**Non-LSE DEV Subtypes**

Non-LSE DEV subtypes are used to synchronize ESI\_ID Characteristics, Existence of ESI IDs and/or usage for an ESI ID. Non-LSE DEV issues should only be submitted after transactions have been attempted and as a result of comparing data from ERCOT Data Extracts and the Market Participant’s source system. Manual intervention will only occur when all other standard resolution paths have been exhausted.

There are four classifications of Non-LSE Data Extract Variances. These are…

DEV Characteristics

DEV Existence

DEV IDR Usage

DEV Non-IDR Usage

Within each category there are multiple subtypes. In this tutorial, we will review the timelines for completing Non-LSE DEV issues, provide an overview of the business reasons for submitting Non-LSE DEV issues, and demonstrate common workflows shared by these subtypes.

**Non-LSE DEV Completion Timing:**

Non-LSE DEV issues are allotted a 75 calendar day window for completion. This is to allow for full resolution of the issue prior to the True-up settlement. The following outlines the expected turn-around times for all involved parties to ensure the 75 calendar day timeline is met:

**ESI ID Characteristics DEV Issues:**

45 calendar days for TDSP validation and analysis to be completed

30 calendar days for TDSP to send transactions (if necessary) or for Competitive Retailer to manually update their system (if needed)

**ESI ID Existence DEV Issues:**

10 calendar days for initial validation and analysis by ERCOT

65 calendar days for TDSP to send transactions (if necessary)

**NIDR and IDR Usage DEV Issues**:

45 calendar days for TDSP validation and analysis to be completed

30 calendar days for TDSP to send transactions (if necessary) or for Competitive Retailer to manually update their system (if needed)

Issues requiring additional analysis or follow-up data from other Market Participants will be updated in MarkeTrak to indicate such a need. Market Participants are required to respond with the necessary information within seven (7) business days.

**We’ll begin with our review of the Non-LSE DEV subtypes with the DEV Characteristics category.**

DEV Characteristic issues are filed by Market Participants regarding the characteristics assigned to an ESI ID in ERCOT systems. For Competitive Retailer to TDSP issues, the COMPETITIVE RETAILER is requesting the TDSP to submit an 814\_20 MAINTAIN to ERCOT to reconcile the characteristic discrepancy between ERCOT systems and Competitive Retailer systems. For TDSP to ERCOT issues, the TDSP is requesting ERCOT to manually update the characteristics in ERCOT systems due to an 814\_20 processing exception in ERCOT systems.

The subtypes within this category are:

* Region Code Assignment
* Loss Code Assignment
* Profile Code Assignment
* Station Code Assignment
* Zip Assignment

**Region Code Assignment:**

The DEV issue of ‘*Region Code Assignment*’ should be submitted when the ESI ID is in the data extract from ERCOT and in the MP’s system, but there is an issue with the Region Code assigned to the ESI ID. This issue type can be filed by a Competitive Retailer to the TDSP or by the TDSP to ERCOT.

To submit this issue type, the Submitting MP needs the following information: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

New STARTTIME

New REGIONCODE

ESI ID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

REGIONCODE

**Loss Code Assignment:**

The DEV issue of ‘*Loss Code Assignment’* is used when the ESI ID is in the data extract from ERCOT and in the Market Participant’s system, but there is an issue with the Loss Code assigned to the ESI ID. This issue type can be filed by a Competitive Retailer to the TDSP or by the TDSP to ERCOT.

To submit this issue type, the Submitting MP needs the following information: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

New STARTTIME

New LOSSCODE

ESI ID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

PROFILECODE (PROFILECODE from the SCR727 Extract)

LOSSCODE (LOSSCODE from the SCR727 Extract)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

**Profile Code Assignment:**

The DEV issue of ‘*Profile Code Assignment’* should be submitted when the ESI ID is in the data extract from ERCOT and in the Market Participant’s system, but there is an issue with the Profile Code assigned to the ESI ID. This issue type can be filed by a Competitive Retailer to the TDSP or by the TDSP to ERCOT.

To submit this issue type, the Submitting MP needs the following information: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

New STARTTIME

New PROFILECODE

ESI ID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

PROFILECODE (PROFILECODE from the SCR727 Extract)

LOSSCODE (LOSSCODE from the SCR727 Extract)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

**Station Code Assignment:**

The DEV issue of ‘*Station Code Assignment*’ should be submitted when the ESI ID is in the data extract from ERCOT and in the Market Participant’s system, but there is an issue with the Station Code assigned to the ESI ID. This issue type can be filed by a Competitive Retailer to the TDSP or by the TDSP to ERCOT.

