

# 2012 ERCOT KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR MATRIX

**3rd Quarter Reporting Period** 

	YTD	4th Quarter	Performance	3rd Quarter	Performance	2nd Quarte	er Performance	1st Quarter	Performance
	G R	Green	Red	Green	Red	Green	Red	Green	Red
TRANSMISSION SYSTEM OPERATION									
SYSTEM PLANNING									
TRANSMISSION CONNECTION MANAGEMENT									
GRID SECURITY MANAGEMENT / REAL-TIME SYSTEM CONTROL / SCHEDULING & DISPATCH									
OUTAGE COORDINATION/PLANNING									
FORECASTING									
COMPLIANCE MONITORING & REPORTING									
RETAIL OPERATION									
CUSTOMER SWITCHING/REGISTRY									
MARKET INFORMATION									
DISPUTE MANAGEMENT									
WHOLESALE SPOT/CASH MARKET OPERATION									
BIDDING, SCHEDULING AND PRICING									
WHOLESALE METERING, DATA COLLECTION AND DATA AGGREGATION									
SETTLEMENT & BILLING									
MARKET INFORMATION									
CRR/FTR MANAGEMENT									
DISPUTE MANAGEMENT									
RENEWABLE ENERGY CREDITS									
DETERMINE REC OBLIGATIONS AND VERIFY COMPLIANCE									
CUSTOMER CARE									
ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT								R&0	CC 2
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY									
IT APPLICATION SERVICES	IT 10							IT	10
OTHER SUPPORT & MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONS									
STRATEGY & BUSINESS PLANNING									
INTERNAL AUDIT									
FINANCE						0	SM 4		
HUMAN RESOURCES									
FACILITIES/SECURITY			·						
EXTERNAL AFFAIRS									
PROJECT/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT									

