

# **Nodal Program Update**


**Special Nodal Program Committee** 19 April 2010

### **Today's Agenda**

- Program Status
- Market Readiness
- Financials
- Appendix

# **225** Days to Go-Live

# 22 Days until Phase 5: Full Functionality 0 Items Impacting Go-Live Date





# **225** Days to Go-Live

# 22 Days until Phase 5: Full Functionality Market Quality Testing and Operational Readiness

#### **Achievements within Market Trials:**

- Market Trials has been running for 10 weeks
- Market Question topics have shifted from qualifications and participation questions to stability and operational inquiries
- Phase 2.1: Market Connectivity
  - Real Time / Day Ahead
- Qualifications
  - 95% of the QSEs have qualified
  - 99% of network model has been validated
- CRR
  - Two monthly CRR auctions have been run and invoiced
- Phase 3.0: Real Time Markets
  - Six month LMP analysis started on March 1<sup>st</sup>
  - The \$2,250 cap on prices has only been reached a few times since 03/04/10
- Phase 4.0: DAM / RUC
  - Two DAM Market Trials have been completed
  - Running twice a week
  - Strong participation from the Market Participants (150 QSEs on Tuesday)

#### **Upcoming Milestones for Market Trials:**

- DRUC
- DAM Settlement
- Reporting support for DAM/RUC/SAS
- Phase 5: Full Functionality
- CMM Module
- DAM / RUC 5x/wk
- 168 HR Test
- System Cut-Over

# **225** Days to Go-Live

#### **DAM Awards Summary**

- Market Trials 4.0: DAM/RUC:
  - Thursday April 1<sup>st</sup>, for Operating Day Friday April 2<sup>nd</sup>
    - 112 QSEs participated in the first DAM / 100% Self Arranged
    - MWs ranged from 21,000 24,800/Hr
    - Prices ranged from \$24.60 \$36.06/Hr
  - Tuesday April 6<sup>th</sup>, for Operating Day Wednesday April 7<sup>th</sup>
    - 80% Forecasted Load / 50% Self-Arranged
    - 150 QSEs participated in the first DAM
    - MWs ranged from 19,738 22,860/Hr
    - Prices ranged from \$21.79 \$29.00/Hr
  - Thursday April 8<sup>th</sup>, for Operating Day Friday April 9<sup>th</sup>
    - 120% Forecasted Load
    - Submissions ended at 10am



## Market Trials Phase 4 - DAM: Schedule/Key Dates

	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5
		4/6/2010 &	4/13/2010 &	4/20/2010 &	4/27/2010 &
	4/1/2010	4/8/2010	4/15/2010	4/22/2010	4/29/2010
DAM / RUC / SASM					
	Connectivity /	AS co-	Network constrained	DAM + DRUC	DAM + DRUC +
Objective	Execution	optimization	solution	DAM + DRUC	HRUC + SASM
Network					
Constraints	None	None	Included	Included	Included
Self-Arranged AS					
Requested	100%	50%	50%	Actual	Actual
Load Bid MW	80/120%	80/120%	80/120%	80/120%	80/120%
Requested	uested forecasted load forecasted		forecasted load	forecasted load	forecasted load
	Up to 5				
	transactions	transactions	Up to 10	Up to 10	
	totaling 50	totaling 50	transactions totaling	transactions/	Up to 10
Virtual Bids / Offers	MW/QSE/hr	MW/QSE/hr	100 MW/QSE/hr QSE/hr		transactions/QSE/hr
					Up to 5 bids totaling
	Up to 5 bids	Up to 5 bids	Up to 5 bids		50 MW/QSE/hr (unless
	totaling	totaling		totaling	the bid represents
PTP Obligations	50 MW/QSE/hr	50 MW/QSE/hr	None	50 MW/QSE/hr	QSE's load)
	Allocated CRRs	Allocated CRRs		Allocated CRRs	
NOIE CRR Offers	only	only	None	only	Allocated CRRs only
			DRUC after each	DRUC after each	
DRUC	None	None	DAM	DAM DAM DRUC afte	
HRUC	None	None	None	None	Limited
SASM	None	None	None	None	Yes




#### Nodal Internal/External and Vendor Headcount 2010

To be updated and provided before SNPC meeting.




