**LRITF Meeting**

Tuesday, October 11, 2022

1:30 PM

ERCOT Met Center

**Meeting Notes**

Chris Rowley, co-Chair opened the LRITF meeting and proceeded with reading the Antitrust Admonition.

Attendees:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ATTENDEE | COMPANY | LOCATION |
| Abbott, Kristin | Austin Energy | WebEx |
| Allen, Martin | Oncor | ERCOT |
| Arth, Matt |  | WebEx |
| B, Ashley | LP&L | WebEx |
| Balance, Lysette | CenterPoint | WebEx |
| Barnes, Bill | NRG | WebEx |
| Barfield, Lori Lee | Just Energy | WebEx |
| Beasley, Richard | CenterPoint | ERCOT |
| Belin, Deb | Earth Etch | WebEx |
| Behnaz |  |  |
| Butler, William | LP&L | WebEx |
| Claiborn-Pinto, Shawnee | PUCT | ERCOT |
| Damen, Lauren | NRG | ERCOT |
| Dan |  |  |
| Diaz, Crystal | Constellation | WebEx |
| G, Laura | LP&L | WebEx |
| Gardner, Clint | LP&L | ERCOT |
| Ghormley, Angela | Calpine Solutions | ERCOT |
| Jewel, Michael | Represent Priority Power | ERCOT |
| Katy | LP&L | WebEx |
| Krista | LP&L | WebEx |
| Lee, Jim | CenterPoint | ERCOT |
| Lotter, Eric | Grid Monitor | WebEx |
| Macias, Jesse | AEP | WebEx |
| McKeever, Debbie | Oncor | ERCOT |
| Melissa | LP&L | WebEx |
| Michelson, David | ERCOT | WebEx |
| Pak, Sam | Oncor | ERCOT |
| Page, Erdia |  |  |
| Patrick, Kyle | Reliant Energy Retail Services | WebEx |
| Rowley, Chris | Oncor | ERCOT |
| Ruane, Mark | ERCOT | WebEx |
| Sanchez, Brandyn |  |  |
| Schatz, John | TXU Energy | ERCOT |
| Scott, Kathy | CenterPoint Energy | ERCOT |
| Shaw, Pam | LP&L Consultant | WebEx |
| Smith, Daily | LP&L | WebEx |
| Smith, Jenny | LP&L | ERCOT |
| Snyder, Bill | AEP Texas | ERCOT |
| Stirland, Amy Sue | LP&L | WebEx |
| Sutjandra, Yohan | OE | WebEx |
| Thurman, Kathryn | ERCOT | WebEx |
| Tobias, A |  |  |
| ATTENDEE | COMPANY | LOCATION |
| Troublefield, Jordan | ERCOT | WebEx |
| Wiegand, Sheri | TXU Energy | ERCOT |
| Wilson, Steven | TXU Energy | ERCOT |
| Winegeart, Michael  | LP&L | ERCOT |

Chris Rowley, reviewed the agenda

Chris said we will discuss whether to hold an LRITF meeting after the November 1 RMS meeting or not.

**LP&L – Tariff**

Michael said that the tariff updates are being reviewed and worked on and will be packaged up and sent to the PUCT.

Sheri said that she wants to know if there is a high level timeline and what are they looking at as far as getting something that is approved.

Jamie responded and said that in the last few days, they may not have a consensus draft and that may throw a wrench into the time they have planned.

How do they want to go forward if they don’t have a consensus draft?

They can’t speak to the timeline beyond after they turn in the document to the PUCT.

Sheri asked Shawnee to speak to that and if there was something they can do to move it forward. Shawnee did that she knew what was happening and thought it was going forward on time but couldn’t guarantee.

Lauren said that everyone will be able to provide comments.

If we can’t reach consensus, provide staff with comments. The timing is crucial.

Chris asked if it would be more helpful to have another meeting with the REPs.

Lauren said it’s not clear where the hick-ups are but could be a conflict between different comments, she doesn’t know and we don’t know what we aren’t seeing so we won’t be able to speak to those.

Staff should be provided the comments and all comments should be taken into consideration. It isn’t cut and dry. May not have something everyone can agree to.

Sheri asked Michael if he can speak to that.

Sheri said that if the TF can help in any way or get to a particular package then we would want to do that.

Jamie said that she isn’t trying to deny the questions but is trying to be very careful in stating what they can do.

They are still digesting comments and still further inclined to get some direction from PUCT staff. If they do not think that there will be a path to consensus and it would be helpful to talk to staff, what is the path to get to that given where they are? They haven’t had that conversation with the staff given that these comments have just been provided in the past few days.

Sheri said that there are several on the call that can hear and Matt with ARM has a question

He would echo what Lauren and others have stated that they prefer to have an additional call to see if there is a way to find consensus.