## **Transmission System Operation**

KPI	Executive	Capability	KPI Description	Target	Stretch	YTD	Q3 Current Year (2012)	Q3 Prior Year (2011)
			Regional Planning project Review Studies				100% with 12 of 12 completed on	
TSO 1	Saathoff, Kent	System Planning		90%	95%	100%	time	100%
			Generation Interconnection Request (GIR)					
			screening studies completed on time without					
TSO 2	Saathoff, Kent	Management Grid Security	errors.	90%	95%	100%	100	86%
		•	Control Performance Standard 1 (CPS1)				The rolling 12 month CPS1 score at	
			frequency control performance (rolling 12				the end of the quarter was	
TSO 3	Saathoff, Kent	& Dispatch		> 135	> 150	159.03	159.03%	146.88
		Grid Security						
		Management / Real-Time						
TCO 4	Saathoff, Kent	& Dispatch	Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit (IROL) exceedance limitations.	None longer than 30 minutes	None longer than 15 minutes	No IROL exceedances longer than 15 minutes for the year.	No IROL Exceedances longer than 15 minutes	No IROL Exceedances longer than 15 minutes.
130 4	Saatiioii, Keiit	& Dispatcii	Outage Coordination performance: requests	None longer than 50 minutes	None longer than 13 minutes	13 minutes for the year.	13 minutes	13 minutes.
		Outage Coordination /	approved or denied within timeline and with					
TSO 5	Saathoff, Kent	Planning		95%	97%	Above 99%	99.44	Above 97%
								One emergency database load due
				No more than two instances of models not being provided	All models provided for scheduled and supplemental	All models provided and no	All models provided, and no	to staff error in first quarter. None in second or third quarter. No
		Outage Coordination /		for scheduled and supplemental database loads and no	data base loads and no more than 2 emergency	emergency database loads due to	emergency database loads due to	instances of models not being
TSO 6	Saathoff, Kent	Planning	Network model update frequency	more than 4 emergency database loads due to staff error	database loads due to staff error	staff error	staff error	provided on time.
			,					
			Operations Load Forecast performance - Mean				Highest average day ahead MAPE	
			Average Percent Error (MAPE): monthly average				for quarter was September at	Highest average day ahead MAPE
TSO 7	Saathoff, Kent	Forecasting	day ahead load forecasts used for DRUC MAPE:	All less than 4.0%	All less than 3.5%	All less than 3.5%	3.43%.	YTD was April at 3.55%.
			Wind forecast performance - MAPE based on				Monthly average day ahead MAPE	Monthly average day ahead MAPE
			installed wind capacity: monthly average day				ranged from 6.5% to 7.8% during	ranged from 5.4% to 7.1% in 3rd
TSO 8	Saathoff, Kent	Forecasting		All less than 20%	All less than 15%	All less than 15%	Q3	quarter.
					100% of reports required by PUCT Rule, DOE project,			
		Compliance Monitoring &		No more than two reports required by PUCT Rule, DOE	NERC or State law completed on time without			
TSO 9	Saathoff, Kent	Reporting	Required Planning Report performance	project, NERC or State law filed late or with error	errors.	100%	100%	100%
				No more than 1 high severity and no more than 3 total				
			Achieve full compliance with NERC/FERC	exceptions from NERC Standards as found in a NERC				
		Compliance Monitoring &	planning and operating standards, OPS,	Compliance Audit excluding current registration mitigation	No exceptions from NERC Standards as found in a			
TSO 10	Manning, Chuck	Reporting	Protocols.	plan regarding TOP	NERC Compliance Audit.	No exceptions reported to date.	No exceptions reported to date.	No exceptions reported to date.
				No more than 1 high severity and no more than 3 total				
				alleged violations from NERC Standards as found in a NERC or TRE initiated CIP CMEP in 2012.	No alleged violations from NERC Standards as found			
			Assure property, personnel, and cyber assets are	SSAE16 - No more than 1 exception in logical or physical	in a NERC or TRE initiated CIP CMEP in 2012.			No alleged violations reported to
		Compliance Monitoring &	protected (cyber and physical) in accordance	security controls and an unqualified opinion in logical or	SSAE16 - Unqualified opinion and no noted			date. Still waiting for the CIP Final
TSO 11	Manning, Chuck	Reporting		physical security controls.	exceptions.	No exceptions reported to date.	No exceptions reported to date.	Audit Report for 2011.
			Achieve compliance with ERCOT Protocols and					
			Operating Guides by achieving acceptable					
		Compliance Manitorine 0	operating related exceptions from ERCOT					
TSO 12	Manning, Chuck	Reporting	Protocols and Operating Guides as found in Protocol Compliance Audit.	No more than 3	Zero	No exceptions reported to date.	No exceptions reported to date.	No exceptions reported to date.
130 12	widining, Chuck	neporting	Ensure ERCOT ISO compliance with protocol	No more than 3	2010	reported to date.	to exceptions reported to date.	no exceptions reported to date.
			Section 8 and operating guide Section 9					
		Compliance Monitoring &	requirements (include in aggregate above);					
	Manning, Chuck		excluding Self Reports.	95%	100%	No exceptions reported to date.		No audits or exceptions reported.

## **Retail Operation**

KPI	Executive	Capability	KPI Description	Target	Stretch	YTD	Q3 Current Year (2012)	Q3 Prior Year (2011)
		Customer Switching /						
RO 1	Doggett, Trip	Registry	Conduct retail transaction processing per Protocol timelines	98%	99%	99.90	99.99%	99.96%
		Customer Switching /						
RO 2	Doggett, Trip	Registry	End use customer switch notifications processed per PUCT rules	99%	99.9%	100%	100%	100%
RO 3	Day, Betty	Market Information	Retail extracts available per Protocol timelines	90%	95%	99.58%	98.96%	100%
RO 4	Doggett, Trip	Dispute Management	Manage retail transaction issues and disputes within defined timelines	96%	98%	99%	98.4%	99.83%

