**TDTMS**

**September 17th, 2020**

**WebEx only**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Attendee | Company | Attendee | Company | Attendee | Company |
| Diana Rehfeldt | TNMP | Jim Lee | AEP |  |  |
| Sam Pak | Oncor | Dave Michelson | ERCOT |  |  |
| Kathy Scott | CenterPoint | Eric Blakey | Just Energy |  |  |
| Sheri Wiegand | TXU | Steven Pliler | TXU |  |  |
| Jordan Troublefield | ERCOT |  |  |  |  |
| Kyle Patrick | NRG |  |  |  |  |
| Mick Hanna | ERCOT |  |  |  |  |
|  | | | | | |

**Minutes & Antitrust**

* Antitrust Admonition was read by Sheri
* Minutes from 8/19/20 were approved

**ERCOT System Instances And MarkeTrak Monthly Performance Review**

* Unexpected API and MT issues were experienced, yet not reflected on performance reports. Error was on the market participants API side. Century Link, a major backbone for API structure, had experienced issues and API market participants then re-routed processing as a work around
* All other metrics were acceptable.
* Proposed MT SLAs and proposed release schedule were presented. The changes to the document include:
  + EMIL is no longer an excel spreadsheet and now a website link
  + Issue Tracking Page – ‘Extract Report Incident Log’ – ACTION: is this page still needed as it has not been updated for a few years?
  + Proposed dates are still under ERCOT review
  + Retail SLAs will have same dates as Market Data Transparency releases only the new historical performance graph will be included to memorialize
* ACTION: ERCOT will present revisions to document in October when more firm decisions will be made. Mick has offered to show examples of combining SLAs and referencing SLA documents in one place. WG will review in October for November RMS presentment.

**Transport Layer Security (TLS) Discussion/Timeline/ERCOT NAESB Upgrade**

* Dave Michelson expressed appreciation for market participants support during the testing efforts for TLS 1.2
* Plans are to still go live on 11/2
* One market participant “hold out” on TLS 1.2 compatibility has a DUNS that has not been in use, yet want it to remain active and will work toward compatibility
* TLS 1.0 capability will be degraded for several months between go live and decommissioning which is anticipated for late Q1
* Market notices will be forthcoming (30 day, etc)

**Review of Switch Hold Retail Market Guide References**

* WG reviewed section 7.16.4.3.2 (3)(a)(ii)(b) and determined the guides are clear in outlining process for release of SH. Issues with one market participant’s interpretation will be handled accordingly. It was suggested a request be made to validate current tenant’s occupancy with SMTP data.
* No changes to RMG language was recommended.

**IAG Proposal/Solution – Review of Timeline Data**

Sheri expressed she, Sam, Kyle, and Dave Michelson have met twice to review and frame the January data to extract the valuable transitions outlined below. They plan to meet once again prior to the October TDTMS meeting to provide some meaningful results that will be useful to provide direction in a PUSH vs PULL or PULL vs PUSH option for the IAG solution. The plan is to review the framework for the January data at the October TDTMS, then roll out the methodology for analyzing 12 months of data to support a decision on the IGL solution options.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Inadvertent Situation Flow | | | |
| Time elapsed | | | |
| 1 → 2 | original transaction to submittal of MT | |  |
| 2 → 4 | agreement between CRs |  |  |
| 4 → 6 | Losing CR to send BDMVI |  |  |
| 5 → 6 | Ready to Receive to submittal of BDMVI | | |
| 2 → 8 | total resolution time |  |  |

RMS leadership (Jim) confirmed the WG has time to make a decision as TXSET 5.0 is likely pushed to Fall 2023 or Spring 2024.

