

# Performance of the Annual CRR Auction Calculation & Mitigation Strategies



November 29, 2006

## **Current Annual Auction Implementation at ERCOT\***

#### 3 time-of-use blocks

- Weekday peak (WD yellow in images)
- Weekend peak (WE blue in images)
- Off-peak (OP green in images)
- One-month or consecutive multiple month strips for the next two years
- The time-of-use blocks and the monthly strips will be auctioned simultaneously
- 3 × 2 × 12 = 72 periods to be solved simultaneously







\* According to August 2006's Nodal Protocols



## Implementations at Other ISOs & RTOs I

#### PJM

- Two time-of-use products simultaneously for both monthly and annual auctions
  - Peak
  - Off-peak
- Monthly Balance of Planning Period CRR/FTR Auctions
  - Offers CRRs/FTRs for the next month
  - Several additional auction periods
    - Remaining months in the current planning period
    - Any complete planning period quarter remaining in the planning period



Notes:

1. This figure applies to the auction at the beginning of the planning period

2. As the planning period window shortens, the # of periods decreases as well, as shown below





# Implementations at Other ISOs & RTOs II

#### Midwest ISO

- Two time-of-use products decoupled
  - Peak
  - Off-peak
- Four seasonal auctions decoupled (some auctioned before the season, some together)

### CAISO

- Two time-of-use products decoupled
  - Peak
  - Off-peak
- Four seasonal auctions decoupled, all auctioned at the same time prior to the beginning of the annual term



# Assumptions for Performance Estimates

#### Network

- 5,000 number of buses
- 7,000 number of branches (100% monitored)
- 3,000 contingencies

#### Market

- 800 sources and sinks
- 100,000 bids and offers (75% options and 25% obligations)
- 30% of 24-hours and 70% of one time of use bids
- 15% of bids spanning a single slice
- 30% of bids spanning one season (three slices)
- 55% of bids spanning all two years (24 slices)

#### Hardware

- IBM P550 with 8 processors
- ERCOT development servers are used for running simulations



## **Calculation Time Sensitivities**

Factor	Assumption	Impact on Calculation Time
Buses	5000	+ Medium
Branches	7000	+ Medium
Contingency	3000	+ Medium
Number of binding constraints	Most bids and offers were assumed to go from Generators to Loads (limiting the number of binding constraints)	+ High
Hedge Type	75% options and 25% obligations	+ Medium
Number of periods	3-72	+ Very High
Number of Processors	8	- Medium

() Nexant

## **Processing Time Estimate for the Current Annual Auction Implementation at ERCOT**

Proposed model will be extremely time consuming to solve as defined (1300 hours or almost 8 weeks)



Simultaneous Solution

# Calculation times with respect to some parameters

	Computation Time in Hours (Simulated)
Contingencies	
500	1088
1000	1131
2000	1218
3000	1300
Hedge Type	
(% Options / % Obl)	
75/25	1300
50/50	1228
25/75	1152
10/90	1106
Periods	
12	63
36	283
72	1300

6 Nexant

## Strategies to Reduce Optimization Time

- Two strategies have been identified to reduce optimization time (with respect to period parameter)
  - Increase Duration of Periods
  - Decouple Optimizations
- Another option is to eliminate one of the time-of-use blocks, treating all hours as either On Peak or Off-Peak.

## Strategy I - Increase Duration of Periods

- Models representing periods in later timeframes will have less predictable short-term grid conditions
  - Seasonal/annual models are more readily available than monthly for future periods
  - The further in the future represented by one model, the greater the probability that grid conditions will differ from forecasted
- CRR Account Holders will only be able to submit bids and offers for the entire period
- ERCOT CRR System will not require auction periods to have the same duration\*, allowing CRR Market Operators to configure the period being auctioned

\* Standard i-HEDGE feature



# **Possible Implementations for Strategy I**

a. Model according to August 2006's Nodal Protocols (1300 hours)

b. Decreasing resolution in time (122 hours)



c. Seasonal Models (147 hours)



d. Seasonal & Semi-annual Models with two time-of-use blocks (60 hours)





### Strategy II - Decouple Optimizations

- The asymmetry in available network capacities between first and second year models may result in unexpected bid awards
  - Network Capacity
    - Uncertainty will increase as the timeline moves further into the future
  - Previously awarded CRRs
    - There will be existing CRRs for the first year (from previous year's annual auction)
    - There will not be any existing CRRs for the second year
- ERCOT CRR System allows CRR Market Operators to configure as many markets as required\*
- Need to determine what is more valuable
  - Long-term products (i.e., access to CRRs in year 2)
  - Single coverage over long periods of time (i.e., 2-year CRR)
  - \* Standard i-HEDGE feature



# **Possible Implementations for Strategy II**

a. Model according to August 2006's Nodal Protocols (1300 hours)

b. Monthly and Seasonal with two optimizations (100 hours)



c. Decreasing resolution with two optimizations (100 hours)



d. Option b with two time-of-use blocks (60 hours)





### Next Steps

#### Direction from TPTF

- Discussion on the alternatives
- A decision process to be put in place

#### Recommend reducing the number of periods being optimized

- Eliminating one of the time of use blocks
  - 7 x 16 on peak (merge Weekday and Weekend)
  - 7 x 8 off peak
- If seasonal products are acceptable, adjust the annual auction time so that seasonal case represents Dec – Feb, Mar – May, Jun – Aug, and Sep - Nov.

Seasonal Models (83 hours)