Jenni said that Lubbock appreciates that but they are working hard to still review and stay up with comments and have that call but now right away.

Comments have been sent back to TEAM and ARM. The group was ARM and TEAM and a couple of others and Jenny just replied to all and answered the questions from the redline.

ARM, TEAM and Octopus Energy sent the comments and they reached out to a few REPs that couldn’t participate at this time. They reach out to whoever is interested.

To summarize

In a holding pattern while LP&L sorts through the comments and will wait for direction from Lubbock. If they need a meeting and if the TF needs to facilitate that and we will wait and see.

Sheri wanted to know if there we are looking at a couple of weeks or is there another timeline.

Jenni said that they are fairly close as where they are with the draft they are going to present but they are a week or two away and still working through the REP edits. She hopes they can meet that expectation.

Sheri appreciated that and is looking for a direction in the next couple of weeks to provide an avenue of where to go.

Chris announced they will move to the Next item

**Customer data**

Chris said he will ask about what is able to be shared and what can’t.

Right now specific to the MOUs cannot share customer data including usage but basically who they can share it with?

Utility providers is fine but ERCOT doesn’t fall into any of those categories.

Jenni is working hard.

Chris asked…you said it was part of the utility code

It is chapter 182 of the utility code

102.51 is where it starts.

Chris said it’s possible…it could be a legislative issue.

Michael responded that would be true.

Jenni said that the other exceptions one of which may not be applying to ERCOT so they are in a difficult spot for that and 102.5.4 there is a prohibition of filing information and as far as ERCOT, they are looking at that and exploring that and having a legislative fix is something in the long run and they are working with ERCOT legal to address that issue.

Sheri said that if ERCOT can’t receive or manage the data, that this is very problematic.

Sheri wants to know if that is possible to stick with the original timeline.

Jenni said that ERCOT is working very hard to find the path forward and the timing is key and they have to get this addressed to work with the timeline.

John asked on the topic of customer usage data, does that mean that data cannot be sent to ERCOT for settlement of the market

Michael said that’s right and anything with customer usage would be prohibited from being sent to ERCOT

This is the same for CBCI file

Kyle asked if everything is bundled into that or could they send what is considered PII (Personally Identifiable Information)

Jenni and Michael responded and said that they cannot provide.

Kyle said it gets to a further point then it prohibits other things such as REP Enrollments bc customer data is provided on that too.

Jennie said that the REPs fall under one of the exceptions but ERCOT does not.

Michaels doesn’t want to respond to whether or not that could happen.

Sheri said that some of that is communicated via other methods, such as NAESB transactions.

Kyle said that ERCOT would have to cut that file.

Kyle said that Sheri was thinking the same and Sheri asked, you are talking 867s and all that?

Going forward, if any customer data goes through the registration system and the usage goes through ERCOT…

Sheri said that once an enrollment is made, then the customer info is included.

Sheri said that the rule may say that, but what does that mean operationally? It’s for LSE, 867s, MCL, CBCI

Michael said that they are not going to stop their internal path for creating ESI ids but that they cannot currently provided to ERCOT

**Other Discussion**

Also to answer the question about the Duns #, the 4000 Duns is for the wholesale and the 4001 is the retail side of the house

Debbie asked about the timing of the 814\_20 create ESI id transactions.

They should be sent 2nd quarter of 2023.

Question: When can the REPs begin marketing?

Response: Now.

Michael said some of the REPs are already marketing. Chris said that 2nd quarter is when that would come out????

Sheri verified that is correct

When will the Customer Lists come out?

2nd quarter next year.

Sheri said that another question is “when will the building types come out in a list? Some were provided by Lubbock when they included something in the presentation

John Schatz confirmed that was included

They are looking for what is an apt. It won’t be more granular than what is currently provided by the TDUs.

Anybody can rent a billboard in the city of Lubbock provided their content is relevant. There is no stipulation.

Kyle mentioned that anyone can run a promotion.

Kyle wanted to know if they are passing along the Mass Customer info.

Right now everyone can get the MCI but only ERCOT gets the CBCI file. The info is not the same.

Kyle said that’s ideal for everyone to have the info in the CBCI file.

Kyle suggested to have what was in both the MCL and the CBCI file.

The data elements in the MCI…

ESI id, First name, last name, building address, your address, the rate, meter type, usage

Sheri said that email is in one of those.

Debbie mentioned that email is in the CBCI, also phone number.

LP&L said they do not have email addresses.

Michael said that there is something to note that they created their first ESI id so they have one in the system and there is a lot of programming coding going on the system….said that what they are going through to make that file

Kyle said that we need to do what’s needed sooner than later.

Kyle said that we are good at moving goal posts so that can happen.

