segment Assignment tab |
|  | **LRG** | Denotes Premises billed on a 4-CP tariff where the TDSP can support a 4-CP billing rate with an AMS profile and does not have Distributed Generation, or if 4-CP is not applicable to utility tariffs, a peak Demand greater than 700 kW or kVA. |   | Segment Assignment tab |
|  | **LRGDG** | Denotes Premises billed on a 4-CP tariff where the TDSP can support a 4-CP billing rate with an AMS profile and has Distributed Generation, or if 4-CP is not applicable to utility tariffs, a peak Demand greater than 700 kW or kVA. |   | Segment Assignment tab |