

## ERCOT Large Load Interconnection (LLI) Batch Study Process - Workshop #3 Survey

### Introduction

**Thank you for agreeing to participate in this survey. Please complete all the questions appearing on each page, responding with open and honest answers; your responses will not be publicly attributed and will be aggregated. Please coordinate internally to ensure that only a single response is submitted on behalf of your organization.**

**Always make sure you have answered all the questions provided. When you are ready to move on to the next page, click the “Next >>” button located at the bottom of the page. Do not use your browser buttons to go from one page to another.**

**Reference material is Feb 26th [Batch Study Process for Large Load Interconnections Workshop #3 presentation](#).**

# ERCOT Large Load Interconnection (LLI) Batch Study Process - Workshop #3 Survey

## Module 1: Screener

\* 1. What is your name?

\* 2. What is your work email address?

\* 3. Which organization do you represent?

\* 4. Which label does your organization most closely belong to?

- Co-locators
- Consumers (Residential/Commercial)
- Cooperatives (Co-ops)
- Developers
- Hyperscalers
- Independent Generators
- Independent Power Marketers
- Independent Retailers Electric Providers (REPs)
- Investor-Owned Utilities (IOUs)
- Municipals
- Research Organizations
- Other (please specify)

\* 5. Has your organization previously met with ERCOT regarding the Batch Study process?

- Yes
- No

ERCOT Large Load Interconnection (LLI) Batch Study Process - Workshop #3  
Survey

**Module 2: Eligibility and Screening (Batch Zero entry criteria)**

6. What should be the latest in-service date for projects that will be required to demonstrate development activity?

Date

Additional Feedback

7. What date should ERCOT use to determine whether partially complete studies are eligible for inclusion in Batch Zero?

Date

Additional Feedback

8. What date should ERCOT set for projects to meet the intermediate agreement or interconnection agreement criteria to be included in Batch Zero?

Date

Additional Feedback

9. What information should be required for projects to demonstrate development activity?  
(Select all that apply)

- Inclusion in a Quarterly Stability Assessment
- Site preparation and construction
- Purchase of all necessary customer-owned high-voltage transformers and circuit breakers with delivery by project in-service date
- Other (please specify)

10. How should ERCOT define "site preparation and construction" for Batch Zero eligibility?

(Select all that apply)

- Executed interconnection agreement
- Posted financial security / deposits
- Site control (owned or long-term leased land)
- Engineering design completed
- High-voltage (HV) transformer deposits placed
- Breaker or major electrical equipment deposits placed
- Civil construction commenced
- Purchase of all necessary customer-owned high-voltage transformers and circuit breakers with delivery by project in-service date
- Other (please specify)

ERCOT Large Load Interconnection (LLI) Batch Study Process - Workshop #3  
Survey

**Module 3: Design of Batch Zero**

11. Do you agree with ERCOT's fundamental principles for Batch Zero? (Slide 26 of [Feb 26th workshop presentation](#))

- Yes
- No

If "No", why? (please specify)

12. Do you agree with the proposed Batch Zero refinement study approach? (Slide 20 of [Feb 26th workshop presentation](#))

- Yes
- No

If "No", why? (please specify)

13. Which transmission upgrade Batch study process would you prefer?

- 6-month Batch study but only identify transmission upgrades for Years 1-5, with identification of Year 6 upgrades occurring in a later transmission planning process (RTP or RPG). (As discussed at the workshop, ILLEs would not be required to securitize upgrades identified in a later transmission planning process.)
- 12-month Batch study with identification of all transmission upgrades, including Year 6

**Module 4: Financial commitment and risk allocation**

14. ERCOT's proposal regarding study refinements assumes that the MW load ramp and financial security will be locked in the commitment decision process and not change after the refinement period (only transmission projects might change). However, some participants were considering a final commitment period after refinement period. In this case, how should cost and load ramp differences during subsequent study refinements (e.g., 3-month adjustments) be handled?

- Adjust load ramp and financial security upward or downward based on updated cost estimates
- Lock original load ramp and financial commitment regardless of later cost adjustments
- Allow limited adjustment within a defined tolerance band (e.g.,  $\pm 10\%$ )
- Re-open allocation decision if cost materially changes
- Other (please specify)

ERCOT Large Load Interconnection (LLI) Batch Study Process - Workshop #3  
Survey

**Module 5: Confidentiality and transparency**

15. What should remain confidential? Select all information elements that you believe should **not** be publicly disclosed.

- LLIS and status (no customer identity)
- Customer identity
- Point of interconnection
- Requested MW
- Awarded MW (by year)
- Transmission upgrade list
- Upgrade cost estimates
- Financial commitment amounts
- Ramp schedule
- Study results
- Development milestones
- Other (please specify)

ERCOT Large Load Interconnection (LLI) Batch Study Process - Workshop #3  
Survey

**Module 6: CLR and BYOG**

16. Which co-location configurations (e.g., load plus battery, load plus solar) should ERCOT prioritize when defining BYOG rules?

- Load + dispatchable thermal generation (e.g., natural gas combined cycle, simple-cycle gas turbines, dual-fuel units, CHP/cogeneration, hydrogen-ready units)
- Load + renewable generation (e.g., behind-the-meter solar, behind-the-meter wind, hybrid solar + wind)
- Load + storage (e.g., standalone battery energy storage system, long-duration storage such as flow batteries or compressed air)
- Load + renewable + storage hybrid (e.g., solar + battery, wind + battery, hybrid renewable + storage microgrid configuration)
- Load + thermal + storage hybrid (e.g., gas generation + battery, reciprocating engines + battery, thermal unit with storage for ramp smoothing or backup support)
- Generation capacity is greater than the load
- Load is greater than the generation capacity
- Other (please specify)

17. For BYOG, is the self-limiting facility concept adequate? In other words, BYOG site agrees to fixed injections/withdrawal limitation until transmission is built?

- Yes
- No

If "No", why? (please specify)

18. If Batch Zero provides less firm capacity than your requested ramp, would you consider using CLR status to consume power above your firm allocation while upgrades are being built?

- Yes
- No

Additional comments

19. When defining BYOG rules, should Controllable Load Resources (CLRs) concept be expanded to be explicitly included as a co-location configuration option?

Yes

No

Additional comments

20. Should Large Load customers included in Batch Zero have the option to pre-register non-firm allocated MWs as a CLR after study results are released, to operate those MWs as controllable load until full firm capacity is available?

Yes

No

Additional comments