To submit this issue type, the Submitting MP needs the following information: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

New STARTTIME

New STATIONCODE

ESI ID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

STATIONCODE (STATIONCODE from the SCR727 Extract)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

**Zip Assignment:**

The DEV issue of ‘*Zip Assignment’* is used when the ESI ID is in the data extract from ERCOT and in the Market Participant’s system, but there is an issue with the Zip Code assigned to the ESI ID. This issue type can be filed by a Competitive Retailer to the TDSP or by the TDSP to ERCOT.

To submit this issue type, the Submitting MP needs the following information: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

New STARTTIME

New ZIPCODE

ESI ID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

ZIP (ZIPCODE from the SCR727 Extract)

**The next category we’ll review is Non-LSE DEV Existence. The subtypes within this category are:**

* In TDSP System not ERCOT
* In ERCOT System not TDSP
* In ERCOT with start date issues
* Inactive record has date issues
* Status Assignment
* Un-Retire ESI ID

**In TDSP System not ERCOT:**

The DEV issue ‘*In TDSP System not ERCOT’* is submitted by the TDSP to ERCOT to establish an ESI ID in ERCOT systems when an 814\_20 ADD cannot be submitted.

The TDSP cannot add and activate an ESI ID at the same time. After the ESI ID Existence issue is resolved, Market Participants should use normal transactions such as the 814\_16 Move In to activate the ESI ID.

The following information is required for the TDSP to submit an ‘*In TDSP System not ERCOT’* issue: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

ESIID

STARTTIME

STATIONCODE

PROFILECODE

LOSSCODE

ADDTIME (default to current day)

REGIONCODE

ZIP

SERVICE ADDRESS

SERVICE CITY

SERVICE STATE

PREMISE TYPE

**In ERCOT System not TDSP:**

The DEV issue of ‘*In ERCOT system not TDSP’* should be submitted with the ESI ID is in the data extract from ERCOT, but not in the TDSP’s system. This subtype should be used when the ESI ID needs to be inactivated in ERCOT’s system and the TDSP is unable to submit an 814\_20 RETIRE transaction.

The TDSP cannot de-energize and retire/inactivate an ESI ID at the same time. If the ESI ID needs to be de-energized, the TDSP/Competitive Retailer should file a DEV LSE issue to update the Stop Time of the last relationship record. Once that DEV LSE issue has been completed, Market Participants should resume using normal transactions to retire the ESI ID.

To submit this subtype, the TDSP will need the following information: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

New STOPTIME

ESIID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME - SCR727 Extract)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME - SCR727 Extract)

**In ERCOT with start date issues:**

The subtype ‘*In ERCOT with start date issues’* should be used when the ESI ID is in both ERCOT and the TDSP’s system but there is an issue with the start time of the ESI ID. This subtype should only be used when the start date for the ESI ID cannot be updated with the 814\_20 transaction.

The following information is required upon the Submit transition for this issue type: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

New STARTTIME

ESIID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME - SCR727 Extract)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME - SCR727 Extract)

**Inactive record has date issues:**

The subtype ‘*Inactive record has date issues’* should be submitted when the ESI ID is in the data extract from ERCOT and in the Market Participant’s system, but there is an issue with the start time of the ESI ID Inactive ESIIDSERVICEHIST record. This date is equivalent to one day greater than the End Date in the 814\_20 Retire. It should only be submitted when the ESI ID inactive status effective date cannot be updated with the 814\_20 transaction.

The following information is required upon the Submit transition for this issue type:

New STARTTIME

ESIID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME - SCR727 Extract)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME - SCR727 Extract)

**Status Assignment:**

The subtype ‘*Status Assignment’* should be submitted when the TDSP needs to change the status of the ESI ID to Inactive.

The TDSP cannot de-energize and retire/inactivate an ESI ID at the same time. If the ESI ID needs to be de-energized, the TDSP/Competitive Retailer should file a DEV LSE issue regarding the Stop Time of the last relationship record. Once that DEV LSE issue has been completed, Market Participants should resume using normal transactions to retire the ESI ID.