#### Integrated Nodal Timeline - Go-Live December 1st, 2010





#### 2010 Market Trials Timeline - Go-Live December 1st, 2010



### **Nodal Program Risks & Issues**


Risk/Issue	Impacted Milestone	Target	Category	Probability	Severity	Status
Market Interaction Operating Level Agreements (OLAs)  Need to determine operating level agreements associated with market interactions to assist ERCOT in establishing operational thresholds:  -Network Model Load Frequency -DAM Sizing -CRR sizing -Reporting	Program	April/ May 2010	Scope / Budget	High	Low	<ul> <li>Phase 4 OLA definitions complete</li> <li>Phase 5 OLA definitions in progress</li> <li>NATF and NDSWG heavily involved in issue</li> <li>10,000 / 10,000 submissions achieved</li> <li>200,000 submissions total; NATF is weighing options</li> <li>On track</li> </ul>
Market Design Assessment Risk around the protocol tractability project and the market results as each phase of market trials becomes active.	Program	August 2010	Scope / Budget	Low	High	Building experienced market design team to review and assess design and protocol alignment with market trials results



#### **Nodal Defects Trends - High Priority** (Must Fix Before Go-Live)

#### **Nodal Defects** Total Open vs. Monthly Variation

- Defect counts increased due to planned software migrations into Nodal ITest and extensive integration testing efforts.
- Primary source for increased defect counts are CSI, EDW, and EIP



	December	January	February	March
Closed	-464	-364	-419	-450
Reopened	61	31	25	37
New	367	362	354	399
→ Total Open	783	878	793	849
→ Total Open - (Fixed & to be Tested)	572	579	518	548

#### **Earned Value for the Nodal Program**

To be updated and provided before SNPC meeting.

12



#### **Nodal Parking Deck - Approved by Board of Directors**

Source Document	Title	Priority	Current Status
NPRR131	Ancillary Service Trades with ERCOT	High	BoD Approved 1/19/2010
NPRR181	FIP Definition Revision	High	BoD Approved 1/19/2010
NPRR153	Generation Resource Fixed Quantity Block Offer	Medium	BoD Approved 1/19/2010
NPRR164	Resubmitting Ancillary Service offers in SASM	Medium	BoD Approved 1/19/2010
SCR755	ERCOT.com Website Enhancements	Medium	BoD Approved 2/16/2010



#### **Nodal Parking Deck - Pending Approval**

Source Document	Title	Suggested Priority	Current Status
NPRR146	ICCP Telemetry Information Submittals	TBD	Tabled at TAC PRS approved 2/19/2009
NPRR207	Hour Start Unit Deselection and Half Hour Start Unit RUC Clawback	High	Tabled at TAC PRS approved 2/19/2009
NPRR211	Modify RUC Capacity Short Charge to Use Final Energy Trades	TBD	Pending IA review at PRS PRS approved language on 3/25/2010
SCR756	Enhancements to the MarkeTrack Application	TBD	Tabled at RMS

The following items were removed from the Parking Deck in the past month:

NPRR156 – Language revisions and other clarifications eliminated system changes

NPRR169 – Recent developments in system design allowed for an acceptable delivery approach





