**PLWG Meeting Notes February 16, 2015**

1. Antitrust Admonition
2. PGRR040 -- Clarification of Water Supply Rights Attestation Obligation

ONCOR raised a question about the language in NPRR674 and consistency with PGRR040.

PLWG recommended that PGRR040 table until PGRR040 can be reconciled with NPRR674.

1. PGRR041 – Revise and Add Requirements for NERC TPL-001-4 Standard

J. Billo reviewed the ERCOT assessment of the impact of a voltage deviation criterion of 8, 9, or 10% using 2014 Regional Transmission Plan cases and contingency definitions. ERCOT will post detail results on the MIS site with the 2014 RTP results for analysis. Counts are limited to 100 kV and above.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Post Contingency Deviation Limit** | **2015** | **2017** | **2019** | **2020** |
| 8% | 27 | 26 | 25 | 10 |
| 9% | 16 | 19 | 17 | 10 |
| 10% | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 |

PLWG agreed to move PGRR-041 to ROS. In the meantime, each TSP will review the results of ERCOT’s assessment and provide feedback on the voltage deviation percentage.

1. PGRR042 -- Regional Transmission Plan Model Reserve Requirement and Load-Generation Imbalance Methodology
2. Additional items

* Cascading Event definition – ERCOT will include the approach they are using to determine cascading in the Regional Transmission Plan scope.

White Paper Comments

* ONCOR provided their methodology for developing the load for use in the SSWG power flow cases.
* ERCOT has significant changes to make.
* Part of effort still needed is to make a recommendation, if any, for changes to process.

Generation Deliverability Criteria PGRR/NPRR language

* ERCOT provided an update. Looking at the range of benefits that can be quantified (cost of entry) for economic projects.
* ERCOT legal reviewing to determine if the methodology will fit within existing criteria /definitions for economic project analysis contained in the PUCT Substantive Rules/ERCOT Nodal Protocols/ERCOT Planning Guides.

1. Next Meeting: Wednesday, March 25, 2015