The following information is required upon the Submit transition for this issue type: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

New STARTTIME

ESIID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME - SCR727 Extract)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME - SCR727 Extract)

**Un-Retire ESI ID:**

The DEV issue ‘*Un-Retire ESI ID’* should be submitted when the TDSP needs to update an inactive ESI ID status from Inactive to De-energized.

The TDSP must send the appropriate characteristic changes and usage transactions to ERCOT to bring the ESI ID information to current.

The following information is required upon the Submit transition for this issue type: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

ESIID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME - SCR727 Extract)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME - SCR727 Extract)

**Let’s review the next category which is Non-LSE DEV IDR Usage. The subtypes within this category are:**

* In MP System not ERCOT
* In ERCOT System not MP
* In both systems with date issues
* In both systems with kWh issues

**In MP System not ERCOT:**

The DEV IDR issue type of ‘*In MP System not ERCOT*’ can be submitted by a Competitive Retailer or a TDSP. A Competitive Retailer should submit this issue type to the TDSP when the ESIID IDR meter data is in the Market Participant’s system, but not in the data extract from ERCOT. Once the TDSP has reviewed the information, there are varying actions that can be taken:

1. If the TDSP confirms that interval data is loaded at ERCOT and matches the data in the TDSP system, the TDSP should transition the issue back to the Competitive Retailer as Unexecutable with comments and/or transactions submitted for explanation.
2. The TDSP should submit a new issue or can transition the existing issue to ERCOT for assignment if ALL the following conditions are met:
   1. The TDSP determines that interval data is not loaded at ERCOT,
   2. The TDSP confirms ERCOT acknowledged acceptance of the transaction(s) with a 997 response, and
   3. The TDSP determines the data was reported as loaded successfully via the activity report.
3. The TDSP should submit data to ERCOT via transaction in cases where either condition (b) or (c) above is not met. The issue should not be marked as Complete until the interval data has been loaded into ERCOT systems. If the TDSP determines that the interval data is not loaded at ERCOT, then the TDSP is responsible for submitting the interval data to ERCOT via transaction.

For all Usage Variance Issues that require changes to interval data or loading of missing data at ERCOT, the TDSP should send the interval data to ERCOT in accordance with data loading validations. These validations are performed whether the data is submitted via transaction, AMS LSE file, or file for manual loading.

The information required to submit this issue type is as follows: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

New STARTTIME

New STOPTIME

New TOTAL

ESI ID

CHANNEL (UIDAMSDATA TYPE) – If submitted by the Competitive Retailer to the TDSP, this value should = ‘4’

**In ERCOT system not MP**

The DEV issue of ‘*In ERCOT system not MP*’ should be submitted when the ESI ID IDR meter data is in the data extract from ERCOT, but not in the Market Participant’s system. This subtype can be submitted by a Competitive Retailer or a TDSP. A Competitive Retailer should submit this subtype to request IDR usage from the TDSP. Prior to correcting or resending usage, the TDSP will verify that the Submitting Competitive Retailer is the LSE of record for the requested timeframe.

A TDSP should submit this subtype to ERCOT when the data in the extract should be cancelled from ERCOT’s system.

The information required to submit this issue type is as follows: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

ESI ID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

STOPTIME (STOPTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

UDCHANNELCUT (UIDAMSDATA TYPE)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME/LSTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

ORIGIN – Must be ‘M’

**In both systems with date issues**

The DEV issue of ‘*In both systems with date issues’* should be submitted when the ESI ID IDR meter data is in the data extract from ERCOT and in the Market Participant’s system, but has start time and/or stop time issues. This subtype can be submitted by a Competitive Retailer or a TDSP. The Competitive Retailer should submit this issue type to the TDSP when requesting verification of IDR Usage values. The TDSP should submit this issue type to ERCOT when it has been verified that the dates of the IDR data are incorrect and it is necessary to load a corrected LSE file.

The information required to submit this issue type is as follows: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

ESI ID

New STARTTIME

New STOPTIME

NEW TOTAL

ESI ID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

STOPTIME (STOPTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

UIDCHANNELCUT (UIDAMSDATATYPE)

ADDTIME (ADDTIME/LSTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

ORIGIN – Must be ‘M’

**In both systems with kWh issues**

The DEV issue of ‘*In both systems with kWh issues’* should be submitted when the ESI ID IDR meter data is in the data extract from ERCOT and in the MP’s system, but has kWh issues. This subtype can be submitted by a Competitive Retailer or a TDSP. The Competitive Retailer should submit this issue type to the TDSP when requesting verification of IDR Usage values. The TDSP should submit this issue type to ERCOT when it has been verified that the dates of the IDR data are incorrect and it is necessary to load a corrected LSE file.