### **Market Readiness**

Vikki Gates
Readiness & Transformation

**Special Nodal Program Committee** 19 April 2010

### **Participant Readiness Touch Points**

	2010						
	April	May	June	July			
Meetings	• NATF 4/6	• NATF 5/4	• NATF 6/1 and 6/29	• TBD			
Training	<ul> <li>Nodal 101</li> <li>Trans 101</li> <li>Generation 101, 201</li> <li>CRR – instructor-led</li> <li>NMMS</li> <li>Economics of LMP</li> <li>Combined Cycle Workshop (4/28)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Nodal 101</li> <li>Trans 101</li> <li>Generation 101, 201</li> <li>CRR – instructor-led</li> <li>NMMS</li> <li>Economics of LMP</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Nodal 101</li> <li>LSE 201</li> <li>Generation 101, 201</li> <li>Economics of LMP</li> <li>Basic Training Program</li> <li>Ancillary Service Workshop (tentative)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Nodal 101</li> <li>Transmission 101</li> <li>Generation 101, 201</li> <li>Basic Training Program</li> </ul>			
Outreach	<ul> <li>Standby site visits</li> <li>TSP Validation</li> <li>Bi-weekly TSP calls</li> <li>Phase 4 metrics launch</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Standby site visits</li><li>Metrics monitoring</li><li>Bi-weekly TSP calls</li></ul>	Repeat Retail     Workshop (Dallas) –     tentative     Phase 5 Metrics     launch	Qualification     assessment (MP17)     Standby site visits			
Market trials	<ul> <li>Weekly Trials calls</li> <li>Phase 4 Market Trials initiates</li> <li>Phase 5 Handbooks</li> <li>Updates to prior handbooks</li> <li>Credit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Weekly Trials calls</li> <li>2 hr system-wide LFC test</li> <li>Phase 5 Market Trials initiates</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Weekly Trials calls</li> <li>8 hr system-wide LFC test</li> <li>Full market trials functionality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Weekly Trials calls</li> <li>48 hr system-wide LFC test</li> <li>Verifiable costs in execution</li> </ul>			



#### **Next 60-days of Classes and Settlement Workshops**

Course	Start Date	Location
LSE 201	April 7	Suez Energy (Houston)
Generation 101	April 8	Occidental (Houston)
TSP Model Validation	April 9	Webex
Transmission 101	April 14	City of Garland Fire Bldg (Dallas)
Basic Training Program	April 19	Suez Energy (Houston)
Generation 201	April 20	Calpine (Houston)
ERCOT Nodal 101	April 26	Tenaska Power Services (Dallas)
Credit Management Workshop	April 26	ERCOT Met Center (Austin)
Congestion Revenue Rights	April 27	Hilton Garden Inn (Dallas)
Combined Cycle Workshop	April 28	ERCOT Met Center (Austin)
Credit Management Workshop	May 3	ERCOT Met Center (Austin)
Economics of LMP	May 10	Energy Plaza (Dallas)

Enrollment at: <a href="http://nodal.ercot.com/training/courses/index.html">http://nodal.ercot.com/training/courses/index.html</a>



# Next 60-days of Classes and Settlement Workshops (continued)

Course	Start Date	Location
ERCOT Nodal 101	May 10	ERCOT Met Center (Austin)
Congestion Revenue Rights	May 11	ERCOT Met Center (Austin)
Transmission 101	May 18	CPS Energy (San Antonio)
Network Model Management	May 20	CPS Energy (San Antonio)
Basic Training Program	May 24	Energy Plaza (Dallas)
Generation 101	June 8	LCRA (Austin)
Generation 201	June 17	LCRA (Austin)
Basic Training Program	June 21	ERCOT Met Center (Austin)
Transmission 101	July 13	CenterPoint Energy (Houston)

Enrollment at: <a href="http://nodal.ercot.com/training/courses/index.html">http://nodal.ercot.com/training/courses/index.html</a>

#### **Nodal Outreach Program Overview Statistics**

Statistics were compiled after the completion of the Outreach Site Visits. Below are statistics representing the type of Market Participants visited and their locations:

1	Total Number of Market Participant Site Visits	35
2	Total Number of Market Participants	67
	participating in Outreach, including Wind, and	
	Retail Panels	
3	Total number of attendees	681
4	Percentage of total generation represented by	96.14%
	QSEs participating in site visits	
5	Percentage of total load represented by QSEs	91.96%
	participating in site visits	
6	Total number of states visited	11 states
7	Total regions visited	Austin – 4
		Houston (metro) – 11
		Dallas (metro) – 5
		San Antonio – 1
		East Coast – 5
		West Coast – 1
		Midwest – 3
		Florida – 1
		Texas (outside metro areas) - 4



#### **Nodal Outreach Program Overview Statistics**

Below are the percentages of each topic selected:

	Topics	Percentage of Each Topic Selected
1	Nodal Program Update (mandatory topic)	Mandatory
2	Day-Ahead Market Implementation	100%
3	Real Time Operations Processes & Systems	94.29%
4	Reliability Unit Commitment Implementation	82.86%
5	Nodal Settlements and Billing Processes	74.29%
6	Congestion Revenue Rights Auction Implementation	65.71%
7	Reports and Data Extracts	45.71%
8	Telemetry & Load Frequency Control	42.86%
9	Readiness Center and Scorecard	14.29%
10	Credit Monitoring and Management (topic was made	11.43%
	available on February 9, 2010)	
11	Wind Presentation and Discussion	One-time presentation
12	Retail Presentation and Discussion	One-time presentation



#### **Nodal Outreach Program Overview Statistics**

- Market participants received an evaluation to complete
- Each question was given a response regarding the meeting objectives, based on a Likert scale:

4 – Strongly Agree

3 – Agree

2 – Disagree

1 – Strongly Disagree

Topics	Average Response*
Readiness Center and Scorecard	3.68
Nodal Settlements and Billing Processes	3.65
Nodal Program Update	3.63
Wind Presentation and Discussion (one-time presentation)	3.63
Telemetry & Load Frequency Control	3.61
Reports and Data Extracts	3.61
Reliability Unit Commitment Implementation	3.57
Day-Ahead Market Implementation	3.56
Congestion Revenue Rights Auction Implementation	3.56
Goals and purpose of Outreach meeting are appropriate	3.55
Real Time Operations Processes & Systems	3.55
Credit Monitoring and Management	3.24
Retail Presentation and Discussion (one-time presentation)	3.12

"Provided a great and much needed tool for ERCOT to interface with MPs to answer questions and form needed task lists. Thank all of you for this opportunity."

"The program was not geared toward a QSE like [us], but rather the generation community"

"Today's presentation and Q&A was a great eye opener. We have done a lot of work in preparing for Nodal, but today's presentation clearly shows the gaps between where we are and where we need to be throughout 2010."

<sup>\*</sup> Not all participants returned evaluations or answered every question.



### **Active Market Participant Metrics**

Metric Name	Current Score	Applies to	Weight	Green %	Yellow %	Red %	Not Scored %	Primary Criteria	Notes
MP3 Market Submissions	Green	QSERs	Generation Ratio Share	99.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Successful submission of RT	81/84 QSERS Qualified (includes QSEs with Load Resources).
Connectivity Qualification	Amber	QSEs	Even weighting	94.3%	2.1%	1.0%	2.6%	and DAM transactions	181/192 QSEs qualified. Below 95% threshold for overall GREEN.
	Green	QSERs	Generation Ratio Share	99.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	Expected State Estimator telemetry submitted.	78/79 QSERs completed. 3501/3502 SE points provided (99.6%).
MP6 Telemetry Compliance with Nodal Protocols	Green	QSERs	Generation Ratio Share	99.9%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	Expected SCED telemetry submitted.	78/79 QSERs completed. 7621/7629 SCED points provided (99.1%).
3.10.7.5	Green/White only	TSPs	Ownership Ratio Share	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	99.7%	Expected TSP telemetry per ICCP Handbook submitted. No schedule for AMBER/RED scores	1/17 TSPs completed, all other TSPs are in review by ERCOT. ~94% TSP CB and LD points provided.
MP11 Resource Registration	Green	REs	Registered MW Capacity Ratio Share	97.7%	1.82%	.5%	0.0%	Decision Making Authority form submitted, and GENMAP validated	153/157 Resources completed.



