**LRITF Meeting**

**Friday, March, 24**

**9:30 AM**

**WebEx only**

Chris opened the meeting and welcomed everyone.

Chris read the antitrust statement and the agenda.

Attendees were not called upon to state their name.

Attendees listed below as displayed on the webex.

* Debbie McKeever, Oncor
* ERCOT - Jordan Troublefield
* Shawnee Claiborn-Pinto
* Abraham
* Ashley Busch-LPL
* Adrienne Downey\_NEER
* Amy Sue Stirland LP&L
* Angela Ghormley - Calpine Energy
* APC-Tamela
* Behnaz – Just Energy
* Bill Snyder - AEP
* Brandyn Sanchez
* Chris Sims - Lubbock Power & Light
* Cindy Duong – Just Energy
* Compton, Dawn - Oncor
* Dailey Smith - LPL
* Dale Gibbs - Just Energy
* Darrell Miller, ESG
* Deb Belin Earth Etch
* Dee Lowerre – Reliant Energy
* ERCOT - Catherine Meiners
* ERCOT - David Michelsen
* ERCOT - Kennedy Meier
* Eric Lotter - GridMonitor
* ESG - Mark Pepdjonovic
* Fails, Heather - Oncor
* Frank Nunes - VertexOne
* Harvey Hall – LP&L
* Jamie Wood LP&L
* JG
* Joe Jimenez - LP&L
* John Schatz / Vistra
* Katy Tumlinson - LP&L
* Kyle Patrick / Reliant Energy
* Laura Gomez- LP&L
* LAUREN DAMEN Reliant Energy
* Livia – Just Energy
* Lori Lee Barfield - Just Energy
* Matt Rose – LP&L
* Michael Winegeart - LP&L
* Nadevia Washington
* Neil Bose
* Pam Shaw – LP&L
* Paul Yockey - ERCOT
* Ross Delahoussaye - Octopus Energy
* Rowley, Chris - Oncor
* Sam Pak - Oncor
* Samara Matthews Williams
* Sheri Wiegand - Vistra
* Viviana Valdez LP&L
* William Butler - LP&L

**FERC Timeline**

PUCT Approved Lubbock’s transition of remaining customers to ERCOT

Michael Winegeart gave the update and said that the process is at FERC right now and expecting an answer 60 days which would be April 23rd and noted the PUCT open meeting last week and said that Goldenspread had withdrawn their issue.

Sheri wanted to know if Michael wanted to take a look at the timeline.

He said he has a good update and looking good on the construction and just a few issues and will be finishing up in April and May. He thinks they are doing ok and will be ok as long as nothing unforeseen happens.

Harvey said that as soon as FERC gives the approval, will be late April and there’s a 10 day period that the AG has to approve but that applies to the Bond. The construction will continue on.

Sheri wanted to know about the 10 days. Harvey said it could be less than 10 days but can be up to 10 days. 10 days can be for the partial payment with the contract.

Chris said that Goldenspread wanted to know about the payment to SPS and how that would be divided up and the PUCT would go on but was deferred to FERC. How would that transpire?

Harvey said that Goldenspread did appeal to FERC and that’s an issue with Goldenspread and SPS. This is about the proceeds between SPS and their customers and the PUCT did acknowledge and Goldenspread didn’t satisfy the PUCT on that matter and they are welcome to refer the issue. Lubbock does not anticipate that will be an issue with FERC.

**Pro-forma Tariff**

Chris said that his recollection was that the PUCT would have it on their open meeting agenda yesterday… the MOU pro-forma was approved on the consent agenda and it was right up front and they rushed right through it. It was approved. Michael is waiting on the copy to get back and they did see the order late yesterday afternoon and it’s approved and they are trying to build in their tariff know that they know that’s available.

Sheri asked if that was Chapter 2 and 5.

Michael said that’s right and the discretionary service fees, working on full description of those right now. They will put all their customer protection rules in there and borrowing some language from what we use…the IOUs in chapter 5 since theirs doesn’t have some of that language in it. They will be putting that forth to the market.

