**PLWG Meeting Notes:**

**Agenda**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | Antitrust Admonition | Schwarz | 9:30 |
| 2. | Draft NPRR -- Additional Planning Reserve Margin Calculation in the ERCOT CDR | NRG |  |
| 3. | Multiple Element Contingency Treatment -- treatment of load in N-1-1 contingencies. Treatment of double circuit lines in multiple element (X-1 + N-1, G-1 + N-1) contingencies | Luminant |  |
| 4. | Other Business -- PGRR042, Generation Deliverability Criteria, Whitepaper action items | Schwarz | 12:30 |

Notes:

1. Reviewed Draft NPRR – General agreement was reached on language for non-renewable generation. ERCOT Market Rules will review the language for clarity. Adrian will draft language to apply the same concept to IRR resources.
2. Multiple Element Contingency Treatment – ERCOT does not include loss of a double circuit followed by a double circuit as a contingency for study in the RTP. ERCOT also does not include the loss of a single circuit followed by a double circuit as a contingency for study in the RTP. TSPs vary in their consideration these contingencies in application of the allowable consequences. EOP-004 is a basis for the 100 MW threshold used in ERCOT planning’s n-1-1 analysis. Luminant asked if ERCOT would consider applying maintenance outages to the minimum load case. No action was identified for PLWG at this time.
3. Other Business—
   1. SSWG provided an update on efforts to incorporate PLWG whitepaper recommendations into the SSWG Procedure Manual. Expect to present procedure changes to ROS in December.
   2. PGRR-042 remains tabled.
   3. Gen Deliverability Criteria – PLWG discussed bringing this back to active status on the agenda and had some discussion on appropriate next steps. Jeff Billo provided an update on the status of this effort. ERCOT legal staff believes that a PUCT rule change may be required to implement. Jeff does not see a compelling need to make a change to the Planning Guides at this time based on his technical requirements. No action item was developed.
   4. CPS Energy suggested bringing SSWG, DWG and SPWG procedure manuals to PLWG for review prior to submittal to ROS. This would be to ensure the manuals are written in compliance with Planning Guides and NERC Reliability Standards. A review of the scope of the PLWG, as defined by ROS, is needed to understand whether this already falls within the scope of PLWG responsibilities. TRE was in agreement with this recommendation.
   5. TRE suggested bringing the RTP Scope to PLWG for review before presenting to RPG. Discussion centered around the need for this to be assigned by ROS since it is not currently within the scope of the PLWG as established by ROS. CPS Energy supports TRE in this recommendation.
   6. 2016 PLWG Leadership nominations will be taken and selections made at the December meeting for presentation to and approval by ROS.