**MDWG WebEx Meeting**

<http://ercot.webex.com>

Meeting Number: 627 491 621

Meeting Password: Mdwg

Audio Dial-In: 1.877.668.4493

WebEx Attendees

Julie Thomas – Luminant

Kaci Jacobs – TXU Energy

Aubrey Hale – ERCOT

John Varnell – Tenaska

Jim Lee – AEP

Shuye Teng – Austin Energy

Lindsay Butterfield – ERCOT

Amy Lofton – ERCOT

Sherry Looney – Luminant

Damon Stewart – ERCOT

Tracy Richter – ERCOT

Ted Hailu – ERCOT

Curt Sandidge – NES

Carolyn Reed – CNP

Ann Boren – ERCOT

Tom Cruse – DME

Daniel Spence – DME

Sam Pak – Oncor

Jane Cates – ERCOT

James Bostwick – ERCOT

Taylor Woodruff – Oncor

Brian Brandaw – ERCOT

Phillip Bracy – ERCOT

Leo Angele - ERCOT

1. **Antitrust Admonition**

2. **Introductions**

3. **Meeting Minutes**

May 31, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Questions, comments, concerns – None

4. **NOGRR084**

Update from ERCOT and possible escalation path.

Aubrey – The language is being updated by ERCOT. Julie had asked for a date. Whether it should be a NOGRR and this group wanted to see the language before it was presented.

Lindsay – it’s in Greybox language. Any changes to the language will require us to make a NOGRR.

Julie, could we have a NOGRR to strike the language and NPRR for report creation?

If this is being circulated around at ERCOT and there is no language to see, what is being circulated?

Lindsay: hasn’t reached Executive Review but draft language is being circulated. Julie, Kaci, and Carolyn think it’s not making progress. Whom do we reach out to a) get some visibility or b) get some progress, since it’s been in review at ERCOT for over a year?

Ann Boren will take the issue to ER to ask that MDWG get some feedback on the language being circulated. Julie: We had the language nailed down over a year ago, is there some concern over that language, if so, please provide some feedback.

Carolyn – if we struck the grey language in NOGRR 084 now, and created a NPRR would put the language in the protocols.

Ann: It is going to be an ERCOT sponsored revision.

Julie: It was originally sponsored by MISUG, but after drill-down, ERCOT decided to sponsor.

Ann: We will have feedback at the next meeting.

5. **Reports to be Automated**

CEER 1 – No further action. Reports are either available in another form or too complex to automate. CEERs 2 & 3 – What’s the next step?

Items 9-14, Bring back an idea of when these may go into development

QSE Report – if there is a file that contains just the QSEs is it separate from the MP list and if so, why? How is it different from the QSE list?

Ted, the listed reports are required by protocol.

Julie – Can we look at automating all of them?

Kaci – Including the automation is there a standard frequency or is it ad hoc?

Ted – These reports are automated once a month typically. Changes in QSEs and reps are more frequent, TDSPs don’t change all that often.

Aubrey will bring a schedule of the implementation of CEER 2 and CEER 3 items.

6. **Load Forecast Distribution Factors Report Frequency**

Update – Board Approval – Approved 6-14-2016

Julie, Implementation is only 2 months. Has it been slated for release?

7. **Open Items**

Zero/Null Data in SCED GRD report – Moved to R4 (August)

Will be discussed as part of Release 4.

8. **CRR Balancing Account Extract User Guid**e – Posted! – Closing out

9. **Market Data Transparency Update**

Going to COPS in July.

It is on the COPS Agenda.

10. **Windows 10 and ERCOT DC downloading**

Scope review for development effort.

Brian will update the group next meeting.

11. **SSL Certificate Upgrade**

Leo talked through the SSL Certificate upgrade. This upgrade is only for the API for ERCOT External Web Services (intranet and WAN). Moving to the new standard SHA and applies to MIS API, Notifications, Production Web Services, MarkeTrak and Get Report/Get List functionality. The MOTE environment is going to be open for testing next week and the change will occur in August. ERCOT Intermediate and Root certificates were changed about a year ago.

Looking at July 6, 2PM to make changes to the MOTE environment and will send a market notice. ERCOT held a market call last week and we’re planning another around 7-20-2016.

Documents are published on ERCOT.com and Leo showed and explained. Users cannot actually run reports but you as long as you get a response and not an error you should be good.

12. **Market Notice – Planned site failover**

Suggestions for format – Include the CIM Load information.

Valuable to have a description of what the CIM load is. Single Entry Model for the market.

Jane – Include the title line in the Reason column beside the Notice number and add a Legend for CIM

13. **MIS Changes Visibility**

Review/Discussion of posted Problem Statement

Daniel’s edits. We planned to get broad input on these statements and provide to ERCOT, get advisement from ERCOT leadership, how to go forward, noting any constraints.

Daniel: Needed more transparency into how changes are decided and communicated.

1st bullet – “creates an insufficient window” …

2nd bullet – column name provided, not the same as getting real test data. Current XSD, difficult to navigate, not all reports are in there. All reports should have a data definition. Ex: decimal data type and it came up as a float. Nice to provide a schema doc definition.

3rd bullet –

4th bullet – HUGE. Process lots of historical data, reports go through multiple changes and without any change list or history, it’s difficult to find out different states of reports through time. Preferrred a centralized list of changes with history (something like release notes) and also forward-looking. Julie thinking of Shift Factors. 60-day data

5th and 6th bullets - when someone makes a determination, investigate how it will impact the market. Seems that impacts on the market are not considered. Any change to data should have that. Some MP continued to be more sophisticated, and they are more directly impacted by those source changes. Changes are becoming more critical especially as we go to subscription-based or web sockets, Julie would like to see some way to identify which changes will impact external users. ERCOT needs a clear data structure to present to the market.

Would other MPs like to go back and consider adding explanations or clarifications? Agenda item for next month: QCC – about this document and produce a final version in that meeting.

Last bullet – would like more visibility into exactly when the change would occur. Wanted a definite day and time. ERCOT has to provide an exact time when there are changes that have to be made by MPs. Tighten up the release day and time and communicate more extensively. A 2- or 3-day window is not acceptable.

Aubrey will work with leadership team in addition to other ERCOT groups to get traction. Distribution through the exploder list to get language finalized. Aubrey will meet today with Leadership and will share the draft doc with comments during the next month will get the language finalized.

14. **EWS Modification**

Review of plan to take EWS Modification roadshow to other stakeholder groups.

Julie and Brian show to go on the road in front of other stakeholder groups. Plan is to COPS at their next meeting. Will also be taking it to all the major groups and any others that are interested or impacted. Any others scheduled for August? Not yet as far as Julie knows.

 QCC: Carolyn: scheduled for August or July COPS? – July.

15. **Upcoming Changes by ERCOT**

Status on R3 – attachment to meeting page

What’s in R4 – August – attachment to meeting page

Please note that the 60 Day report has zero values

What’s in R5 – October

What’s in R6 – December

16. **Future Meeting Schedule** – July 26th and it is a WebEx and in person, Room 102

17. **Adjourn**