Sheri said that our next meeting will be April 4 and do they need to schedule another meeting next week. Michael said that maybe they can put it out next week and get feedback from the board and that gives them a week in case someone sees something, they still have time. She said he’s good either way and Sheri said that maybe they can use that same process. If they are able to get chapter 2 and 5 early next week then the reps have time to go through all of that and they have time and if there are all kinds of questions they can get another meeting scheduled next week.

Kyle chimed in and said that he could look when it comes out.

Sheri said that there were already some comments being drafted and Harvey should get those today.

Harvey said he looked forward to getting those.

Shawnee thanked everyone for the quick and timely work and they PUCT got it done faster because of that.

Some are from TEAM and ARM.

Chris thanks Shawnee and wanted to backtrack…can FERC make a decision outside of a PUCT open meeting. No one knew. April 23 is 3 days after the April 20th schedule open meeting. Harvey said that the answer is no they would not have to wait it will be within the 60 day window.

Can the REPs get to sign the Access Agreement after they finish the testing and Michael said he thinks so. Per PUCT order, the tariff, thus the Access Agreement will be effective 5/1/23.

They brought up the view of the delivery rates. There are more rates to the right of transmission.

MSC029 will be used for the transition charge. DIS001 distribution charge for ALL RATE CLASSES.

Sheri asked about the monthly charge which should be completely different. She said on the 810\_02 how that’s broken down it will have the unit which is kh which is kilowatt hours and then if you have a monthly charge is EA for each. Don’t think they can combine in an 810 and does think that needs to be separate.

BAS is a fixed number.

Michael said he isn’t sure about that and would get back with an answer - what is the DG fixed component and they will need to get backed to her on that.

Sheri wanted to know the cadence on when these rates may change. How often? Is it an annual cadence that delivery rates will change on an annual basis?

Lubbock said that’s the plan but it would be an October/Fall change and in synch with their fiscal year period so rates developed in the summer and effective in October/Fall.

“Rates are not finished” date is April 11.

Dee has some questions. Can you lead us off?

Needs clarification. When they have a reconnect at $24.04. Does that reconnect fee apply to all meter types? Harvey said they currently have it set for all meter types and they don’t have a distinction on all meter types. Dee said, would that be applicable for the charges that don’t specify…example disconnect at the meter, she thinks that means they need to roll at truck and those would not be applicable to AMI…they said it would and then she asked another question and said the reason it needs to be known is that they could be a lower cost or some of those and they need to get their program right and they publish for call centers and others and need to make sure they have it right. They are trying to be prepared. They dive into this and are on a trajectory to get this out fairly quickly.

Lubbock is carrying over their current discretionary rates into the new and they likely do not have a the same name for the same rate. Lubbock just rolled out AMI and between now and then they will take the questions being asked and will continue to refine the rates over time and he understands they will be talking to their customers but they need to understand Lubbock doesn’t have a distinction of AMI meter version nonstandard meter and dee expressed the need for talking points for certain costs.

Harvey said they want to stay open to all those questions because it is helping them. Dee expressed it could cost $100 to reconnect a customer who is already struggling to pay their bills.

Dee asked if there know how many nonstandard meter/opt outs they have. The have 32.

Sheri explained that when the other TSPs rolled out AMS originally they had higher costs and over time that has gone down.

Harvey said that once they get in the market those rates will go down.

Chris asked if the rates are the same as what they have today for their current activities. Harvey said that it’s pretty much the same.

Chris gave the example like a customer being disconnected for non-pay they wouldn’t be surprised by the cost.

Harvey said that they might change and simply have a single disconnect and reconnect.

Dee discussed the $100 again…just trying to understand and someone from Lubbock said the exact cost of the disconnect. …

No other questions were asked about the rates.

**Legislation**

Chris asked Michael if there was any movement on the legislation. Michael said, a little. Michael said the two house bills were read in committee on Wednesday and the next committee meeting they are expecting a vote to send to the floor and the senate bills are in committee and they are still waiting on those. Michael said they aren’t seeing any opposition and just flying under the radar.

Matt Rose said that 2663 an 2664 were both heard in committee and they did a lot of work ahead of that meeting just passing along info and when they came up on Wednesday about 5pm, no one had any issues and Matt thinks they will be approved whether it’s next Wednesday or an ad hoc meeting.

