

**NOTES OF THE
TEXAS REGIONAL ENTITY
NERC LSE REGISTRATION WORKING GROUP**

Room 209, Met Center, 7620 Metro Center Drive, Austin, Texas 78744

November 14, 2008

Meeting Attendees

Eddy Reece	Rabyburn	DP
Matt Morias	ERCOT	Other
Liz Jones	Oncor	DP
Jerry Ward	Luminant	GO, GOP
Judith James	Texas RE	RE
Susan Vincent	Texas RE	RE
Victor Barry	Texas RE	RE
Tony Shiekhi	Texas RE	RE
Ryan Clay	Texas RE	RE
Bil Kahanek	Bluebonnet Electric Coop	DP
Barry Kremling	Guadalupe Valley Electric Coop	DP
Robert Kelly	Brazos Electric	DP
Don Tucker	ERCOT	ISO
John Brockhan	CenterPoint Energy	TO
Dane Showalter	ERCOT	ISO
Jimmy Sikes	City of Georgetown	DP
David Carpenter	Brazos Electric Coop	DP
Cesar Symore	Suez Electric	QSE, REP
Tom Burle	Luminant	QSE and GO
John Brockhan	CenterPoint	TO
Richard McLeon	STEC	QSE, REP, TO, TP, GO, GOP

Meeting Attendees Via Pone

Rick Keetch	Reliant Energy	QSE
Kim Blackerbee	ConocoPhillips	QSE and GO
Thad Ness	AEP	QSE, GOP, TO, DP
Darrell Curtis	Oncor	TO and DP

Call to Order

Tony Shiekhi, Texas RE, called the meeting of the Texas Regional Entity ("Texas RE") NERC LSE Working Group to order at approximately 9:00 a.m. Mr. Shiekhi reviewed notes from the previous LSE meeting and asked for any comments to be sent to him via e-mail.

Confirmation of minimal QSE registration (only QSE representing LaaR, EILS)

- DPs, TOs, and QSEs that are not PSEs and do not represent LaaR or EILS, should not be registered for these
- Even though the LaaR is a Resources Entity and has responsibilities, ERCOT communicates with and directs the LSE QSE - not the Resource
- A Resource entity can change QSEs – but the relevant QSE has a contract with the LaaR
- Rick Keetch (via phone) stated that directives from ERCOT will be followed because Reliant is already registered as a GOP and represent load. Mr. Keetch expressed that he did not feel like there were any current gaps in reliability.

MOD-17

- The DP and TO attendees discussed the MOD standards, then Ms. Vincent suggested the group should consider developing one or more regional standards
- The attendees discussed FERC Form 867, the ERCOT electronic transaction that sends/reads meter IDs. ERCOT receives the data in a granular form and then aggregates it
- Thad Ness (via phone) stated that RFC and PJM drafted up an agreement letter
- TDSPs give ERCOT the planning information. ERCOT already performs these functions under other standards.
- Some attendees expressed concern that it could take at least a year for a SAR
- Attendees agreed that ERCOT provides forecast and historic information to NERC under ERCOT protocols

MOD-18

- All attendees agreed that ERCOT has a different physical system than the rest of the country
- Attendees discussed that ERCOT performs the physical requirements in MOD-18

MOD-19

- Attendees discussed that the amount of interruptible load is microscopic
- All agreed that only a TP or ERCOT would have this data
- Victor Barry stated that the group needed to be careful on this subject, because of the upcoming advanced metering issues
- LSEs maybe in the future, so we will leave the standard alone (an empty set)?

MOD-20

- Same issue as MOD-19, except there is a special request, the entity would have to respond within 30 days.

MOD-21

- Matt Morias from ERCOT stated he believes that R1 sounds like a system operator requirement.
- There are no RSAWS at this time because they are not part of the actively monitored CMEP at this point.

- Attendees discussed perhaps changing the ERCOT Protocols to require an entity to provide any Demand Side Management to ERCOT – so ERCOT would have the needed information
- Down the road, might need to consider DPs with energy conservation programs

BAL-005

TOs will be responsible for this function.

EOP-004

The group could make a standard change to replace LSE with TOs.

IRO-001

TOs will be responsible for this function.

TOP-002

- Liz Jones stated that requirement 3 looks like it is not applicable to the ERCOT region, because ERCOT is not interconnected
- TOs will be responsible for requirement 18.

CIP001 – CIP-009

TOs will replace LSEs as the applicable entity for these standards.

IRO-004 R4

- The group agreed to possibly ask for regional standard to remove LSE

EOP-002 R9

- A regional standard needs to be developed because the group does not know who would be registered.
- One control area, one balancing authority
- Request DPs off for double registration in the mean time, ask NERC for the DPs not to have double penalties. (See Sanctions Guidelines)

Future Agenda/Discussion Items

There will be another working group meeting on Friday, November 21, 2008.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 10:50 a.m.