***LRITF MEETING***

***February 7, 2023***

***ERCOT 1:30 PM***

Chris Rowley opened the meeting and proceeded with reading the antitrust admonition.

Chris asked those in the room to please introduce themselves and state their name and company.

Attending in person:

* Debbie McKeever Oncor Electric Delivery
* Chris Rowley Oncor Electric Delivery
* Sam Pak Oncor Electric Delivery
* Heather Fails Oncor Electric Delivery
* Kathy Scott Centerpoint
* Bill Snyder AEP
* John Schatz Vistra
* Sheri Wiegand Vistra
* Stephen Wilson Vistra
* Clint Gardner LP&L
* Pam Shaw Consultant for LP&L
* Michael Winegeart LP&L
* Jamie Wood LP&L
* Dave Michelsen ERCOT

Web-ex

* Ted Hailu ERCOT
* Corina G LP&L
* Dale Gibbs Gexa
* Darrell Miller ESG
* Mark Pepdjonovic ESG
* Jenny Smith LP&L
* Nancy
* Neil Bose
* Samara Matthews Constellation
* Shawnee Claiborn-Pinto PUCT
* Yohan Sutjandra
* Luke Cortright
* Mary McIvor
* Rilla Wolf
* Lori Lee Barfield Just Energy
* Laura Gomez LP&L
* Livia Just Energy
* Kyle Patrick NRG
* Kennedy Meier ERCOT
* Catherine Meiners ERCOT
* Austin Rosel ERCOT
* Edward Dearman
* Chris Sims
* Connie Hermes NEC
* Krista LP&L
* Kristin Abbott
* Deb Belin Earth Etch
* Edwin Dearman
* Eric Lotter GridMonitor
* Frank Nunes VertexOne
* Adrienne Down
* Amy Sue Stirland LP&L
* Ashley Bush LP&L
* Behnaz Just Energy
* Brandyn Sanchez
* Eric Broach Gexa

**Pro-Forma Tariff**

When would the access agreement be available?

Many people are needing this information. The reps are wanting to know. Shawnee said that once the rules are effective, the access agreement is part of that tariff and Shawnee might need to ask if the attorneys know and maybe get the attorneys in the room.

If it’s approved on the 9th and it gets approved and there are 21 days before it can be effective.

Can Lubbock start the process on their end during the period of the 21 days?

PUCT says they will file it at the beginning of March. If it gets approved during the open meeting, people would know what changes are being made. Shawnee does not think it will change once it has been approved.

They would know what they are signing. She suggested that they ask their attorneys about that.

Chris asked…if it does get approved then everyone can go out and see it.

Is Lubbock ok with sending it out so people can at least see it?

Jenny said she wants to add some color and something to remember is that the Appendix changes and also remember it Chapters 2 and 5 will be inserted as well before being approved LP&L’s EUB & CC at the end of March.

Chapter 5 is the rates…like the IOUs chapter 6 including rates and timelines.

If it is approved, can it be circulated…what is the process Lubbock wants to take to get the approach to the REP? LP&L needs to start thinking about that – before or flight testing?

When we receive a test letter from ERCOT, do we send the DSA over to the REP.

Not unless the REP lets us know.

The time of the activities for the Access agreement will align with the Flight Testing and are yet to be determined.

**LP&L Proposed Legislation**

Matt Rose provided a legislative update.

They are looking to bring two bills, one for chapter 40 and one for section 182 of the Texas Utilities Code

Chapter 40 used the wording from the comments and they revised the language that they had and develop it to include what they felt everyone needed to see and then he met with their legislative group and shared it to …it was submitted to Senator Perry and house Rep Teppler. And they sent it to legislative council. It will go to house of state affairs or legislative center of commerce…matt hopes they will get back the docs in about a week. They are entertaining other ways to ensure the bill passes. Bill # could be in the ten-thousand’s range. It is very simple, very direct so Matt does not think that it will take much longer. The final day to file bills will be March 10 and all the Lubbock conversations with their legislative group, they don’t see that there will be any large items, they are just eerily awaiting from legislative council and hope they can get them through and passed and in the May timeframe for a June effective date.

Sheri asked if there are any issues with getting them heard or is that going to be a problem. Matt said he does not think that they will have a hard time being heard.

This group and some of the larger groups who want to see us successful in this some of them want to see this go forward such as Texas Tech, they are supportive. Matt has not heard there will be any problem getting this heard and done. Everything always gets a hearing but this needs to be done as quickly as possible. If for some reason they get hung up as individual bills and the order kills the bill and goes back to committee…the way the language has been doing is that they can be attached to other bills.

He does not think there will be a problem getting both bills passed and if not a lot of fanfare they can both get passed relatively early.

Chris asked if there is anyone in particular that they know of that might have concerns.