Lubbock said on the Senate side that they now want Senate bills to be heard but just sit in committee and the house bills can catch up. They are very encouraged they got a request…anyone can have the 4 items and they strongly encourage if they know people at the capital please encourage them to vote or these. They want them to know that there is high support for these bills. Sheri said if Matt can get those she will post those and Vistra would like those and would get them to her regulatory folks. Is there an ETA for the Senate Bill vote or is it June 1?

Senate bills are identical to the house bills but they don’t want the bills to stop at the different places of the chambers senate 1170 and 1171 are part of their strategy is the two senate bills are the main items and they open the two get kicked out of the house ASAP.

House bill 2264 – Release of information, they need a two/thirds vote so it can be effective and that’s the goal but if it nearly passed then it would take effect on September 1 which wouldn’t be helpful. He hopes that answers the questions.

**Flight Testing**

Sheri asked about sharing banking information and Michael will get back to them.

Kyle said that the same question as what was asked at SET this week and Kyle said that as they talk about day 8, just realize that they need to perform with this data as well and if they don’t get it to a certain point those things will get delayed because it takes a while to get set up in their system.

The sooner they get it, the sooner they can get things in the system.

**Letter for Historical Usage**

Michael said that they are using their current LOA right now and that’s what they will move forward with.

Chris asked if at some point we can go into the RMG and what changes they might need to make to satisfy what’s needed now or what is needed later. They are always willing to work with the market on that kind of stuff. Chris said that they are trying to make it as standard as possible so the brokers and reps will not have to have separate processes.

Sheri wanted to be clearer, the LOA form that was provided at the last meeting, is that what they are using and post transition, would Lubbock be looking at another form and Michael said yes.

Can Lubbock provide examples of data or do they have to wait until the LOA?

Michael said that he would check on that and respond and they are sensitive to providing customer data and Sheri said that dummy data would be helpful/fine and they just wanted to see if what they have is what Lubbock’s is going to look like.

**Peddler’s License**

Chris asked if Michael can bring them up to date on the Door to Door process

Background check will need to be completed every 6 months

License will need to be reviewed every 30 days and will be at a cost of $50 plus $5 for a photo.

Background check and finger prints will need to be obtained at any IdentiGo location in Texas.

Applications must be filled out completely with no unanswered questions. Could cause the application to be denied if it isn’t complete. Must be signed and notarized and emailed to lpdrecords@mylubbock.us

Or call 800-775-2865

All info for this process can be located at 916 Texas Avenue Lubbock, TX79401

Sheri asked if this is for business to business or is this primary residential like if someone sets up something at Home Depot or something. Michael said they would need to ask and what he thinks would be good is to take all the questions and put together in a document and post to their website. Sheri said there are sales teams that just call on businesses. Michael said they will find that out for Sheri.

Kyle had a comment. He said he is going back to what Sheri said…what …is it all door to door or is it just pop ups or what is needed for the bullet points for them to conduct themselves. Kyle said he needs to know what are they looking to cover and some of his folks have 5 or 6 and he didn’t know sometimes they were doing that and there are all types of agencies and if they can know what this is applicable for then they can pitch to those folks and let them know what this is applicable for and they need the requirements but they and it will be more tricky but they will find a way to make it work.

Michael said if they maybe need to set up a quick call on this then they would but he can’t imagine they would need to set up a meeting to check with a business just to do something. Kyle said they just need to know so they can abide by the rules.

Michael said if he and Sheri both have questions send to him and he will email them and get back to them and they will out in a words document and post and send out to everyone. Sheri said she will work with Reliant to draft a list of questions and forward to Michael and Clint.

**Temporary to Permanent process update**

Michaes indicated LP&L will not be doing a reconfiguration of the meter, the same meter might be used however a new ESI will be created.

**Tampering Information**

Chris asked where and when the tampering info might be made available. Per Michael, the decision is still being made on where to house the data.

**Stacking Logic**

Sheri said that they wanted to know what happens during the blackout period, transactionally . Will any transactions be accepted at all or will they move those but do the dates have to be after the meter reading cycle.