## **Wholesale Spot / Cash Market Operation**

KPI	Executive	Capability	KPI Description	Target	Stretch	YTD	Q3 Current Year (2012)	Q3 Prior Year (2011)
		5.1.1. 6.1.1.1.						
WO 1	Doggett, Trip	Bidding, Scheduling and Pricing	Percent of days with successful DAM execution solution completed and posted successfully.	97-99 % of time	> 99 % of time	100%	100%	100%
WUI	Doggett, 111p	and Pricing	DAM executions completed in acceptable	97-99 % OF LITTLE	> 99 % OF UITIE	100%	100%	100%
		Bidding, Scheduling	timeframe: percent of days with posting					
WO 2	Doggett, Trip	and Pricing	solution before 1600.	97-99 % of time	> 99 % of time	99.27%	100%	100%
	Вобрен, пр	una i riem <sub>b</sub>	DAM quality of solution as measured with price	37 33 70 01 111110	2 33 70 OF LINE	33.2770	15070	10070
		Bidding, Scheduling	corrections: percent of hourly prices requiring					
WO 3	Doggett, Trip	and Pricing	DAM price correction.	1-3 % of time	< 1 % of time	0.0025%	0.0068%	0.002%
		Bidding, Scheduling	Number of solved DRUC results posted after					
WO 4	Doggett, Trip	and Pricing	18:00 per month.	between 2 to 5	< 2	0	0	0
			DRUC solution is solved and posted: percent of					
		Bidding, Scheduling	hours forecasted demand and ancillary service					
WO 5	Doggett, Trip	and Pricing	requirements are satisfied.	97 - 99 %	> 99 %	100%	100%	97.69%
			HRUC executed every hour(5.5.3): percent of					
		Bidding, Scheduling	completed HRUCs per month, including ones					
WO 6	Doggett, Trip	and Pricing	missed due to database loads and site failover.	95 - 97 %	> 97 %	100%	100%	99.82%
		Diding Colored in	HRUC solution is solved and posted: percent of					
	D	Bidding, Scheduling	hours the forecasted demand and ancillary	07 00 0/	. 00.0/	00.0720/	00.0004	07.440/
WO 7	Doggett, Trip	and Pricing	services requirements are satisfied.	97 - 99 %	> 99 %	99.973%	99.988%	97.14%
		Bidding, Scheduling	SCED solution is solved and posted: percent of					
WO 8	Doggott Trip	J. J.	15-minute Settlement Interval prices where	1 - 3 %	< 1 %	0.1228%	0%	0.056%
WU 8	Doggett, Trip	and Pricing	price corrections are performed.	1-3%	< 1 %	0.1228%	0%	0.050%
		Wholesale Metering,	AMS interval data is loaded into ERCOT systems					
		Data Collection and	by final settlement from the MRE in accordance					
WO 9	Doggett, Trip	Data Aggregation	with Protocols for data loading.	99%	99.75%	99.96%	99.96%	99.95%
	00 / 1	00 0						
		Wholesale Metering,	IDR meter data is loaded into ERCOT systems by					
		Data Collection and	true-up settlement from the MRE in accordance					
WO 10	Doggett, Trip	Data Aggregation	with Protocols for settlement.	99%	99.75%	99.92%	99.93%	99.90%
		Wholesale Metering,	EPS meter data is accurate and complete as					
		Data Collection and	measured by the percent of data that doesn't					
WO 11	Doggett, Trip	Data Aggregation	change after an initial settlement	99%	99.9%	99.87%	99.96%	99.99%
WO 12	Doggett, Trip	Settlement and Billing	Timely settlements per Protocol timelines.	99%	99.90%	99.81%	99.43	99.98%
			Assurate settlements as a second burner I					
WO 12	Doggott Tric	Cottlement and Dilling	Accurate settlements as measured by number of		10/	00130/	0.0079/	0.00/
WO 13	Doggett, Trip	Settlement and Billing	resettlements due to manual data errors	2%	1%	.0012%	0.007%	0.0%
WO 14	Day, Betty	Market Information	Wholesale extracts available per Protocol timelines	90%	95%	99.877%	99.91%	97.69%
WO 14	Day, Belly	iviai ket iiii UllilatiUll	timelines	30/0	JJ/0	YTD- All monthly auctions	33.31/0	37.0376
					Auction takes less	· ·	3Q- All monthly auctions	
		CRR / FTR	CRR auctions are performed according to Nodal		than 5 days to	days and published on	completed in less than 5 days and	All 3 auctions posted within 5
WO 15	Doggett, Trip	Management	Protocols Requirements (7.5.1)	By end of month	complete and post	time.	published on time.	days
	00 ccc,p	CRR / FTR	Monthly de-ratings of CRRs are within	_ , 5 0011011	zzprece and post			
WO 16	Doggett, Trip	Management	acceptable tolerances	80%	95%	95.60%	97.70%	100%
WO 17	Doggett, Trip	Dispute Management	Process disputes within protocol timelines	95%	98%	100%	100%	97%
WO 17	Doggett, IIIp	Dispute Management	1 Toccas disputes within protocol timelines	3370	50/0	10070	10070	3770