The information required to submit this issue type is as follows: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

NEW TOTAL

ESI ID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

STOPTIME (STOPTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

UIDCHANNELCUT (UIDAMSDATATYPE)

CHANNEL - If submitted by the Competitive Retailer to the TDSP, this value should = ‘4’

ADDTIME (ADDTIME/LSTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

ORIGIN – Must be ‘M’

**The next category we’ll review is DEV Non-IDR Usage. The subtypes within this category are:**

* In MP System not ERCOT
* In ERCOT System not MP
* In both systems with date issues
* In both systems with kWh issues

**In MP System not ERCOT:**

The DEV Non-IDR issue type of *‘In MP System not ERCOT*’ can be submitted by a Competitive Retailer or a TDSP. A Competitive Retailer should submit this issue type to the TDSP when the NIDR meter data is in the Market Participant’s system, but not in the data extract from ERCOT. Once the TDSP has reviewed the information, there are varying actions that can be taken:

1. If the TDSP confirms via the ESI ID Extract that the usage is loaded at ERCOT and matches the data in the TDSP system, the TDSP should transition the issue back to the Competitive Retailer as Unexecutable with comments and/or transactions submitted for explanation.
2. The TDSP should submit a new issue or can transition the existing issue to ERCOT for assignment if ALL the following conditions are met:
   1. The TDSP determines via the ESI ID Extract that usage data is not loaded at ERCOT,
   2. The TDSP confirms ERCOT acknowledged acceptance of the transaction(s) with the 997 response, and
   3. The TDSP determines the data was reported as loaded successfully via the 867\_03 activity report.
3. The TDSP should submit data to ERCOT via transaction in cases where either condition (b) or (c) above is not met. The issue should not be marked as Complete until the data has been loaded into ERCOT systems. If the TDSP determines that the data is not loaded at ERCOT, then the TDSP is responsible for submitting the data to ERCOT via transaction.

For all Usage Variance Issues that require changes to data or loading of missing data at ERCOT, the TDSP should send the data to ERCOT in accordance with the 867\_03 data loading validations. These validations are performed whether the data is submitted via transaction or file for manual loading.

The information required to submit this issue type is as follows: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

New STARTTIME

New STOPTIME

NEW TOTAL

ESI ID

Meter Type

**In ERCOT system not MP**

The DEV issue of ‘*In ERCOT system not MP’* should be submitted when the ESI ID Non-IDR meter data is in the data extract from ERCOT, but not in the Market Participant’s system. This subtype can be submitted by a Competitive Retailer or a TDSP. A Competitive Retailer should submit this subtype to request Non-IDR usage from the TDSP. Prior to correcting or resending usage, the TDSP will verify that the Submitting Competitive Retailer is the LSE of record for the requested timeframe.

A TDSP should submit this subtype to ERCOT when the data in the extract should be cancelled from ERCOT’s system.

The information required to submit this issue type is as follows: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

ESI ID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

STOPTIME (STOPTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

TIMESTAMP (TIMESTAMP from the SCR727 Extract)

METERTYPE

TOTAL (TOTAL from the SCR727 Extract)

**In both systems with date issues**

The DEV issue of ‘*In both systems with date issues’* should be submitted when the ESI ID Non-IDR meter data is in the data extract from ERCOT and in the Market Participant’s system, but has a stop time issue. The TDSP must select the earliest affected record to file this issue type. Start Time issues by themselves cannot be filed. Start Time issues become stop time issues of the prior record.

For all Usage Variance Issues that require changes to data or loading of missing data at ERCOT, the TDSP should send the usage to ERCOT in accordance with the 867\_03 loading validations. These validations are performed whether the data is submitted via transaction or file for manual loading.

TDSPs need to consider that they may have submitted data for a date range which has more than one LSE of record (i.e. Competitive Retailer may not be the “owner” of all days). Prior to correcting or resending data, the TDSP will verify that the requesting Competitive Retailer is the LSE of record for requested timeframe.