#### **Active Market Participant Metrics**

Metric Name	Current Score	Applies to	Weight	Green %	Yellow %	Red %	Not Scored %	Primary Criteria	Notes
MP14-C TSP Model Validation	Green	TSPs	Ownership Ratio Share	99.4%	.6%	0.0%	0.0%	Network Model data validated by TSP	17/28 TSPs have submitted model validation e-mails to ERCOT.
MP15-A Real-time Market Participation	Green	QSERs	Generation Ratio Share	99.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Weekly average of daily SCED submissions	75/79 QSERs above 95% weekly average for SCED submissions.
MP15-B CRR Connectivity Qualification	Red	CRRAHs	Even weighting	91.4%	0.0%	8.6%	0.0	Successful submission CRR transactions	64/70 CRRAHs qualified. Greater than 5% overall RED.
MP16 DAM	Green/White Only	QSERs	Generation Ratio Share	89%	0.0%	0.0%	11.0%	Participation in	57/79 QSERs with adequate participation.
Participation	Green/White Only	QSEs	Even Weighting	51.6%	0.0%	0.0%	48.4%	50% of the Day- Ahead Market runs	93 out of 192 QSEs with adequate participation.
MP20 Outage Scheduler Connectivity Qualification	Green	QSERs	Generation Ratio Share	98.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	Successful submission of OS transactions.	74/78 QSERS qualified.
	Green	TSPs	Ownership Ratio Share	99.8%	0%	0%	.02%	AMBER/RED scores light up 4/13/2010 after OS window closes	18/25 TSPs qualified.



#### **Active Market Participant Metrics**

Metric Name	Current Score	Applies to	Weight	Green %	Yellow %	Red %	Not Scored %	Primary Criteria	Notes
CRR3 Operation of CRR Auctions and Allocations	Green/White only	CRRAHs	Even weighting	68.1%	0%	0%	31.9%	Participation in at least one of the last two auctions or allocations. AMBER/RED scores light up 5/5/2010 after May bid window closes	49/70 CRRAHs with adequate participation in March or April Auctions or Allocations.



#### **Active ERCOT Metrics**

Metric Name	Current Score	Applies to	Percentage (if applicable)	Primary Criteria	Notes	
E1 ERCOT Staff Completes Training	Green	ERCOT	100%	Training plans must be adhered to for highly impacted departments	15 out of 15 highly impacted departments are up to date with their training plans.	
E9 Develop Nodal Procedures	Green	ERCOT	100%	Procedures developed 1 month prior an to the appropriate Market Trials Phase and/or procedures exercised in the current Market Trials phase.	All MT4 and MT5 are now developed and the MT3 procedures were exercised during MT3 as scheduled. MT4 procedures on target to be exercised by the end of MT4.	
MO4 Verify SCED Execution Quality	Green	ERCOT	N/A	All of the successful SCED executions passed the post-execution price validations for the given reporting period.	For the 3/23 – 4/5 period, there were 4,048 * SCED intervals with no price validation error flags.	
MO5 Generate 6 Months of LMPs	Green	ERCOT	95.9%	Greater than 95% of the SCED executions produced and posted LMPs for the given reporting period.	For the 3/23 – 4/5 period, 3,887 out of 4,052 SCED runs with LMP posted correctly.	

<sup>\*</sup> Discrepancy between SCED and PVT runs due to missing input data during PVT testing.





# **Monthly Financial Review**

Don Jefferis
Interim Director - Nodal Financial Management Office

**Special Nodal Program Committee** 

19 April 2010

### **Monthly Financial Review**


Financials to be provided before SNPC meeting.



## **Questions?**

# **Appendix**

#### Nodal Systems Blueprint - Market Trials - Phase 4 - April 1st





#### **Nodal Program Risks & Issues: Definitions**

#### Definitions for Category, Probability and Severity of Risks & Issues:

#### Category

- Scope: Will require a scope change
- Schedule: Will require a schedule change
- Budget: Will require a budget change

#### Probability

- High : Probability to occur is ≥ 90%; perceived impact would require a Change Request over the next 1-3 months
- Medium: Probability to occur is between 31 89%; perceived impact would require a Change Request over the next 4 -10 months
- Low: Probability to occur is ≤ 30 %; not expected to require a Change Request

#### Severity

- High: Milestone impact, or budget impact >\$250,000
- Medium: Milestone impact but expected to be mitigated, or budget impact between \$0 - \$250,000
- Low: No milestone impact, or no budget impact



