|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NPRR Number | [1193](https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/issues/NPRR1193) | NPRR Title | Related to SMOGRR027, Move OBD to Settlement Metering Operating Guide – EPS Metering Design Proposal |
| Date of Decision | | February 14, 2024 | |
| Action | | Recommended Approval | |
| Timeline | | Normal | |
| Estimated Impacts | | Cost/Budgetary: None  Project Duration: No project required | |
| Proposed Effective Date | | Upon implementation of Settlement Metering Operating Guide Revision Request (SMOGRR) 027, Move OBD to Settlement Metering Operating Guide – EPS Metering Design Proposal. | |
| Priority and Rank Assigned | | Not Applicable | |
| Nodal Protocol Sections Requiring Revision | | 10.4.2, EPS Design Proposal Documentation Required from the TSP or DSP | |
| Related Documents Requiring Revision/Related Revision Requests | | SMOGRR027 | |
| Revision Description | | This Nodal Protocol Revision Request (NPRR) changes the referenced location of the EPS Metering Design Proposal form, as it is moving from the Other Binding Document List into the Settlement Metering Operating Guide (SMOG). | |
| Reason for Revision | | [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 1 – Be an industry leader for grid reliability and resilience  [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 2 - Enhance the ERCOT region’s economic competitiveness with respect to trends in wholesale power rates and retail electricity prices to consumers  [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 3 - Advance ERCOT, Inc. as an independent leading industry expert and an employer of choice by fostering innovation, investing in our people, and emphasizing the importance of our mission  General system and/or process improvement(s)  Regulatory requirements  ERCOT Board and/or PUCT Directive  *(please select ONLY ONE – if more than one apply, please select the ONE that is most relevant)* | |
| Justification of Reason for Revision and Market Impacts | | This NPRR is published for transparency and to ensure correct reference to the location of a form. | |
| PRS Decision | | On 9/13/23, PRS voted unanimously to recommend approval of NPRR1193 as submitted. The Independent Retail Electric Provider (IREP) Market Segment did not participate in the vote.  On 10/12/23, PRS voted unanimously to endorse and forward to TAC the 9/13/23 PRS Report and 8/9/23 Impact Analysis for NPRR1193. All Market Segments participated in the vote. | |
| Summary of PRS Discussion | | On 9/13/23, there was no discussion.  On 10/12/23, there was no discussion. | |
| TAC Decision | | On 10/24/23, TAC voted unanimously to table NPRR1193. All Market Segments participated in the vote.  On 2/14/24, TAC voted unanimously to recommend approval of NPRR1193 as recommended by PRS in the 10/12/23 PRS Report. All Market Segments participated in the vote. | |
| Summary of TAC Discussion | | On 10/24/23, TAC reviewed the ERCOT Opinion, ERCOT Market Impact Statement, and Independent Market Monitor (IMM) Opinion for NPRR1193. Participants determined to table NPRR1193 to await SMOGRR027.  On 2/14/24, there was no additional discussion beyond TAC review of the items below. | |
| TAC Review/Justification of Recommendation | | Revision Request ties to Reason for Revision as explained in Justification  Impact Analysis reviewed and impacts are justified as explained in Justification  Opinions were reviewed and discussed  Comments were reviewed and discussed (if applicable)  Other: (explain) | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Opinions** | |
| Credit Review | ERCOT Credit Staff and the Credit Finance Sub Group (CFSG) have reviewed NPRR1193 and do not believe that it requires changes to credit monitoring activity or the calculation of liability. |
| Independent Market Monitor Opinion | IMM has no opinion on NPRR1193. |
| ERCOT Opinion | ERCOT supports approval of NPRR1193. |
| ERCOT Market Impact Statement | ERCOT Staff has reviewed NPRR1193 and believes it has a positive market impact by standardizing the approval process for binding language. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Sponsor | |
| Name | Calvin Opheim |
| E-mail Address | [Calvin.Opheim@ercot.com](mailto:Calvin.Opheim@ercot.com) |
| Company | ERCOT |
| Phone Number | 512-248-3944 |
| Cell Number |  |
| Market Segment | Not Applicable |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Market Rules Staff Contact** | |
| **Name** | Brittney Albracht |
| **E-Mail Address** | [Brittney.Albracht@ercot.com](mailto:Brittney.Albracht@ercot.com) |
| **Phone Number** | 512-225-7027 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Comments Received** | |
| Comment Author | **Comment Summary** |
| None |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Market Rules Notes** |

None

|  |
| --- |
| Proposed Protocol Language Revision |

10.4.2 EPS Design Proposal Documentation Required from the TSP or DSP

(1) Before installation of new EPS Meters, TSP or DSP shall provide ERCOT with an EPS Design Proposal of the Metering Facilities being considered for ERCOT approval as EPS Meter Facilities. An “EPS Design Proposal” is the documentation required pursuant to Settlement Metering Operating Guide, Section 12, Attachment A, EPS Metering Design Proposal. Included one line drawings must be dated, detailed, bear the current drawing revision number, and show all devices which contribute to the burden in the metering circuits. Other information may also be required by ERCOT for review regarding the meter and related installation and Facilities; such additional information shall be promptly provided to ERCOT by the TSP or DSP upon request of ERCOT.