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| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| OPTION | DECSRIPTION | PROS | CONS |
|  |  |  |  |
| PUSH IAS | * MT for agreement between CRs
* Gaining CR submits 814\_XA DROP transaction to ERCOT with ”IA” indicator and MT# segment
* ERCOT validates regain date and pushes 814\_03 with “IA” and MT# segments to TDSPs
* ERCOT sends 814\_XB (similar to 814\_14 /814\_32 or 814\_22 – notification) to Losing CR
 | * Gaining CR in control of flow with submitting 814\_XA DROP
* Transparency of 814\_XA in MIS of IAG activity on an ESI
* IA indicator for TDSPs to program systems to handle forward or backdated MVI and streamline billing
* Automate regain date validation of DOL+1 or submitted date if less than Date of MT + 10
* Creating new transaction w/ new logic
 | * Losing CR would need to be prepared to receive the “DROP” 814\_XB
* With validations on regain date – communication with Siebel system
* No ability to cancel if submitted in error
 |
| PUSH RESCISSION | * Customer contacts Gaining CR and rescinds offer
* Gaining CR submits 814\_XA DROP transaction with “RES” indicator
* ERCOT validates regain date (DOL+1 automatically) and checks for leap frog scenario and pushes 814\_03 with “RES” indicator to TDSPs
* ERCOT sends 814\_XXR (similar to 814\_14/ 814\_32 or 814\_22 – pre-notification) to Losing CR
* Validation of 814\_XA – submitted within 25 (?) days of OTRAN
* Limited to Residential only
 | * Current rules conducive to automation – “no questions asked” and regain date = DOL+1
* Proposal to further limit rescission window down from 25 days
* Work destruction of ~8000 MTs per year
* Shorten timeline for resolution of rescission – automated BDMVI submitted, less hand-offs, automated and limited billing corrections
 | * Losing CR would need to be prepared to receive the “DROP” 814\_XB
* No MT for customer rescission as evidence
* No ability to cancel if submitted in error
* With validations on regain date – communication with Siebel system
 |
| PULL IAS | * MT for agreement between CRs
* Losing CR submits 814\_16 with “IA” indicator and MT# segment
* ERCOT validates regain date, MT # and checks for leap frog scenario and pushes 814\_03 with “IA” and MT# segments to TDSPs
 | * Leverages existing process w/ 814\_16 transaction
* Adds more automated validations – regain date, correct MT #, leap frog situation, safety net conflict, switch hold set to pass
* Ability to cancel
 | * No transparency with 814\_16 in MIS – no clear indicator of IAG activity
* Leaves submission of BDMVI with “IA” indicator to Losing CR, not gaining efficiency on resolution timeline
* Cost remains with Losing CR for issues caused by Gaining CR
* Existing process with validations without efficiencies gained on timing
* Cost benefit does not seem favorable
 |
| PULL RESCISSION | * MT for agreement between CRs
* Losing CR submits 814\_01 with “IA” indicator and MT# segment
* ERCOT validates regain date, MT # and checks for leap frog scenario and pushes 814\_03 with “IA” and MT# segments to TDSPs
 | * Leverages existing 814\_01 transaction
* Adds more automated validations – regain date, correct MT #, leap frog situation, safety net conflict, switch hold set to pass
* Ability to cancel
 | * Would still need a MT for agreement
* No transparency with 814\_01 in MIS – no clear indicator of RESC activity
* Leaves submission of BDSWI with “IA” indicator to Losing CR, not gaining efficiency on resolution timeline
* Cost remains with Losing CR for issues caused by Gaining CR
* Existing process with validations without efficiencies gained on timing
* Cost benefit does not seem favorable
 |
|  |  |  |  |