Matt said that there are other cities that may seem as having heartburn, it could be one of the other municipalities, cities.

There has not been anyone that has been opposed or voiced opposition in this process to their knowledge.

**Customer choice billing operations**

Sheri provided the update of what happened at RMS today. The comments will be tabled/held and on the back burner.

If for some reason the authorities decide that the outcome is a dual billing situation, this is something we don’t want to worry about but it could happen. We are way far along in the development process and customer could potentially say…I don’t want anything to do with this…they still want a bill from Lubbock. Sheri said that we are still preparing the market for that operation. Sheri said she will open the document up for discussion and then Sheri asked for comments.

Michael Winegeart said that they are talking internally and they are not going to put out there…hey you can have two bills if you want. The way he is handling it is like advanced meter charge…if you want that there will be a one off annual bill and charge accordingly for that and the rep would see a zero dollar transmission charge.

If there is a customer choosing then Lubbock would send an 810 and it would be zero dollar.

**Flight testing**

One of the items considered is the BLT segment which would be for dual and it would be a test script for those entering into Lubbock. If there is a dual billing situation, both Lubbock and the rep can initiated disconnection. If Lubbock initiates disconnection they would send a 650\_04 to the Rep. If the rep wants to initiate the disconnection order, they would send the number 650\_01 over to Lubbock, same as usual.

That is another possible test script to be considered.

Are there any other details that we need to think about for potential dual billing applications?

Chris asked Jamie if they would still send out a manual process and it would be a manually printed bill. The zero dollar 810 would be automated but they are working through it right now to

*Question in que*

What priority code would be used on invoice and usage transactions?

There are only priority codes on service orders.

Jamie said that they could put these in their Chapter 5 so it’s clear what the priority codes are.

If those are not signed up and want to participate in Lubbock territory then they should sign up for the testing.

Dave said there are currently 35 individual duns # signed up to test.

If dual billing is required for testing and if there is a subsequent flight…Lubbock may test with applicable REPs who offer the dual billing situation.

The POLRs do not know who they are but they will need to be in the test.

Penny test and connectivity were discussed as mid-March and the thought was that could be complicated with everyone and maybe penny test and connectivity could be prior to testing or earlier or later. Per Jamie, Lubbock was leaning toward the end of the testing window for penny and connectivity.

**Update of rate structure for Lubbock**

Michael Winegeart introduced Harvey Hall who has the latest update on the rate structure.

Harvey explained the document for the proposed Lubbock rates.

To avoid confusion, it was suggested to not call one of the charges “usage”, as that is typically associated with a REP charge.

Also take a look at the list of SAC codes.

Service availability is kind of a “mapping”. There won’t be items listed as SAC codes but will role up in their delivery rate.

If customers wanted to know how that broke down, they would go to their website and see. Some of these will be consolidated into a delivery fee. Service availability…is like a metering fee or what is fixed.

Will franchise fee be separated out? Yes. So that would be a SAC code and the other would be a delivery charge and there would be three components.

1. Delivery charge
2. Franchise Fee
3. Transition Charge

Transition charge…kind of hanging out there. Still something they are looking at. If it comes across it needs to be its own SAC 04 code.

Lubbock will have the delivery rate, FFE rate, considering transition charge for stranded costs and a SAC 04 for delivery, and FFE and transition code.

Sheri wanted to know if those could be available relatively quickly. There is already SAC 04 codes in place for two of these so only the 3rd is needed bc the REPs will need to program those SAC04 codes into their billing systems.

Distributed Generation

Sheri wanted to see if Lubbock had thought about how the DG customers are handled currently in the Lubbock territory such as the inflow and out flow and the difference is applied for billing. That is not the way it is in the IOU territories.

If anyone wants to make these comments to Lubbock, they can be routed to Michael Winegeart. They will be looking at those on Wednesday, February 22 to propose draft rates. Need comments by March 7th.

March 7th is the next meeting and they will have a short component like this and can comment.

Sheri suggested that there be a mini Lubbock TF meeting and can get something held on the 23rd or 24th or the following Monday, Tuesday.

Mid next week is the deadline for comments for the “structure”. ARM intends to forward thoughts on the proposed rate structure

*Mass Customer List*

Needs to be provided to REPs and REPs indicated the sooner the better.

*Substation codes*

Chris said that Lubbock substation codes are already in the model and we will talk about this later.

**Lubbock Integration Timeline**

Michael went through the timeline and explained a lot of pieces are tied up because of legislation. Sheri mentioned the need for a contingency plan if the timeline should not slip. The REPs cannot do anything until the ESI id is created.

Sheri asked if the timeline slides, what does that mean? Michael says it depends. If the bill passes with 2/3rds then the bill passes immediately and they can then legally share information with ERCOT. If that doesn’t happen then you could take that same line and slide to the right to September. They want to give the customers as much as possible to choose their own REP.