## **Renewable Energy Credits and Customer Care**

KPI	Executive	Capability	KPI Description	Target	Stretch	YTD	Q3 Current Year (2012)	Q3 Prior Year (2011)
		Determine REC						
		Obligations and Verify	Fulfill the protocol obligations for RPS mandate calculations and					
R&CC 1	Doggett, Trip	Compliance	reporting on time and accurately	99%	99.9%	100%	100%	100%
R&CC 2	Doggett, Trip	Account Management	Establish and Maintain Targeted Account Plans and execute per quidelines and schedule.	90%	95%	95.7%	100%	98.50%
R&CC 3	Doggett, Trip	Account Management	Create, distribute and post Market Notices per the COPs Communication Guide, Section 5, Appendix A.	95%	98%	99.3%	98%	100%
R&CC 4	Doggett, Trip	Account Management	Retail and Wholesale Client Service Staff respond/acknowledge MP account management inquiries no later than COB the next Business Day of receipt for those inquiries not involving disputes.	95%	100%	99.9%	99.8%	99.50%

## **Information Technology**

KPI	Executive	Capability	KPI Description	Target	Stretch	YTD	Q3 Current Year (2012)	Q3 Prior Year (2011)
		IT Application						
IT 1	Dreyer, Jerry	Services	Retail Processing Availability - Bus. Hours	99.5%	99.7%	99.92%	100%	99.87%
		IT Application						
IT 2	Dreyer, Jerry	Services	Retail Processing Availability - Non bus. Hours	99%	99.5%	99.77%	99.76%	99.97%
		IT Application						
IT 3	Dreyer, Jerry	Services	Retail API Availability	99%	99.5%	100%	100%	100.00%
		IT Application						
IT 4	Dreyer, Jerry	Services	MarkeTrak Availability	98%	99.5%	99.95%	99.84%	100.00%
		IT Application						
IT 5	Dreyer, Jerry	Services	Congestion Revenue Rights (CRR) Availability	98%	99%	100%	100%	100.00%
		IT Application						
IT 6	Dreyer, Jerry	Services	Market Information System (MIS) Availability	99%	99.5%	99.86%	99.94%	99.92%
		IT Application						
IT 7	Dreyer, Jerry	Services	Market Management System Aggregate Availability	99%	99.5%	99.97%	100%	99.9%
		IT Application						
IT 8	Dreyer, Jerry	Services	Energy Management System Aggregate Availability	99%	99.5%	99.99%	100%	99.99%
		IT Application						
IT 9	Dreyer, Jerry		Security Constrained Economic Dispatch (SCED) Availablity	99.90%	99.95%	99.97%	100%	99.903%
		IT Application	Security Constrained Economic Dispatch (SCED):					
IT 10	Dreyer, Jerry		no outages greater than 30 consecutive minutes	zero outages	n/a	1	0	1
		IT Application						
IT 11	Dreyer, Jerry		Load Frequency Control (LFC) Availability	99.90%	99.95%	99.99%	100%	99.991%
		IT Application	Load Frequency Control (LFC):					
IT 12	Dreyer, Jerry		no outages greater than 30 consecutive minutes	zero outages	n/a	0	0	0
.=		IT Application		2221	22 =24	1000/		
IT 13	Dreyer, Jerry		Outage Scheduler Availability	99%	99.5%	100%	100%	100%
IT 4.4		IT Application	N	070/	000/	00.000/	22.2524	20.000/
IT 14	Dreyer, Jerry	Services	Network Model Management System (NMMS) Availability.	97%	99%	99.98%	99.96%	99.998%

