LRITF Meeting

Tuesday, March 5, 2024

1:00 PM

ERCOT Building E

Meeting offered Web-Ex and in person

**Meeting Notes**

Chris Rowley, LRITF co-Chair opened the meeting and welcomed everyone.

Chris introduced the other two co-Chairs; Sheri Wiegand with Vistra and Michael Winegeart with LP&L.

Chris proceeded with reading the Anti-trust statement.

Those in the room introduced themselves.

**Attending in Person**

Monica Jones Centerpoint

Tomas Fernandez NRG

Jeff Chen Centerpoint

Sam Pak Oncor

Dee Lowerre Reliant

Bill Snyder AEP

Debbie McKeever Oncor

Kyle Patrick Reliant

Heather Fails Oncor

John Schatz Vistra

Steven Pliler Vistra

Angela Ghormley Calpine

Dawn Compton Oncor

Kathy Scott Centerpoint

**Attendees listed on the Web-ex**

Debbie McKeever Oncor

Jordan Troublefield ERCOT

Aly B LPL

Amy Sue LP&L

Behnaz Just Energy

Bill Barnes NRG

Bill Snyder AEP Texas

Bruce Grey

Call-in User\_3

Call-in User\_4

Call-in User\_5

Clint Gardner LP&L

Corina G LP&L

Darrell Miller ESG

Deavonte Anderson OEUS

Deb Belin Earth Etch

Dee Lowerre Reliant

Elizabeth Baiza LP&L

ERCOT Catherine Meiners

ERCOT - Dave Michelsen

ERCOT - Kennedy Meier

Eric Broach NextEra Energy Retail

Eric Lotter GridMonitor

Frank Nunes VertexOne

Frank Wilson Nueces

Hansen, Matthew

Jennifer Talley Octopus Energy

Katy Tumlinson LP&L

Keli Swan

KM

Krista Ortiz LP&L

Laura Gomez LP&L

LRITF

LRITF2

Mansoor Khanmohamed BP Energy Retail Company LLC

Me

Michael Winegeart LP&L

Michelle Cook LPL

Pam Shaw LP&L

Randi Flowers

Sam Pak Oncor

Samara

Sanchez, Brandyn

Seth Connel ERCOT

Shanette

Stephanie O

Susana Fraire LP&L

Tiffany Worley

Ty Teaff Teaff Power Consultants

Viviana Valdez LP&L

William Butler LP&L

Yvette Perez OEUS

**Agenda Review**

Agenda was reviewed. Chris spoke to each item.

No changes requested. No changes made.

**Lubbock Customer Choice Move in Transaction Status Update**

Michael provided the following update.

Customer choice move-in transaction status as of now:

* + - Final default assignments were finished evening of 3/1. All went well. No problems with the DREP assignments.
		- LP&L moved 3,623 ESIs on cycle 2 to retail yesterday.
			* Another 30 or so that should have moved but didn’t.
			* About 3 more that they unexecuted. They are looking into those to see what happened.
		- If a moveout is performed on a CSA ESI id, will it move to the CSA REP at this time?
			* If an ESI has not transitioned and the tenant moves out, will the premise transfer to the CSA holder? No. because there has not been an MVI transaction in ERCOT to “energize” the ESI. A CSA will only be activated once the first MVI effectuates followed by an MVO (to trigger CSA)
			* The REP will need to submit an move-in if the landlord wants power to the premise.
		- Sheri noted that if they have a ‘vacant’ in property managers name and premise is energized with Lubbock, they are scheduled to transition by the end of March. Many property managers did not want to select a REP for vacants (thus issuing choice MVI) until they were certain the space was to be vacant…thus the ESI defaulted to a DREP and CSA holder/REP of Choice would need to issue an MMRD + 1.
		- Pam Shaw/Catherine (ERCOT) checked and there are 11,177 CSA set ups.
		- **TRANSITION STACKING LOGIC**: MMRD + 1 orders submitted after March 4th – their intent was that the MMRD logic was to dissolve as of March 1 – but that’s not what people were expecting so LP&L extended their logic to April 1.
			* By extending the date, true move-ins are not supported since the date will be pushed to MMRD+1.
			* A few suggestions were made to alert LP&L an MVI was a true MVI and to not adjust the date, but execute on requested date.
				+ Safety Nets
				+ Issuing MVIs as PMVIs
				+ Email to marketops@mylubbock.us notifying of MVI scenario
			* While the suggestions have merit, are the manual workarounds practical and feasible?
			* Volumes of MVIs with multiple orders was presented. Of the 108,000 ESIs the following # have multiple pending orders:
				+ 97,000 – 1 order
				+ 7,094 – 2 orders
				+ 185 – 3 orders
				+ 10 – 4 orders
				+ 2 – 5 orders
			* Is the solution for the 197 ESIs with 3-5 orders? Do we need to consider the ~70,000 ESIs where choice was already made?
			* A very in depth conversation ensued suggesting various options and scenarios. Considerations for *removing the transition logic*:
				+ No longer aligns with market message (MVI matrix) that a customer will have up to their date of transition to choose a provider where the expectation is the MMRD+1 will trump the MMRD or DREP transaction and customer will not receive a 1 day bill.
				+ On the other hand, true MVIs would be honored where any transaction date submitted (outside of MMRD or MMRD+1) will be considered

DNPs to MVIs can also be accommodated

* + - * + If a true MVI is submitted prior to MMRD, and MMRD and MMRD+1 transactions exist, will those be executed as well?