*When will the ESI ids be available?*

The format of the ESI ids for Lubbock has been determined.

*The 1-800 number is now available*.

Discussion indicated it is more likely that the ESIs will be created in ERCOT in June. They must be provided 90 days before customer choice. Michael says that everything must line up right.

They will have a process in place by next meeting for the customer enrollment process.

**Timeline activities**

* Already talked about the access agreement - Approval at open meeting
* Development of customer enrollment process - Integration timeline Michael showed included that
* Customer data sharing – will see what happens with the legislation
* CSAs - Educating the property managers…this is an ongoing activity

PUCT requirements

* Finalize the tariff
  + A portion of the tariff will go before the commission on March 9?
  + the actual rates (Chapter 5) goes in front of the city council scheduled for end of March. Michael stated the entire tariff needs to go before their Board and council and they are patiently awaiting.
* The POLR process – sounds like they are really close to wrapping that up and they need to test. Should expect to have that by the end of this month.
  + Dave would like the POLR list as soon as Lubbock has it and he will compare with the list of those signed up to test.
* When will the Customer protection rules come out?
  + Michael said these are tied up with those that will be going before the council so will be up next month. Chapter 5 will include the rates, things specific to Lubbock and customer protection rules. Jamie said it fit doesn’t have a place they will put it in Chapter 5.

ERCOT Market Requirements

* Several items have been completed.
* Substation mapping -“LP\_” is the start of all substation name
  + LP&L has one more that they may have to move into the ERCOT system and create a substation name for that.
  + Ted asked about the kV and gave an action item for Michael to tell him about the substation voltage as it was understood all substations have transitioned.
  + Ted said he would contact Michael about that tomorrow.
* Safety nets would be communicated via FTP site. Jamie said they will be automating this process. Their automation can move files on their FTP site. Ashley confirmed. REPs expressed concerns about agent access to FTPs. Due to LP&L restrictive email, FTP was a solution
* Load profile for ESI ids - can’t do that until ESI ids are available.

TDSP Specific Activities

* Zip plus 4 and email addresses will not be available.
  + There are some customers outside the city limits. There are plenty of + 4 resources to get that info.
* Critical Load
  + John asked about the Critical Load status and if it will come along as part of the MCL.
  + Sheri said when they are looking for critical care process, that will also include critical load
* Identify Solar/DC Customers -Billing will not be true net metering but more like that the TDUs send.
* Switch Hold files – will put in an FTP site. Need the name of the FTP sites.
* Lubbock has 3 power plants and all 3 are EPS metered. These will have a BUSIDRRQ load profile
* Establish a business support line phone number. – Toll free number that will be available for REPs and if the current customers end up on that phone line it will route them to the number they should be. The number provided is for the REPs only.
  + 1-806-775-2509 is the # for the Lubbock call center and outage line
  + 866-949-5862 is the new # for the REPs only
  + Jamie asked why they would be calling their call center. This will be discussed at the next meeting or later.
  + Option 1 and option 3 – if a customer has a service request…they call the REP and if they call about the status of their outage…that is when the customer is directed to call the TDSP.
* Oil and gas flat profiles – profile will be available and per Pam it will be an option in our system. No one will start as an OGLFT but that would come through the REP as requested by the customer.
* Weather moratorium process – part of chapter 5 so we will see it in March.
* Defined transaction timelines – will be in chapter 5 and trying to mimic what the other TDSPs are doing. Jamie verified that is correct.
* TX set transaction timing – this is the timing matrix and plans are to follow TDU guidelines
* Prorating fixed charges – this is currently with their finance group.
* Create and share testing contact information – all is in FlighTrak
  + Sheri said they need some info like what are they going to be called…LP&L…Lubbock…things like that. Jamie said that they will be available at the next mini meeting.

LP&L Rates

* They are not sure if they bill 4CP
* Do not currently charge for power factor.
* Rates do not change often – maybe 2 times per year

*When you go into a competitive market, what will you do with the deposits?* Jamie said they will be refunded on their electric bill. Lubbock is still collecting deposits but they are phasing that out very quickly.

Contact information – list like the TDSPs

Suggested date for mini-meeting 9:30 AM on Thursday, February 23rd

SOME CHAT COMMENTS ARE INCLUDED BELOW.

Question? *Maybe it will be covered later but when will the LP&L Delivery Tariff going to be released?*

<https://interchange.puc.texas.gov/> -Just key in the docket number 54212

*Is LP&L going to provide the template for historical usage?* Yes, stating it will be the standard template for summary data – for interval data, a template will be suggested

*What will be the official utility display name in system defined perspective?* Per Jamie, the name will be ‘Lubbock’