**LOADS IN SCED v2 SUBGROUP -- SUMMARY OF 2/3/15 MEETING**

1. Subgroup favored Option #3 (DR Provider of Record) as optimal approach to 3rd party DR QSE access and Proxy $G.  Stakeholders taking back Option #3 for internal review.
2. Next step:  Slide presentation to DSWG on March 9, seeking DSWG consensus and instrux to write a concept paper.  (Bill)
   1. Slides should identify LRIS Subgroup preferences and other outstanding issues but not seek to solve them.  One step at a time.
3. Assuming DSWG consensus, concept paper developed as group effort.  (Evan to start first draft whenever he’s ready.)
   1. Needs to include proposal(s) for establishing customer electric service rate upon DR QSE enrollment.  (Will likely require PUC action.)
4. Present concept paper at WMS and RMS – 2Q?
   1. This will ignite TX SET discussions.
5. Meanwhile, explore scenarios involving LRs in MIRTM using study process doc developed at whiteboard session.  Need realistic scenarios on LR SCED bid/offer pricing and temporal constraints.
   1. ERCOT to solicit from DSWG membership (Paul).
   2. Also probable need to develop hypothetical stair-step scenarios.

The other outstanding issues include:

1. MIRTM (big picture).
2. Lingering Proxy $G litmus test issues:  Who’s truly paying a fixed price?
   1. Should all Peak Rebate ESI IDs fail the test?  Or should an ESI ID fail only if it receives a payment?
3. Minimum enrollment thresholds for residential ALRs
4. ALR->LSE allocation rules/limits
5. Real-time performance metrics and ex-post M&V.
6. Provisions for C&I ALRs.
7. Volumetric G:  still on the table?  Should qualified LR be given choice of VG or Proxy $G?
8. Develop recommendations for default electric service rate for customers that have enrolled with a DR QSE
9. DR “bounceback” management
10. LSE/REP notification (resolved through transaction definition in option #3)
11. Everything else we haven’t thought of yet