Seasonal Assessment of Resource Adequacy for the ERCOT Region Winter 2011-2012

Released December 1, 2011

SUMMARY

The ERCOT Region should have sufficient installed generating capacity for the Winter 2011/2012 season to cover peak demands resulting from normal or extreme weather conditions with a historically-typical amount of generation outages. However, if extreme weather results in a significantly-higher than normal number of forced generation outages and high electrical demand, the ERCOT system could have insufficient resources available to serve that demand. This insufficiency would result in the need for rotating outages to maintain the integrity of the system as a whole.

In addition, the continuing drought has resulted in the water sources for over 11,000 MW of generation to be at historically-low levels. Low water levels could result in some portion of this generating capacity becoming unavailable during the winter. Such unavailability would reduce the severity of forced outages or demand at which rotating outages could be required.

Seasonal Assessment of Resource Adequacy for the ERCOT Region Winter 2011-2012

Released December 1, 2011

Range of Likely Risks

d	Total Uses of Reserve Capacity	9,051	22,367	33,807	
	Forced Outages due to Drought (minimum) Forced Outages due to Drought (maximum)	-	24	11,464	
	90th Percentile Forced Outages Forced Outages due to Drought (minimum)	24	2,645 24	2,645	
	Typical Forced Outages	3,759	3,759	3,759	
	90th Percentile Maintenance Outages	-	4,244	4,244	
	Typical Maintenance Outages	5,268	5,268	5,268	
	Extreme Load Range	-	6,427	6,427	
		Base Case	Conditions	Drought Impact *	* - Column added due to current drought risk
			Extreme	Extreme/ Full	
	Reserve Capacity (a -b), MW	19,459			
	Winter Peak Demand, MW	53,562	Updated 50% Probability foreca	st based on recent Moody's eco	onomic forecast and revised weather profile including 2011 impacts
	Total Resources, MW	73,021			
	50% of Non-Synchronous Ties, MW		Based on 50% of installed capac	ity of ties, per Planning Guide S	ection 8
	ELCC of Planned Wind Units with Signed IA, MW	-	Based on in-service dates provi	ded by developers of generation	resources
	Effective Load-Carrying Capability (ELCC) of Wind Generation, MW	834	Based on 8.7% of installed capa	city (Effective Load Carrying Cap	pability) of wind per Planning Guide Section 8
	RMR Units to be under Contract, MW	-			
	less Switchable Units Unavailable to ERCOT, MW	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Based on survey response of Sw	-	
	Switchable Units, MW	•	Installed capacity of units that of		ust 2011
	Capacity from Private Networks, MW		Based on in-service dates provionable Based on actual net PUN output	· · · · · · ·	
	Installed Capacity, MW Planned Units (not wind) with Signed IA and Air Permit, MW	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Based on current Seasonal Max	•	

Seasonal Assessment of Resource Adequacy for the ERCOT Region Winter 2011-2012

Released December 1, 2011

Analysis of All Sensitivities

Installed Capacity, MW
Planned Units (not wind) with Signed IA and Air Permit, MW
Capacity from Private Networks, MW
Switchable Units, MW
less Switchable Units Unavailable to ERCOT, MW
RMR Units to be under Contract, MW
Effective Load-Carrying Capability (ELCC) of Wind Generation, MW
ELCC of Planned Wind Units with Signed IA, MW
50% of Non-Synchronous Ties, MW

- 64,363 Based on current Seasonal Maximum Sustainable Limits reported through Registration process
 - 30 Based on in-service dates provided by developers of generation resources
- 4,390 Based on actual net PUN output during non-EEA periods of August 2011
- 3,168 Installed capacity of units that can switch to other Regions (317) Based on survey response of Switchable Unit owners
- 834 Based on 8.7% of installed capacity (Effective Load Carrying Capability) of wind per Planning Guide Section 8
- Based on in-service dates provided by developers of generation resources 553 Based on 50% of installed capacity of ties, per Planning Guide Section 8
- 73,021

- Total Resources, MW
- b Winter Peak Demand, MW

53,562 Updated 50% Probability forecast based on recent Moody's economic forecast and revised weather profile including 2011 impacts

c Reserve Capacity (a -b), MW

19,459

10,408

7,763

3,519

Е	xtreme Load Range
Т	ypical Maintenance Outages
9	Oth Percentile Maintenance Outages
Т	ypical Forced Outages
9	Oth Percentile Forced Outages
F	orced Outages due to Drought (minimum)
F	orced Outages due to Drought (maximum)
Tota	al Uses of Reserve Capacity, MW

