**AGENDA**

**MISUG MEETING**

**WebEx Only**

**April 29, 2015; 9:30 AM-12:00 PM**

<http://ercot.webex.com>

Meeting Number:  651 870 084

Meeting Password:   Misug

Audio Dial-In: 1.877.668.4493

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1.** | **Antitrust Admonition –** Read, roll call performed | **Julie Thomas** | **9: 30 PM** |
| **2.** | **Previous Meeting Minutes –** Reviewed; changes made to TPIT spelling | **Julie Thomas** |  |
| **3.** | **SCR775 –** Feedback from DSWG - ERCOTmet with DSWG and presented compiled views and drop down link from ERCOT.com. * SCED timestamp standalone, LMP value will remain in place, continue to provide RTD date and time stamp, settled on all intervals of data and 2 hours of rows, changed display labels.
* The views are driven by RTD runs for the display but will have instances of SCED date time stamp. Actual LMP provided will be for last SCED run.
* Reports will continue to post.

Ready for WMS in May? -DSWG was good with changes. ERCOT will not be going to WMS for review, DSWG will include in May WMS update. Delivery date - **slated as project for R3 and will be in ERCOT portfolio review updates at PRS, project PR-0160-01; to be released June 22nd -26th**.  |  |  |
| **4.** | **EWS Modification Workshop –** Workshop recording was sent to MISUG exploder.Highlights – ERCOT will discuss the best approach for moving this forward and get the request working through the channels for implementation. * Walked through options for providing notification whether at the report ID level or doc ID level. Discussed different mechanisms to use for communication, such JMS, Listener, Amazon S3 infrastructure, streaming solution (this would be brought to review at another MISUG meeting). A great deal of infrastructure is involved in protecting data.
* ERCOT (Jamie and Brian) will send out a survey to obtain specific information that can be measured requesting candid responses for a viable solution to suit MP needs.
* What is being done about how we can obtain certain data sooner and faster? Closest data centers are in Round Rock, TX. Notification infrastructure with a one time delivery of the notification and the user reaches out to obtain the report. Intent is to make this more efficient for ERCOT and better experience for the user community.

Discussion – It has not been determined if this will move forward as an ERCOT project. ERCOT would provide updates at MISUG and Subcommittee level.Review of Next Steps – 1) ERCOT to deliver demo for streaming solution, date undetermined2) ERCOT to send out survey for workshop to participants and List Serve with more specific questions. Once results seen from survey, a workshop or WebEx may be conducted, this will be reviewed for need. * Julie requested the results of the survey to be provided.
 |  |  |
| **5.** | **NOGRR084**Update from ERCOT – Executive and business review for system changes to be performed. Draft language to be posted for the next OWG meeting with updates provided to MISUG for review of data elements. |  |  |
| **6.** | **Reports to be Discontinued –** Review the tracking spreadsheet - All outstanding items approved. NOGRR138, which was tabled due to tie to NPRR657, was approved through email vote.  |  |  |
| **7.** | **Review of last-known open items list –** Not much definition around priority of assignments on list where the first spreadsheet was discussed but never got off the ground. These items were taken from WMS and other subcommittee participants over the phone with requests as “nice-to-haves” but many of those individuals never attended the MISUG meetings.* Some item on list may no longer apply but MISUG to review. Jamie to follow up on line item 45 for System ACE to see what it would take to get it added.
* Unclear what was wanted from DC Tie Schedules since additional information exists. ERCOT did not pursue it, and never had follow up - can be moved to suspended or closed tabs.
* Some items listed ERCOT does not have good documentation or notice of where these originated. If no one came forward the line item hung on the list. Oncor stated that if no one came back with a business case for these requests they would be parked.
* Closed tab items were updated based on completed or in progress efforts.
* The 10.13 update tab was from 2013.
* Line item 16, 17 and 18 can be moved
* **Proposed that MISUG participants review the material and if there are items that are of interest and you are willing to make a business case for, bring back to MISUG for discussion.**
* **Julie Thomas to post the document to today’s meeting page and send to the ListServe.**
* After cleanup of list MISUG will reach out through a “Public Service Announcement” for review and request to attend a subsequent MISUG meeting to discuss.
 |  |  |
| **8.** | **Review Red-lines of Disclosure Data User Guides –** * **Disclosure Reports \_sample for MISUG example to be posted to today’s MISUG meeting page.**
* The purpose of this guide is to provide information around disclosure reports for what they are and what is in the files. Current version contains column headings only.
* Jamie noted that traceability changes regularly, request made to use the EMIL (ERCOT Market Information List) in the traceability and is the informative source for reports, notifications and all info ERCOT sends out that are visible externally and are required.
* MISUG recommended ERCOT continue with its work on the guide.
 |  |  |
| **9.** | **Report Corrections/Updates –** 60-Day Disclosure Data - Zero-Null data in 60-day SCED GRD report – Issue where zero values exist where there should be null values* ERCOT is still investigating what impact there would be to make a source system change consistent.

48-Hour Disclosure Data - Minimum energy supply curves – accuracy and alignment with Protocols* The data could not be matched up to the 60 day disclosure reports.
* ERCOT states they are not sure that the minimum energy supply curve should be the DAM and Real Time.
* Julie to provide ERCOT the info to review.

Adhoc item – Request from Susan Young, Direct Energy, for ERCOT to display meter number through the Find ESI ID search functionality. **Jamie to review internally and see if ERCOT stores meter number.** |  |  |
| **10.** | **Upcoming Changes by ERCOT -** R3 scheduled for end of June |  |  |
| **11.** | **Adjourn** |  | **12:00 PM** |
|  | **Next Meeting** |  |  |

Tuesday, May 26, 2015 – On-site Room 101 ERCOT Met Center and WebEx

9:30 AM-12:00 PM

| **Open Action Items** | **Responsible Party** |
| --- | --- |
| Identify if ERCOT stores meter number and could it be published through Find ESI search function | ERCOT (Jamie Lavas) |
| Review material for interest, compile business case and return to next MISUG meeting for discussion | MISUG Meeting Participants |
| Post EWS Workshop recording Meeting page, if allowable | Kaci Jacobs |
| Post “Last Known Open Items List” document to today’s meeting page and send to MISUG ListServe | Julie Thomas |
| Send out survey for EWS Workshop to participants and ListServe with more specific questions | ERCOT (Brian Brandow) |
| Present demo for streaming solution at next MISUG | ERCOT (Brian Brandow) |
| Post Disclosure Reports \_sample for MISUG example to MISUG meeting page | Kaci Jacobs |
| Provide ERCOT that the minimum energy supply curve should be the DAM and Real Time for 48 hour reports | Julie Thomas |
| Repost the corrected MISUG meeting notes from last meeting 3/30/15 | Kaci Jacobs |
| 60 Day Disclosure Data Null vs Zero follow up | Jamie Lavas |
| System ACE | Jamie Lavas |