## **Other Support and Management Functions**

KPI	Executive	Capability	KPI Description	Target	Stretch	YTD	Q3 Current Year (2012)	Q3 Prior Year (2011)
		Strategy and Business		Quarterly update				
OSM 1	Ruane, Mark	Planning	Enterprise risk assessment updated quarterly.	completed	n/a	Completed	Completed	n/a
		Strategy and Business	Credit reports are correct and posted in a timely					
OSM 2	Ruane, Mark	Planning	manner.	97%	100%	99.929%	99.897%	n/a
		Ü						
			Execute the 2012 Internal Audit Plan as					
			approved by the Finance and Audit Committee,	100%		85.7% completed (18 out of 21) through 3rd	85.7% completed (18 out of 21) through 3rd	81.8% completed (27 out of 33) through 3rd
OSM 3	Wullenjohn, Bill	Internal Audit	and complete the plan by December 31, 2012.		106% by year end		Qtr.	Qtr.
	, , ,					Year-to-Date expenditures (including		
						portfolio projects) are favorable \$0.7 M or	Q3 expenditures (including portfolio	Year-to-Date expenditures (including
			Manage spending to be equal to or less than the	Between 0 - 5%	> 5% favorable	0.5% through the third quarter. (see	projects) were favorable \$1.0M or 2.2%. (see	portfolio projects) are favorable \$9.3M or
OSM 4	Petterson, Michael	Finance	board-approved expenditure budget for 2012.	favorable variance		footnote next page)	footnote next page)	6.5% through the third quarter.
			Retain top talent (Lose no more than 3% of top			. 5 /	, , ,	
OSM 5	Manning, Chuck	Human Resources	talent population annually).	3%	0%	0.48%	0.32%	0.32%
	U,		Percent of targeted managers to complete					
OSM 6	Manning, Chuck	Human Resources	management certificate program annually.	90%	100%	95.3%	92.7% to complete certification	On target year-to-date.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, ,			2953 Users/2550 applications	1792 Users/1927 applications	,
			Number of E-learning courses utilized (assuming			accessed/2275 completed courses/4179	accessed/1829 completed courses/2008	
OSM 7	Manning, Chuck	Human Resources	average staff level of 600)	1200	1800	Training hours logged	Training hours logged	YTD = 1,325 e-learning couses completed.
	U,		Percent of critical positions with named			5 30		, i
OSM 8	Manning, Chuck	Human Resources	successors.	90%	100%	On Target	On Target	90%
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Manage training program to enhance career					
			development and skill improvement through the					
			development of Individual Development Plans					
OSM 9	Manning, Chuck	Human Resources	(IDPs) for the population.	90%	95%	On Target.	On Target	On target year-to-date.
	<b>0</b> ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					3.7,
			Operate data centers providing availability					
OSM 10	Manning, Chuck	Facilities / Security	consistent with data center designed objectives.	99.98%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,						
				No more than one				
			Maintain ERCOT ISO's security posture against	Stage 2 or Stage 3	Zero cyber or			
			cyber and physical security threats as defined in	cyber or physical	physical security			
OSM 11	Manning, Chuck	Facilities / Security	the Incident Security Response Plan.	security Incident.	Incidents.	On Target.	On Target	On target year-to-date.
			Annually, provide timely, thorough and accurate					
			news releases on all ERCOT Board meetings,					
			major reports and filings, board and officer					
OSM 12	Gage, Theresa	External Affairs	changes, and other newsworthy events.	95%	100%	98.33%	100%	95%
			Annually, ensure postings of current					
			information, reports and presentations on the					
			ERCOT website and maintain accurate					
OSM 13	Gage, Theresa	External Affairs	information about ERCOT organization.	<del>n/a</del> 95%	100%	98.33%	95%	100%
			Develop and maintain a comprehensive					
			repository of informational and educational					
			resource documents related to ERCOT Inc. and					
OSM 14	Gage, Theresa	External Affairs	the ERCOT Market.	95%	100%	96.67%	95%	n/a
			Ensure information of interest to officials and					
			stakeholders is available through social media					
OSM 15	Gage, Theresa	External Affairs	outlets on a timely basis.	95%	100%	100%	100%	n/a
		Project / Program	Project Portfolio managed within approved					
OSM 16	Day, Betty	Management	Execution schedule.	10%	5%	6%	6%	100% on schedule
		Project / Program	Project Portfolio managed within approved					
OSM 17	Day, Betty	Management	Execution budget.	10%	5%	7%	7%	0% budget variance
		Project / Program	Project scope, requirements and objectives are					