#### **Defect Definitions**

Severity	Definition
Severity 1: Data loss/critical error	Defects that render unavailable the critical functions of the system under test. These include errors such as system errors, application failures, loss of data, incorrect calculations, inability to transfer data, failure to access database, and inability to display information to the user.
Severity 2: Loss of functionality w/o workaround	Defects that render unavailable partial functionality of the system under test with no workaround available. These include errors such as incorrect information displayed to the user, information not updating correctly, extracts failing, and missing export files.
Severity 3: Loss of functionality with workaround	Defects that render unavailable partial functionality of the system under test with a workaround available. These include errors such as incorrect message displayed, optional information missing or not displayed correctly, not receiving e-mail notifications, and incorrect defaults.
Severity 4: Partial loss of a feature set	Defects that affect a feature that is not executed on a frequent basis and there is not a significant impact on the system. These include errors such as help information, filtering, and consistent naming.
Severity 5: Cosmetic/documentation error	Defects that are cosmetic and need to be resolved, but are not a factor in the functionality or stability of the system. These include errors such as field alignment, report formatting, drop down list order, fonts, column order and documentation that is inconsistent with the system(s) as tested.

	Prescription in Quality Center
Priority 1	Must fix ASAP
Priority 2	Must fix prior to Go-Live
Priority 3	Not critical to fix before Go-Live
Priority 4	Minor system/user impact
Priority 5	No system/user impact



#### **Critical Defect Definitions**

- Critical defects are required to be fixed before go-live
- Critical defects are identified as:
  - Severity 1
  - Severity 2
  - Severity 3 priority 1 & priority 2
  - Severity 4 priority 1 & priority 2
  - Severity 5 priority 1 & priority 2
- A continual defect review process will ensure that, after review and approval by the business, non-critical defects are deferred in Quality Center with adequate documentation (e.g., test script results, impacted functions, recreation steps, workarounds)

NOTE: All documented workarounds for deferred defects will be provided to the ERCOT readiness team for review and inclusion in desk procedures, when applicable



#### **External Audit Status Summary**

Audit	Audit Points Identified	Audit Points in Execution	Date to Complete Last Point
Utilicast Report 8	6	6 complete	2011*
Utilicast Report 10 (Cleary)	17	3 in progress; 14 complete	6/1/10**

<sup>\*</sup> Audit point required for go-live complete with the construction of the TCC1 expansion in Taylor.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Updated end date to reflect date to complete remaining audit points

## **Audit Points to Address from Utilicast Report 8**

ID	Description	Action Plan	Responsible Director	Responsible Manager	Target Date
UTI08- 05	There should be immediate investment in new data center capacity to allow for expansion of IT infrastructure to support the Nodal Program.	Additional data center space planned as part of the Met Center disposition project (PR-80001). Those data centers should be online in mid-2011. Plans are under development to provide capacity prior to those new data centers becoming available. Nodal Go-Live has sufficient space with the expansion of TCC1.	R. Morgan	David Forfia	COMPLETE - TCC1 expansion ensured sufficient space for Go-Live.



### **Audit Points to Address from Utilicast Report 10-1**

ID	Short Description	Recommendation	Action Plan Status	Resp. Dir.	Resp. Mgr.	Target Date
	End-to-End Business Readiness Activities	Ensure Nodal processes and procedures are completed so they can be exercised during End-to-End testing.	Planning is complete for ensuring that all nodal processes and procedures that will need to be exercised during end-to-end testing are scheduled for completion prior to the execution of those processes and procedures per the end-to-end schedule.  The schedule below reflects the Nodal test schedule for 4 audit points:  1. MT Phase 3 – SCED, OS Submissions  29 procedures (written)  18 processes (written)  18 processes (written)  30 MT Phase 4 & 4.1 - DAM, RUC, OS, CRR Invoices, Settlements and Billing  156 procedures (written)  39 processes (written)  30 MT Phase 5 & 6 – Remaining production procedures due 5/1/10  41 Phase 6 – Integrated final code due 6/1/10	V. Gates	J. Adams	O6/01/10  Complete  Complete
						05/1/10
						06/1/10