**MarkeTrak Enhancement Discussion**

* WG reviewed proposed MT enhancements matrix now differentiated by those to be managed via an SCR and those more complex to align with a TXSET 5.0. The matrix is now divided into two tabs.
* WG focused on SCR suggested enhancements:
  + Additional suggestion was added under Usage & Billing Missing or Dispute:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 22 | Default time stamp to 00:00:00 for any Usage & Billing- Missing and Dispute MTs | some MTs are unexecuting due to time stamp - if defaults to 00:00:00 , submission should be comply with required format |

* + Rolodex streamlining: Dave provided some background where escalation contacts started with only three categories, yet four contacts per category – primary/secondary and escalation primary/secondary. Today there are 24 categories with 4 contacts each, totaling 96 entries. The following suggested streamlining was proposed:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **MARKETRAK ROLODEX** | |
| Contact Category | Subtype |
| D2D | 997 Issues |
| D2D | Cancel W/ Approval |
| D2D | Cancel w/o Approval |
| D2D | Customer Rescission |
| D2D | Inadvertent |
| D2D | Market Rule |
| D2D | Missing Enrollment TXNS |
| D2D | Other |
| D2D | Premise Type |
| D2D | Projects |
| D2D | Redirect Fees |
| D2D | Reject TXNs |
| D2D | REP of Record |
| D2D | Safety Net |
| D2D | Service Address |
| D2D | Service Order - 650 |
| D2D | Siebel Change /Info |
| D2D | Usage Billing Dispute |
| D2D | Usage Billing Dispute LSE Interval Data |
| D2D | Usage Billing Missing |
| D2D | Usage Billing Missing LSE Interval Data |
| DEV | IDR & NIDR |
| DEV | LSE |
| LPA | Other |

The above color coding would reduce to 4 categories. ACTION: WG to review suggestions within their internal stakeholders for approval. NOTE: LPA is Load Profile Assignment which has not been utilized with the proliferation of AMS metering. This may be a subtype that can be archived.

* + General Unexecutable reasons by subtype: the attached matrix was reviewed. Sheri noted it was incomplete and Jim offered to assist in completing the list of reasons for each subtype. One notable item was the review of Missing Transaction Subtype – direction in the User’s Guide may need to be revised as this does not align with the MT training and understanding of when to unexecute vs complete a MT. The full list will be reviewed again at the next meeting for any administrative changes. See embedded spreadsheet.



* + Unused subtypes: can they be archived or remain dormant with the option to be made active in the future if the need arises? Dave provided a list of the last date each MT was submitted. ACTION: Dave Michelson will review the options to archive. Market participants will review to determine if approve highlighted subtypes be archived.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Issue Sub Type | Max Submit Date |
| Inadvertent Switch | 8/15/2008 23:25 |
| Region Code Assignment | 10/3/2012 16:24 |
| Inactive record has date issues | 2/7/2013 18:45 |
| (None) | 3/20/2013 20:09 |
| In TDSP system not ERCOT | 7/15/2013 20:59 |
| Usage/Billing Issues | 8/17/2014 1:06 |
| In both systems with date issues | 11/10/2016 19:22 |
| In both with kWh or kW issues | 12/6/2017 18:37 |
| In ERCOT system not TDSP | 2/20/2018 14:50 |
| LSE date change: Start and Stop | 5/3/2018 19:14 |
| Station Code Assignment | 6/19/2018 18:48 |
| Loss Code Assignment | 6/19/2018 19:07 |
| Profile Code Assignment | 8/22/2018 13:08 |
| Import Rolodex | 9/20/2018 15:19 |

**MEETING DATES for 2021**

Diana had provided proposed meeting dates to align with TXSET schedule. WG approved. ACTION: Diana will forward to Stakeholder Services for their consideration.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2021 TXSET Meetings** |  |
| 01.20 / 02.17 / 03.25 / 04.22 / 05.19 / 06.16 | |
| 07.21 / 08.18 / 09.23 / 10.20 / 11.18 / 12.15 | |
| **2021 TDTMS Meetings** |  |
| 01.21 / 02.18 / 03.26 / 04.23 / 05.20 / 06.17 | |
| 07.22 / 08.19 / 09.24 / 10.21 / 11.19 / 12.16 | |

**AGENDA for 10/22/20 Meeting**

1. ERCOT Update
   1. System Instances and MarkeTrak Monthly Performance Review
   2. Consent of 2021 SLAs for Market Data Transparency and MarkeTrak Performance
   3. Transport Layer Security (TLS) Discussion – ERCOT NAESB Project
2. IAG Subtype Analysis – timeline data (MT historical data points)



1. MT Enhancements- review of SCR items
   1. Rolodex entries
   2. Unexecutable reasons
2. Review of the lower volume of MT Subtype data – can subtypes be removed from tool?
3. Begin development of SCR - assignments