**TDTMS**

**October 22nd, 2020**

**WebEx only**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Attendee | Company | Attendee | Company | Attendee | Company |
| Diana Rehfeldt | TNMP | Jim Lee | AEP |  |  |
| Sam Pak | Oncor | Dave Michelson | ERCOT |  |  |
| Kathy Scott | CenterPoint | Eric Blakey | Just Energy |  |  |
| Sheri Wiegand | TXU | Abhinav Chadae | EP Solutions |  |  |
| Jordan Troublefield | ERCOT |  |  |  |  |
| Kyle Patrick | NRG |  |  |  |  |
| Mick Hanna | ERCOT |  |  |  |  |
|  |

**Minutes & Antitrust**

* Antitrust Admonition was read by Sheri
* Minutes from 9/17/20 were approved

**ERCOT System Instances And MarkeTrak Monthly Performance Review**

* September metrics were all good.
* On 9/30 system issue was experienced however, all processing completed, only late.
* MT trends remain favorable.
* Proposed MT SLAs and release schedule remains tentative. It was suggested this be reviewed at the November TDTMS and then the December RMS when dates may be more firm.
	+ Issue Tracking Page – ‘Extract Report Incident Log’ – this has not been produced since 2016
	+ Mick presented proposed report structure. All outages would be listed on one consolidated report. It was suggested start and stop times be added
	+ Two SLAs will exist – one for MT and one for Market IT Services

**Transport Layer Security (TLS) Discussion/Timeline/ERCOT NAESB Upgrade**

* Plans are to still go live on 11/2
* One TDSP was planning to make the switch to TLS 1.2 during the same outage. ERCOT has asked if MPs were planning the same to inform ERCOT
* TLS 1.0 capability will be degraded for several months between go live and decommissioning which is anticipated for late Q1
* Discussed the impact of the ERCOT outage @ 2:00 PM on Saturday, an AMS Operational day, which will impact same day MVIs for REPs. TDSPs were planning the following for safety net accommodations:
	+ CNP, at the time, was not planning to accept safety nets from 2-7
	+ TNMP will turn on automation for safety nets submitted via CRIP poratl from 8 AM to 5 PM
	+ AEP will accept automated safety-nets via REP Desk, email will not be monitored
	+ Oncor will also accept automated safety-nets via CRIP
* ERCOT will hold a call on Monday @ 10 am if necessary

**IAG Proposal/Solution – Review of Timeline Data**

Sam provided leadership in guiding the WG through the various data points on the timeline for the processing of an IAG. Dave Michelson had provided 12 months of IAG data for analysis: July 2019 to July 2020.

Below is an initial summary of the findings:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2 → 1 | original transaction to submittal of MT |   |
|   | IAGs | IALs |  |  |
| 0 - 1 day | 21% | 11% |  |  |
| 0 - 7 days | 59% | 49% |  |  |
| over 30 days | 23% | 15% |  |  |
| Wt. Average | 18 days | 15 days |  |  |
| Notes | 3 days is sweet spot | ~ 80 days - onesie/twosies |  |  |
|   | 1607 reviewed | removed blanks & negatives |  |  |
| 2 → 3 | how long to begin working |   |   |
|   | IAGs | IALs |  |  |
| same day | 49% | 49% |  |  |
| within 3 days | 86% | 80% |  |  |
| 0-7 days | 98% | 98% |  |  |
| Notes |   |   |  |  |
| 3 → 4 | how long to agree once touched |   |   |
|   | IAGs | IALs |  |  |
| same day | 55% | 65% |  |  |
| 0 - 7 days | 95% | 95% |  |  |
| > 15 days | onesie/twosie | onesie/twosie |  |  |
| Notes |   |   |  |  |
| 2 → 4 | agreement between CRs |   |   |
|   | IAGs | IALs |  |  |
| same day | 19% | 38% |  |  |
| 0 - 7 days | 91% | 90% |  |  |
| > 15 days | 2% | onesie/twosie |  |  |
| Notes |   |   |  |  |
| 4 → 6 | Losing CR to send BDMVI |   |   |
|   | IAGs | IALs |  |  |
| same day | 31% | 3% |  |  |
| 0 - 7 days | 85% | 71% |  |  |
| 8 - 21 days | 12% | 21% |  |  |
| after 7 days | 15% |   |  |  |
| Notes | What % of REPs are sending BDMVIs during these times? |
| This is the conversation of the PUSH vs PULL option |
| 5 → 6 | Ready to Receive to submittal of BDMVI |   |
|   | IAGs | IALs |  |  |
| same day | same values as 4 to 6 since using 'ready to receive' date | 36% |  |  |
| 0 - 7 days | 84% |  |  |
| 8 - 21 days | 12% |  |  |
| after 7 days | 16% |  |  |
| Notes | REPs may not be transitioning MT |  |  |
| 2 → 8 | total resolution time |   |   |
|   | IAGs | IALs |  |  |
| within 7 days | 35% | 22% |  |  |
| 8 - 21 days | 57% | 62% |  |  |
| after 7 days | 65% | 78% |  |  |
| 0 - 21 days | 92% | 85% |  |  |
| Notes | What % of REPs are over 21 days? |   |  |  |

**AGENDA for 11/16/20 Meeting**

1. ERCOT Update
	1. System Instances and MarkeTrak Monthly Performance Review
	2. Consent of 2021 SLAs for Market Data Transparency and MarkeTrak Performance
	3. Transport Layer Security (TLS) Discussion – Lessons Learned - ERCOT NAESB Project
2. Continued discussion - IAG Subtype Analysis – timeline data (MT historical data points)

|  |
| --- |
| Inadvertent Situation Flow |
| Time elapsed  |
| 2 → 1 | original transaction to submittal of MT |   |
| 2 → 3 | how long touch |   |   |   |
| 2 → 4 | agreement between CRs |   |   |
| 3 → 4 | how long to agree once touched |   |   |
| 4 → 6 | Losing CR to send BDMVI |   |   |
| 5 → 6 | Ready to Receive to submittal of BDMVI |
| 2 → 8 | total resolution time |   |   |

1. MT Enhancements- review of SCR items
	1. Rolodex entries
	2. Unexecutable reasons
2. Review of the lower volume of MT Subtype data – can subtypes be removed from tool?
3. Begin development of SCR - assignments