# **Board of Directors**

## November 14, 2006 Credit Update and Proposed Next Steps



#### > Provide a financially stable market

- Residual credit risk should not endanger the overall financial stability of the market
- Ensure transparency of potential market participant financial risks associated with residual credit exposure
  - Credit risk is present in the ERCOT market
  - Mass transition losses (magnitude described herein)

### Address new credit risks as they are identified



Numerous improvements have been made including:

- Mass Transition timeline reduced from about 22 days to approximately 15 days
  - By June 2007 an additional 5 days will be cut
- PRR 625 increased notice period for QSE dropping an LSE from 5 business days to 12 business days (effectively collateral)
- PRR 568 reduces settlement date from 17 to 10 days after operating day
- PRR 638 changes the settlement invoice due date from 16 calendar days to 5 business days
- PRR 643 reduces the number of days allowed to cure a breach from 3 days to 2 days



#### Potential loss in exit scenarios

Potential loss (simplified – w / 3 weeks of collateral) (in 000's)

	<u>Previous</u>	<u>Today</u>	<u>2Q 2007</u>
Approx number of days for process	22-26	15-17	10-12
Collateral held (1) <b>1,000 MWh/day x \$100/MWh =</b> x 10% x 21 days At default	\$ 140	\$ 210	\$ 210
<b>1,000 MWh/day x \$100/MWh =</b> x 100% x ? days	<u>\$ 2,200</u>	<u>\$ 1,500</u>	<u>\$ 1,000</u>
Potential market loss	\$ 2,060	\$ 1,290	\$ 790
For 100 MWh/day For 10,000 MWh/day	\$206 \$20,600	\$ 129 \$ 12,900	\$79 \$7,900
Reduction in exposure		37%	62%

(1) Collateral held for Mass Transition events increased with the implementation of PRR 568 given that collateral is maintained at 40 days and credit exposure for historical activity was reduced by 7 days with PRR 568.



#### What is the *appropriate* exposure level?

- Recognizing the Board of Directors is ultimately charged with developing rules and guidelines which are sufficient to ensure the ERCOT market is financially stable and that:
  - The Credit Work Group recommended further credit tightening via PRR 683
  - The market provided its input indicating its desire to halt further credit tightening by rejecting PRR 683
- > To aid the BOD in its determination of next steps:
  - ERCOT staff proposes seeking an independent review of ERCOT's Protocols related to credit within the context of ERCOT market operations
    - Benchmark ERCOT credit risk with other ISOs as well as against best practices
  - ERCOT staff continue to pursue catastrophic coverage via credit insurance

#### ERCOT requests BOD endorsement of proposed staff actions



Note - PRR 683 - Reduce Timeline for Notice and Cure and Create a Working Credit Limit