LP&L feels if an MVI is submitted prior to MMRD, any future dated transactions for MMRD and MMRD+1 would be unexecuted with a 814\_28 T018 MVI PRIOR TO DEFAULT

* + - * + It was confirmed the new logic would only apply to transactions submitted *after* 3/4 or whenever the logic is removed. Thus, any MMRDs with MMRDs+1 are considered ‘pairings’ and LP&L functionality will allow the choice transaction to prevail
				+ It was suggested and offered by DREPs to consider cancelling their DREP transaction if another MMRD+1 MVI was submitted once the transition logic was removed. ERCOT would provide daily reports to DREPs for the cancelling of transactions to allow choice to prevail.
			* Two representatives from the property managers in the Lubbock area voiced their concerns about the ability to turn the power on for their spaces where tenants have moved out. It was noted there may ~10,000 spaces with fluid movement in March where MVIs would need to be executed.
			* All of the above is to be considered by REPs and LP&L for the next daily market call where the options will be reviewed and a decision made.
			* FOLLOW UP NOTE: on 3/6/24, it was decided to remove the transition logic to allow for the efficient execution of true MVIs since Lubbock was no longer accepting MVIs.
				+ DREPs are to receive lists from ERCOT daily with a list of MVIs to cancel
				+ LP&L will unexecute any MMRD and MMRD+1 MVIs if a true MVI is requested and executed prior to MMRD.
				+ Any MVIs or transactions once the first MVI completes (aside from above) will be executed
				+ REPs are encouraged to review their T018 rejects MVI PRIOR TO DEFAULT to reach out to their customer confirming if another MVI needs to be considered (concern for ‘make ready’ apartment units)

**Inadvertent Gain Process During Transition Phase**

This item will be tabled until the next LRITF meeting.

**Winter Storm Uri Securitization Exemption NPRR**

It was noted at the last meeting that the PUCT issued a declaratory order aligning with ERCOT, LP&L, and REP’s position that Lubbock customers are exempt from securitization charges.

ERCOT responded there was no progress on an associated NPRR codifying the position.

 **IDR Payloads**

Has been posted to the LRITF page and Sheri also sent out. Pam said an improved 867\_03 is available.

**Historical Usage Requests – LOA Form Change in Effect**

The RMGRR has been approved and effectuated that includes the new Lubbock LOA form and is now accepting the new form and no longer accepting the old form.

This afternoon, LP&L did receive a form from a company that didn’t use the new LOA which included LP&L. REPs will need to update their process.

**Updated Tariff**

* Elimination of DG Rates – Approval Timeline
* Street Light Rate Change

Tariffs have been approved. Michael will provide updated consolidation of all rates to be distributed and posted to the main LRITF page.

 **EPS Meters**

Lubbock had 3 EPS meters from their old power plants. ERCOT isn’t keen on leaving those on power plants that are not expected to run. They have plants and 3 tie-lines to EPS meters and each has multiple meters.

What they are planning is to convert those to our normal meters and then they will create ESI ids and get with the City of Lubbock retailer to submit the enrollments.

The goal is to complete prior to the transition on April 1st.

**Open discussion**

**Franchise Fee**

During testing it was noticed the ‘franchise fee’ was parsed out of the ‘delivery service fee’ with a separate line item in an 810\_02, however, had the same SAC04 code of DIS001. Because this line item is not listed in LP&L’s tariff, REPs and customers will not be expecting the fee. Also, it was noted only one SAC10 descriptor can be used per SAC04 code in an invoice.

Per LP&L, the intent is to include the franchise fee (broken out) in their upcoming annual revisions (effective 10/1/24) where an already approved separate SAC04 will be utilized.

 **LSE Files**

What was the status of LP&L’s LSE files? Per Lubbock, the files were created but had yet to be sent to ERCOT. ERCOT noted they were ready and are capable of processing the files for settlement.

FOLLOW UP: Per discussions on daily market calls, LP&L has received many rejects on their LSE format noting the last line is blank. Adjustments of settings were being tweaked to ensure the files will be sent with the expected format. Interval data is currently being estimated for settlement, yet will be replaced with actual data once received.

**Open Discussion**

Next Meeting: Tuesday, April 2nd after RMS @ 1:00 PM

Need to move the meeting that’s currently scheduled.

That will not be on the 18th because the LRITF leadership has a conflict.

April 2nd is the LRITF meeting that was scheduled after the 18th.

 **Adjourn**

Chris adjourned the meeting at 3:00 PM.