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|  |
| --- |
| Executive Summary |
| ERCOT should implement the proposed Dispatchable Reliability Reserve Service (DRRS) as a “no regrets” bridging option to address ongoing operational issues.  ERCOT should avoid options that involve PCM until the Texas Legislature has formally responded to the PUCT’s request for input on whether PCM should be implemented.  ERCOT should remove the option involving ORDC from consideration at this time because the PUCT, not ERCOT, should make any changes to the ORDC. |

|  |
| --- |
| Option 1: Implement a Basic settlement component of PCM manually |
| ERCOT should remove this option from consideration at this time because the PUCT is waiting for the Texas Legislature to opine on whether the PUCT should implement PCM. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Option 2: Procure Additional Ancillary Services |
| ERCOT should implement the proposed Dispatchable Reliability Reserve Service (DRRS) as a “no regrets” bridging option. The ERCOT IMM has endorsed a comparable product as a means to address operational issues that have arisen in recent years associated with the growth of intermittent renewable capacity on the ERCOT grid. |

|  |
| --- |
| Option 3: Enhance the Operating Reserve Demand Curve (ORDC) |
| ERCOT should remove this option from consideration at this time because the PUCT, not ERCOT, should make any changes to the ORDC. |

|  |
| --- |
| Option 4: Backstop Reserve Service (BRS) |
| No opinion. |

|  |
| --- |
| Option 5: Contracts for Capacity |
| The ERCOT, with approval from the PUCT, could consider using RMR contracts if necessary to maintain grid reliability. |

|  |
| --- |
| Option 6: Publish Indicative PCM Values |
| ERCOT should remove this option from consideration at this time because the PUCT is waiting for the Texas Legislature to opine on whether the PUCT should implement PCM. |

|  |
| --- |
| Conclusion/Additional Comments |
| ERCOT should implement the Dispatchable Reliability Reserve Service (DRRS) as a “no regrets” bridging option to address ongoing operational issues. |