Capacity Available for Operating Reserves (c-d), MW

Less than 2300 MW indicates risk of EEA1

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Expected Load,	pected Load, No Drought Impact Extreme Load, No Drought Impact Exp			Expected Load, Full Potential Drought Impact Extreme Load, Full Potential Drought Impact							
Typical Forced		High Forced	Typical Forced		High Forced	Typical Forced		High Forced	Typical Forced		High Forced
and Planned	High Forced	and Planned	and Planned	High Forced	and Planned	and Planned	High Forced	and Planned	and Planned	High Forced	and Planned
Outages	Outages	Outages	Outages	Outages	Outages	Outages	Outages	Outages	Outages	Outages	Outages
19,459	19,459	19,459	19,459	19,459	19,459	19,459	19,459	19,459	19,459	19,459	19,459
-	-	-	6,427	6,427	6,427	-	-	-	6,427	6,427	6,427
5,268	5,268	5,268	5,268	5,268	5,268	5,268	5,268	5,268	5,268	5,268	5,268
		4,244			4,244			4,244			4,244
3,759	3,759	3,759	3,759	3,759	3,759	3,759	3,759	3,759	3,759	3,759	3,759
	2,645	2,645		2,645	2,645		2,645	2,645		2,645	2,645
24	24	24	24	24	24						
						11,464	11,464	11,464	11,464	11,464	11,464
9,051	11,696	15,940	15,478	18,123	22,367	20,491	23,136	27,380	26,918	29,563	33,807

(2,908)

(3,677)

(7,921)

(7,459)

(10,104)

(1,032)

(14,348)

Typical Forced and High Forced and Planned Outages High Forced Outages Planned Outages 15,000 the descense above level at which load per sheet at which load per sheet with the sheet of the s Expected Load, No Drought Impact Extreme Load, No Drought Impact X Expected Load, Full Potential Drought Extreme Load, Full Potential Drought Impact ж - Load Shed Risk (15,000) (20,000)

3,981

1,336

Winter Inputs

2012

41.42%

Load Forecast: Total Summer Peak Demand, MW less Energy Efficiency Programs (per SB1125) less LAARS Serving as Responsive Reserve, MW less Emergency Interruptible Load Service Firm Load Forecast, MW	53,562 119 1,038 420 51,985	
Resources: Installed Capacity, MW Capacity from Private Networks, MW ELCC* of Wind Generation, MW RMR Units to be under Contract, MW Operational Generation, MW Non-Synchronous Ties, MW Switchable Units, MW Available Mothballed Generation , MW Planned Units (not wind) with IA and Air Permit, MW ELCC* of Planned Wind Units with Signed IA, MW Total Resources, MW less Switchable Units Unavailable to ERCOT, MW less future Unit Retirements, MW Resources, MW	64,363 4,390 834 - 69,587 553 3,168 496 30 - 73,834 317 - 73,517	

^{*}Effective Load-Carrying Capability

Reserve Margin (Resources - Firm Load Forecast)/Firm Load Forecast

Updated 50% Probability forecast based on recent Moody's economic forecast and revised weather profile including 2011 impacts Projected based on SB1125 assuming that 50% of the energy efficiency target is included in the model Projected based on Planning Guide Section 8

Projected based on Planning Guide Section 8

Based on current Seasonal Maximum Sustainable Limits reported through Registration process

Based on actual net PUN output during non-EEA periods of August 2011

Based on 8.7% of installed capacity (Effective Load Carrying Capability) of wind per Planning Guide Section 8

Based on 50% of installed capacity of ties, per Planning Guide Section 8

Installed capacity of units that can switch to other Regions

Based on sum of Installed Capacity of each Mothballed Unit times Probability of Return to Service from survey response by owner of the Unit

Based on in-service dates provided by developers of generation resources Based on in-service dates provided by developers of generation resources

Based on survey response of Switchable Unit owners

ts	Expected Load Adder	-	
Inputs	Extreme Load Adder	6,427	Based on load forecast using actual extreme weather year (2011) temperatures
三	Typical Maintenance Outages	5,268	Based on average of historic planned outages for hour ending 7-10 of Dec,Jan,Feb weekdays
0	90th Percentile Maintenance Outages	4,244	Based on historic planned outages for hour ending 7-10 of Dec,Jan,Feb weekdays
Scenario	Typical Forced Outages	3,759	Based on average of historic forced and maint-level outages for hour ending 7-10 of Dec,Jan,Feb weekdays
	90th Percentile Forced Outages	2,645	Based on historic forced and maint-level outages for hour ending 7-10 of Dec,Jan,Feb weekdays
	Low Wind		
0,1	Forced Outages due to Environmental Restrictions		Monticello 1&2 mothballing already included in Installed Capacity
	Forced Outages due to Drought (minimum)	24	Current unavailability
	Forced Outages due to Drought (maximum)	11,464	MW of generation with water sources that are currently at historic lows
	Total Uses of Reserves		

Seasonal Assessment of Resource Adequacy for the ERCOT Region

Background

The Seasonal Assessment of Resource Adequacy (SARA) report is a deterministic approach to considering the impact of potential variables that may impact the sufficiency of installed resources to meet the peak electrical demand on the ERCOT System during a particular season.

The standard approach to assessing resource adequacy for one or more years into the future is to account for projected load and resources on a normalized basis and to require sufficient reserves (resources in excess of peak demand, on this normalized basis) to cover the uncertainty in peak demand and resource availability to meet a one-in-ten-years loss-of-load event criteria on a probabilistic basis.

For seasonal assessments that look ahead less than a year, specific information may be available (such as seasonal climate forecasts or anticipated common-mode events such as drought) which can be used to consider the range of resource adequacy in a more deterministic manner.

The SARA report is intended to illustrate the range of resource adequacy outcomes that might occur. Several sensitivity analyses are developed by varying the value of certain parameters that affect resource adequacy. The variation in these parameters is either based on historic values of these parameters, adjusted by any known or expected change.