



Your Power. Our Promise.

Lower Rio Grande Valley Regional Planning Group Projects

**ERCOT System Planning** 

May 17, 2016

**ERCOT Regional Planning Group Meeting** 



• Background

• Drivers for Development

Assumption and Sensitivity Analysis

Recommendation

• Next Step



## Valley Import Background



- The Lower Rio Grande Valley (LRGV) import paths currently include:
  - (3) 345 kV lines,
  - (3) 138 kV lines,
  - 300 MW DC tie to Mexico

Generation in and near LRGV Area				
Capacity (MW)	Gas	Wind		
Existing <sup>(a)</sup>	1245	1737		
Planning (Meet PG6.9)	225	2641		
Total	1470	4378		

(a) Exclude Frontera Facility



- More Stringent NERC TPL-001-4 Criteria
  - Upgrades, if identified, need to be Implemented by 2021 to prevent loss of non consequential load under NERC P3 Events (including G-1-G-1)
- Frontera Plant Facilities (524MW) no longer plan to participate in the ERCOT
  - <u>http://www.ercot.com/content/news/presentations/2014/</u>
    <u>ERCOT%20Frontera%20Letter.pdf</u>



# **LRGV Existing Load Serving Capability and Load Forecast**



- ERCOT 2016 Regional Planning Plan (RTP) is consistent with AEP's load projection
- Valley Summer Peak Load Serving Capability: 2700 MW (less than 100 MW UVLS)
   erco
- Upgrades are required prior to 2021 Summer

## **RPG Projects**

- AEPSC proposed LRGV area transmission improvements in April, 2015
  - Three +600/-200 MVAR SVCs (South McAllen, LaPalma, Weslaco)
  - New NSUBLH-Ajo-NSUBXING 345 kV BOLD Transmission Line
  - Two 345/138 kV transformers
- Sharyland and CPS jointly proposed LRGV import project in September, 2015
  - Three 400~600 MVAR SVCs (Loma Alta, Railroad, North Edinburg)
  - New Elm Creek-Palmito 345 kV double circuit
  - New La Palma-Palmito 345 kV single circuit
  - One 345/138kV transformer

# **ERCOT Independent Review Study Approach**

# • Evaluated 2021 summer peak condition

- Steady State: from 2015 RTP case
- Dynamic Stability: from 2015 DWG flat start case (include dynamic load models in the Valley region)
- Dispatched wind output at 10% of rated capacity in the Valley region

 Ten upgrade options were developed (appendix)



## **Voltage Stability Assessment and Cost Comparison**



ercot 🦻

- Option 1: ~91 M\$
  - One 300 MVAr SVC at LaPalma 138 kV substation, and one 300 MVAr SVC at AEP Pharr 138 kV substation
- Option 4: 330 M\$~348 M\$ (higher cost estimate is based on "hot" construction)
  - Option1 with San Miguel-Lobo-North Edinburg string 2nd circuit, no series compensation
- Option 5: ~403 M\$
  - Option 1 with one new 345 kV circuit NSUBLH-Ajo-NSUBXING (BOLD), two 345/138 kV autotransformers



ltems	Option 1 (2 SVCs)	Option 4 (2 SVCs + 2 <sup>nd</sup> circuit)	Option 5 (2 SVCs + new import)
Load Serving Capability (MW)	2800	3300	3400
Reliability Criteria	2023	Beyond 2025	Beyond 2025
Cost Estimate (M\$)	91	330~348	403
Total New ROW Required (miles)	0	~7	~140
Implementation Time (year)	3	3	5



# LNG Load

- Currently, there is no LNG commitment in the Valley region
- Assumed 700 MW LNG load in Cameron County
- New import option terminated at east of Valley region will have better LNG load serving capability
- The actual LNG implementation may require a new import path or additional local generation

# New Generation

- Assumed one generation project (~780MW with SGIA) in Cameron County
- No upgrades were needed under 2021 summer peak conditions with a 780 MW generation addition
- No upgrades were needed under 2021 summer peak conditions with 700 MW of LNG load added along with a 780 MW generator addition



- Improvements associated with option 1:
  - One 300 MVAR SVC at La Palma 138 kV substation
  - One 300 MVAR SVC at AEP Pharr 138 kV substation
- Estimated Capital Cost: 91 M\$
- Further improvements will be needed to meet 2023 load conditions. Recommending option 1 at this time will allow ERCOT and TSPs time to:
  - Evaluate proper long-term solution with potentially more certainty about location generation and LNG load plans
  - Evaluate the dynamic load model assumptions





- Complete the study report
- Present the independent review results to
  - TAC on May 26, 2016
  - BOD on June 14, 2016



# Appendix

MON Y



## **Upgrade Options**



Option 1: One 300 MVAr SVC at LaPalma 138 kV substation, and one 300 MVAr SVC at Pharr 138 kV substation



#### Option 2: Option1 with one new 345 kV circuit NSUBLH-LaPalma (BOLD)



# Option 3: Option 1 with one new 345 kV circuit NSUBLH-NSUBXING (BOLD), two 345/138 kV transformers



Option 4: Option1 with San Miguel-Lobo-North Edinburg string 2nd circuit, no series compensation



# Option 5: Option 1 with one new 345 kV circuit NSUBLH-Ajo-NSUBXING (BOLD), two 345/138 kV transformers



#### Option 6: Option 1 with one new 345 kV circuit NSUBLH-Ajo-LaPalma (BOLD)



## Option 7: Option 1 with one new 345 kV circuit Elm Creek-Palmito



#### **Option 8: Option 1 with one new 345 kV circuit Elm Creek-Ajo-Palmito**



#### **Option 9: Option1 with one new 345 kV circuit NSUBLH-Ajo-North Edinburg (BOLD)**



#### **Option 10: Option 1 with one new 345 kV circuit San Miguel-North Edinburg**