Sheri asked if on the LP&L website, is there a zip code map posted.

John said that there are zip codes but not a zip code map.

There are zip code maps on google

However the info and the zip code maps are not the same

You can live on one street and be in ERCOT and the other side is in SPP.

Someone mentioned that it’s hard to tell boundaries.

Sheri searched and found a zip code map on LRITF main page and proceeded to display.

Debbie wanted to know if the zip codes are already in the ERCOT systems.

Michael said that the zip codes have been provided to ERCOT.

Jen with rhythm said that they need zip plus 4 for tax purposes.

Michael said that they have never collected that so don’t have it in their system.

Jen said that it’s important to identify boundaries. They need the zip code plus 4 to identify who is in the city limits or not to know those who are impacted by franchise tax and if they don’t have that then they have to handle one by one to tell if they are in the certificated area.

The reps are getting zip plus 4 from the TDSPs.

LP&L has not kept those in their system, it would just be a few in a zip plus 4 so it didn’t seem to be helpful. LP&L would consider seeking the zip +4s from their bill print vendor.

Open discussion

May want to do comparison between the MCI file and CBCI file and see what changes could be made.

We have the activities list and it looks like dates have been proposed for all of those.

Sheri said they can put in the activities list, we can put out Q1 of 2023

Michael said it’s going to be separate and they have had brief discussions on chapter 5

Michael said that some of this is not able to be changed bc if it says “the MOU shall” then they have to be…

Sheri said it’s a good point to bring up bc what can they change between Retail Market Guide chapter 7 and chapter 8 and what are the operational differences.

**REP registration timeline**.

Sheri said that this came up in one of the meetings and the timeline said that this is dependent on the tariff and Michael said that the timeline is not going to allow us any time from…

**Testing requirements**

Kyle would like to provide a one pager so maybe lift what’s in the current document this can be posted to LRITF webpage

Kyle said that this can be done and the flight timeline schedule does identify what can be done but how will they identify who is going to be in round robin and they will have to register for the flight. Kyle said that they will certainly want Kyle to provide method for how they will manage

Kyle will come forth with all the available info and create a one pager or such to help MPs identify

**Pro Forma Tariff**

Lauren said we need to always identify which tariff we are talking about so the action items show differently.

Chris said that chapter 4 pertains to the REPs.

Sheri said that she will include “pro-forma” and Michael mentioned that’s the one they have already received comments on.

Michael said that Lauren is correct but we were looking at it differently for different reasons.

Mention that there is a consideration for a workshop.

Sheri said that all the info would be available on the LRIFT webpage

**Lubbock’s list of ACTION items from the market**

Sheri said the TF has a list of things we want Lubbock to do but Michael has a list of what they need from the market. Loa was mentioned.

Marty said that the LOA is an appendix in the RMG

Lubbock mentioned they won’t have a portal. Wasn’t something they thought of so need to know how to get around that?

John said that the portals are used for either pure reference or customer information.

Such as usage and as we talk through the type of what type info the reps need is what is the reference for self-help kind of thing. Transaction, usage, some of the items

Michael said that they can’t develop a portal and they won’t have any type of portal at market open but they will gave a lot of types of reports that have to be built but if the expectation is that when they are on the phone with customers talking about the usage, they need to know so they can go back and talk to their folks to see what type of standard reports can be developed.

Safety net is something

The portals are research tools. Helps to know “why is this hung up…I haven’t seen it and where is the enrollment in the process and also missing usage”?

Kyle mentioned that some of these type issues are put in MarkeTrak and some of them can be worked/helped with MIS. Some are for what can help and be smart about, and some will end up in MarkeTrak regardless bc you don’t have a research tool and some of it could be asked through email and some won’t be able to be.

**Review LPGRR069 Related to LP&L Transition**

Chris said that we talked about it this morning at RMS and the new profiling guide will provide an option for the TDU to provide a BUSLRG profile and give Lubbock an option to add to those that are over 700 kW even though Lubbock is not going to bill 4CP. BUSLRG profiles if they had AMS meters.

Chris said not to bring it up (display it on the screen) today but today there were lots of changes but it was approved by RMS today.

Sheri to add “TDSP preferences” to the list.

**Wish list**

Differences between MOU and IOU – Sheri to start that list.

Michael said the differences between RMG chapter 7 and RMG chapter 8

Customer protection

Pura rules specifically identifying MOU rules and Michael said he can start that and at least point out the differences.

Sheri mentioned the tariff…the only thing that is kind of up in the air…

Sheri said first MOU vs IOU and then chapter 7 and chapter 8

Sheri said that a tariff timeline… of what to expect

Chris thanked everyone for coming.

Meeting adjourned at 2:59 PM.

**Upcoming LRITF meetings:**

November 1

December 6