The information required to submit this issue type is as follows: (screen shot of the submit screen highlighting each required field)

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

New STOPTIME

NEW TOTAL

ESI ID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

STOPTIME (STOPTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

TIMESTAMP (TIMESTAMP from the SCR727 Extract)

METERTYPE

TOTAL (TOTAL from the SCR727 Extract)

**In both systems with kWh or kw issues**

The DEV issue of ‘*In both systems with kWh issues’* should be submitted when the ESI ID Non-IDR meter data is in the data extract from ERCOT and in the Market Participant’s system, but has kWh or kW issues. This subtype can be submitted by a Competitive Retailer or a TDSP. The Competitive Retailer should submit this issue type to the TDSP when requesting verification of Non-IDR Usage values. The TDSP should submit this issue type to ERCOT when it has been verified that the dates of the Non-IDR data are incorrect and it is necessary to update loaded data.

The information required to submit this issue type is as follows:

Assignee

* If the issue is submitted by the TDSP, the Assignee must be ERCOT
* If the issue is submitted by the Competitive Retailer, the Assignee must be the TDSP

NEW TOTAL

ESI ID

UIDESIID

STARTTIME (STARTTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

STOPTIME (STOPTIME from the SCR727 Extract)

TIMESTAMP (TIMESTAMP from the SCR727 Extract)

METERTYPE

TOTAL (TOTAL from the SCR727 Extract)

**Non-LSE DEV workflow:**

The workflows for the Non-LSE DEV subtypes are all commonly structured. There are differences in the information that is required upon Submit for each subtype but, once created, the workflows are essentially the same.

For this tutorial, we will illustrate how an issue can be transitioned to a successful resolution (otherwise known as the happy path). We will also demonstrate an issue transitioned Unexecutable (also known as the unhappy path).

Let’s begin with the happy path. For this scenario, we will illustrate a TDSP submitting an ‘Un-retire ESIID’ issue type to ERCOT.

{TDSP view} The TDSP selects ‘Un-Retire ESIID’ from the Submit Tree and enters all required information. {Comment should say “Please unretire ESIID as it was inadvertently retired by transaction 814200DEL00044HJ04”} The TDSP then selects OK to submit the issue. The issue transitions to ERCOT in a state of New.

{ERCOT view} ERCOT selects Begin Working and the issue transitions to a state of In Progress. In this state, ERCOT has the option to select Complete, Unexecutable, or Intervention by ERCOT. Intervention by ERCOT is used only by ERCOT in rare situations to close the issue regardless of who is the Responsible MP. For this scenario, ERCOT is in agreement with the request to un-retire the ESIID on the issue and selects Complete. Comments are not required on this transition but it is strongly recommended the user select Add Comments to include any relevant information. Once the Complete transition has been executed, the issue moves to a state of Pending Complete with the TDSP as the Responsible MP.

{TDSP view} The TDSP reviews the issue, concludes that ERCOT has successfully completed the request to un-retire the ESIID, and selects Complete to close the issue.

Now let’s review a different example where the issue is transitioned an unhappy path. For this scenario, we will illustrate the DEV Existence issue ‘In ERCOT with start date issues’.

{TDSP view} The TDSP selects ‘In ERCOT with start date issues’ from the Submit Tree and enters all required information. (comment should be “*This switch was completed incorrectly. Two 867\_04's with Original Tran ID 20197074713227 were sent. The first 867\_04 was for 06/15/16. The correct date should be for 06/01/16. Please correct service history.”*)

The TDSP then selects OK to submit the issue. The issue transitions to ERCOT in a state of New.

{ERCOT view} ERCOT selects Begin Working and the issue transitions to a state of In Progress. In this state, ERCOT has to option to select Complete, Unexecutable, or Intervention by ERCOT. For this scenario, ERCOT determines that the wrong issue type has been submitted. The request is to correct service history instead of the start date of the ESIID. ERCOT selects Unexecutable and enters required comment (comment is “*Please resubmit this as a DEV LSE variance. The start date issues you have noted refer to a particular ESIID to REP start date not the start date of the ESIID itself.”*) The issue moves to a state of Unexecutable (PC) with the TDSP as the Responsible MP.

{TDSP view} The TDSP reviews the issue and is in agreement with the need to submit a DEV LSE subtype and selects Accept to close the issue.