* Pre-black out
* In black out
* Post black out

And she is good punting this as well and maybe have a separate meeting once Lubbock is ready as well.

Michael said the last message they had at the project time they will have a final meeting next week to nail some of that down and after that they should be able to have an update.

**OPEN DISCUSSION**

Harvey said he just got a response back…does the FERC decision need to come back in a real meeting or not, it is governed by the 60- day period but does *not* have to come back to a formal meeting so the 60 day period is in effect and the 60 days is April 23.

Chris had a question about the structure of the **EFL (Electricity Facts Label)** and Michael said he would get back to him, however, the plans was to maintain consistency in the market.

Question…what does ALOP- ‘always open’ mean on their **meter reading schedule**?

Jamie said it is essentially their final bills and is their internal process and has nothing to do with the market.

John had a question and it might be for Shawnee but it is for the **power to choose website** and the zip codes…this might be something that might need to be done. Sheri said that Power to Choose was on the actions list, but they didn’t have that specific question.

Shawnee asked if that is something she needs to be working on and John said yes. He gave several things including a shopping website.

Kyle said that he wanted to go back to the **LOA** discussion. He knows what they formal would look like but is there a timeline around when those could be submitted and is it fringing on the ESI IS creation and Kyle thinks that it must and if they know can they help them get a handle on that chris said that they would get the monthly data on the Mass Customer List. Kyle understands and knows the LOA will only be from a select number of customers, not from everyone and the select number of customers, there will be high interest in those and the customers will begin to be proactive and will be helpful. Kyle said that might be too much for March 24 but if they can tell him when they might start sending them that would be good.

Sheri said will they be giving at the ESI creation” and Kyle said that is what he thinks too but it will be helpful and he doesn’t want people to go off thinking they can submit one of these things but that is helpful.

Sheri said that she has a question. With distributed generation, will these have a different rate. There isn’t a load profile provided but they need to know and they know about customer data concerns but they want to provide a good customer experience and if there is something that can be done to differentiate those customers. Sheri said that if the email can be added to the MCL then maybe perhaps including if they are a solar customer or not. Kyle said that even if the MCL can’t be changed to add an attribute like that.

**ERCOT UPDATE**

Dave Michelsen said that ERCOT will be submitting several RMGRRs and other changes and will be out by the 3-29th and they will not be coming through as Urgent.

Sheri said that the PUCT had approved the 4 NPRRs yesterday so those are good to go.

Dave said that they are doing everything they can think of.

Sheri asked if the changes in the RMG will be in the chapter 7 and 8?

Dave said that they are updating everything they know and leaving the rest for a future submission if necessary.

Sheri said she appreciates his efforts and Katherine Meiners as well.

Kyle said that if there are other meetings or anything that they can help with that they will be available and need to help because everyone relies on this communication.

John concurred and said they will be involved and attend.

Michael said that they will be welcome to Lubbock.

Michael said that Matt had been in Austin all week but now is back in the office and had those questions for him.

Michael, Sheri, John and Kyle endorsed the info shared for more meetings and communications and efforts.

Chris asked for more questions.

Dee had one and said that they had something that came up…mostly connected to college students or others. If they need to have a move out during that shopping window, if they call NRG they will refer them to LP&L and if they need to get their power back on, they can’t during the blackout window.

Matt responded and said that there a lots of types of these questions and they are working with Texas Tech and so Tech can include in the educational info so they are aware because they will be moving in this summer and it is an additional step why will have to take from July through the end of the year.

Dee said…we can’t call your power on today but call LP&L and we can serve you later. She will get that info to their call center folks. Matt said it will help having that type info out. Sheri said that maybe that process and the stacking logic could be at the same meeting. Matt said that he can share all his documents, there is mothing that can’t be shared. By and large they will be putting everything on their website and now that they are putting some of the items out. They can put out the time but until the legislative or other info can be finished, they can’t give them an exact date. People can send to him or they can look at the website be they are trying to get as much info as possible on the website.

Chris asked for other questions. None were asked.

Adjourned at 11:35 AM.