#### **Other Support and Management Functions**

#### OSM 4 -- 3rd Quarter Summary:

- o Salaries and related benefits Savings driven by employing an average of 25 fewer employees than budgeted; savings is offset by average of 8 fewer full-time equivalents charging to projects.
- o Facility and equipment costs Under-spend primarily for property tax being lower than expected due to winning appeal in 2011, obtaining reduction in office rental cost due to amended Met Center lease, and a lack of purchasing non-capitalizable equipment, software, and hardware.
- o Hardware and software maintenance and licensing Utilized significantly fewer vendor support service hours than anticipated; also, two material refunds have been realized for maintenance and licensing agreements in addition to negotiating lower renewal costs.
- Outside services Unfavorable spending necessary for staff augmentation of vacant permanent positions, as well as, extension of unbudgeted resource adequacy workshops with PUCT.
- Other Increased cost for external recruiting services for staff-level and Chief of Staff position; also, unbudgeted public service announcements.
- Portfolio Expenditures Favorable variances in the following two projects:
- Settlement System Upgrade: hardware purchases delayed pending final needs assessment; internal and external labor efforts reduced in favor of higher priority NPRR work,
- Secure Remote Access Management (SRAM): purchases originally planned to be made in 2012 were purchased prior to end of 2011.
- Interest expense Lower interest rates negotiated via debt restructuring efforts.

#### OSM 4 -- YTD Summary:

- Salaries and related benefits Savings driven by employing an average of 25 fewer employees than budgeted; savings is offset by average of 8 fewer full-time equivalents charging to projects.
- Facility and equipment costs Under-spend primarily for property tax being lower than expected due to winning appeal in 2011, obtaining reduction in office rental cost due to amended Met Center lease, and a lack of purchasing non-capitalizable equipment, software, and hardware.
- o Hardware and software maintenance and licensing Utilized significantly fewer vendor support service hours than anticipated; agreement renewal negotiations and modifications have allowed lower costs.
- Outside services Unfavorable spending for contracted staff augmentation for vacant permanent positions, as well as, non-staff augmentation services/studies (ex, post-Nodal audit, resource adequacy study, central counterparty compliance assessment, and gas curtailment study).
- o Other Increased cost for external recruiting services and unbudgeted public service announcements; additionally, incurred higher-than-budgeted Operations Training Seminar and Blackstart expenses (costs are offset by registration fee revenue).
- Portfolio Expenditures Favorable variances in the following two projects:
- Settlement System Upgrade
- Secure Remote Access Management (SRAM)

o Interest expense - During first half of the year, a lower amount of financing cost was being allocated to capital projects, therefore, causing base operations to incur higher costs; however, debt restructuring efforts mid-year began resulting in lower costs due to lower interest rates.